e palli

Journal of Sustainable Engineering & Renewable Energy (JSERE)

VOLUME 2 ISSUE 2 (2026)

PUBLISHED BY
E-PALLI PUBLISHERS, DELAWARE, USA

Journal of Sustainable Engineering & Renewable Energy (JSERE)

Volume 2 Issue 2, Year 2026
ISSN: 3069-9215 (Online)
DOI: https://doi.org/10.54536/jsere.v2i2.7834
https://journals.e-palli.com/home/index.php/jsere

Designing Equitable and Crisis-Resilient Energy Futures: Integrating Decentralized Energy Systems, Digital Technologies and Adaptive Policy Frameworks in Climate-Vulnerable Contexts

Uzonna Olive Mmonu[1*], Olawale Idowu Babalola[1]

Article Information

Received: March 10, 2026

Accepted: May 24, 2026

Published: August 06, 2026

Keywords

Adaptive Policy Frameworks, Comparative Case Study, Crisis Resilience, Decentralized Energy Systems, Digital Technologies, Energy Equity, Global South, India, Kenya, Nigeria, Socio-Technical Transitions

ABSTRACT

The challenges of sustainable development in the climate-vulnerable areas of the Global South are acute because of climate change and the presence of tenacious energy poverty. This paper explores how the convergence of decentralized energy systems, digital technologies and adaptive policy frameworks can produce equitable and crisis-resilient energy results. The study will employ a qualitative comparative case study design and will analyze policy documents, regulatory frameworks, international reports and secondary datasets of Nigeria, Kenya and India. The three countries have been chosen due to their common exposure to climate risks and the different degrees of decentralized renewable implementation, digital maturity and policy adaptability.

In-case and cross-case analysis demonstrate that equitable and crisis-resistant energy futures could only be developed as a result of the synergistic co-evolution of the three pillars. Kenya has the best results, with progressive mini-grids, pay-as-you-go digital platforms, adaptive governance driving electrification to about 80% and increasing resilience to climate shocks. Nigeria has encouraging regulatory development and mini-grid growth yet is limited by finite digitalization. India is very close to achieving universal access with hybrid strategies but needs more procedural justice protection. The research forms a coherent socio-technical-adaptive framework that outlines the required conditions for a successful alignment within the framework of decentralized infrastructure, digital intelligence and flexible governance.

The results contribute to the socio-technical systems theory, thinking of resilience, energy justice and adaptive governance scholarship. They provide practical policy proposals to scale from climate-vulnerable situations to integrated solutions. Finally, the study highlights the importance of designed integration of technological, digital, and institutional factors, not targeted interventions, in order to achieve fair and resilient energy transitions in the Global South.

INTRODUCTION

The need to come up with equitable and crisis-resistance energy futures is becoming more urgent with the increasing pace of climate change and the ongoing energy poverty. Climate-related disruptions are becoming both more frequent and more severe, while persistent deficits in energy access continue to constrain human development across the Global South. Recent estimates place the global population without electricity access at approximately 730 million. Sub-Saharan Africa bears a disproportionate burden, accounting for the majority population without electricity (IEA, 2025). Meanwhile, population growth in most areas has outpaced electrification efforts, further widening the energy access gap. Centralized energy systems depend heavily on fossil fuels and are vulnerable to environmental stress factors, such as flooding, storms, and extreme heat. These systems have limited resilience to such events, often failing during crisis and disrupting essential services including healthcare, education, and economic activity. The resulting outages tend to deepen existing inequalities. The inclusion of decentralized energy systems, digital technologies, and adaptive policy frameworks may provide a viable route to further the promotion of both equity and resilience in climate-vulnerable environments.

Mini-grids, solar home systems, and hybrid renewable designs are a major departure in the traditional centralized designs. These systems decrease reliance on delicate transmission frameworks and the unpredictable oil markets around the world. Observed low-income regions indicate that decentralized renewable energy solutions do not only increase access to energy but also enhance resilience by allowing communities to gain access to power during grid disruptions related to extreme climatic events (Obi, 2025). These interventions have resulted in an improved energy balance in sub-Saharan Africa, assisted local economies to grow, and aligned with larger sustainability goals. In Nigeria and Kenya, case-based evidence has shown that off-grid and mini-grid systems can assist in overcoming the constraints of unreliable national grids and enhance adaptive capacity on a community level (Okesiji, 2025).

Nevertheless, the decentralization, in itself, is not a guarantee of fair and strong results. Digital technologies will play a critical enabling role in improving the efficiency, reliability and adaptability of energy systems. Internet of Things sensors, artificial intelligence, blockchain, and advanced data analytics technologies enable real-time

[1] University of Ibadan, Nigeria
[*] Corresponding author's e-mail: uzonnaolivemmonu@gmail.com

monitoring, predictive maintenance, blockchain and advanced data analytics. These innovations allow the decentralized system to react dynamically to the changes in supply and demand and reduce the downtime of a system during the disruption (Mahmood *et al.*, 2024). Also, new consumption models are supported through digital platforms, such as pay-as-you-go systems, that can enhance affordability and increase access by low-income users. In climate-sensitive environments, such integration of digital technology thus bridges the divide between technological potential and operational resilience, which will ensure that energy systems remain operational and inclusive in the face of stress.

The success of these technological innovations depends on the presence of supportive policy frameworks. The traditional energy governance schemes, which are often typified by centralized decision-making and strictly regulated systems, are often poorly suited to the complexities of the decentralized and digitally enabled systems. Adaptive policy frameworks which focus on flexibility, stakeholder involvement and iterative learning are increasingly being recognized as pivotal to manage uncertainty as well as enable inclusive energy transitions. Emerging scholarship highlights the importance of social learning, context-sensitive policy design, and multi-level coordination in overcoming institutional constraints and fostering equitable outcomes (Akther & Evans, 2024). These strategies enable policymakers to align national strategies with local realities, integrate equity concerns into regulatory processes, and respond efficiently to climate-related risks. In their absence, technological inventions will tend to strengthen existing inequalities rather than solve them.

The examples of Nigeria, Kenya, and India, show the various ways in which these interactions are played out in practice. All countries experience high levels of climate vulnerability and ongoing energy access problems, but they are different in their aspects of decentralized energy adoption, digital integration, and policy development. Nigeria has remained chronically grid unstable and fossil fuel reliant, Kenya on the other hand, has led the charge by scaling up mini-grid and digital energy solutions that are both private sector led and predominantly centralized. India has also pursued hybrid models, which combine centralized and decentralized approaches and, most importantly, are private sector led and highly centralized. Although previous research has investigated each of the three parts of these systems separately, there has been little comparative analysis on how the three components-decentralization, digitalization, and policy adaptability interact across different contexts (Pedersen & Nygaard, 2018; Katre *et al.*, 2019).

This paper fills this gap by analyzing how these three dimensions interact to produce fair and resilient-to-crisis energy outcomes. The study is based on a qualitative comparative case study design, which is reliant on policy documents, institutional reports, international datasets, and documented project evidence. It uses a thematic and cross-case analysis to identify patterns and mechanisms that explain variation in the outcomes across contexts. Specific focus is on aspects of energy justice, such as access, affordability, and procedural fairness, and extends to the capacity of systems to resist and recover following climate-related disturbances.

The study makes three key contributions. First, it contributes to theoretical understanding by showing how decentralization of innovation, digital technologies, and adaptive governance interact within socio-technical systems. Second, it offers insights that are policy relevant in crating energy strategies that trade-off equity and resilience. Third, it provides lessons that are transferable to other climate-vulnerable areas that wish to hasten just and sustainable energy transitions. In conclusion, the results are a reminder that to have equitable and crisis-resilient energy futures, we must deliberately align technological, digital, and institutional systems and not individual or fragmented interventions.

Conceptual Clarifications

The idea of small scale, locally controlled energy systems of either single or hybrid type with centralized grids, is seen as the concept of decentralized energy systems. They are usually based on renewable energy sources like solar photovoltaic, wind power, biomass power, and micro-hydro power, and comprise infrastructures such as mini-grids and solar home systems. The decentralized approaches are beneficial to reduce transmission losses, reduce the dependency on imported fossil fuels, and increase the local autonomy in energy provision (Okesiji, 2025).

Digital technologies include various tools, such as Internet of Things, blockchain, artificial intelligence, big data analytics, and smart metering technologies. These technologies in turn can be utilized in the energy systems to enable the real time monitoring, predictive maintenance, peer-to-peer energy exchange and demand-side management. Their integration transforms the decentralized infrastructure into intelligent and adaptive networks, which are able to optimize performance in the changing conditions (Zakeri *et al.*, 2024).

Adaptive policy frameworks are arrangements of governance that are flexible, iterative and responsive to changing conditions in technology, environment and social conditions. In place of relying on the strict and top-down regulative paradigms, they give weight to the multi-level coordination, stakeholder involvement, continuous and contextually sensitive regulation of learning. Policy adaptation strategies are particularly essential in the Global South where they can assist national strategies in being more closely aligned with local realities and how to respond to the uncertainties associated with climate variability and technological change (Akther *et al.*, 2024).

Energy equity as a key aspect of energy justice. It is the equitable allocation of energy gains and losses to various sections of the society. It contains the distributional justice that is concerned with equitable access and affordability; the procedural justice that is concerned with inclusive participation of various social groups in the decision making process; and the recognition justice that understands various vulnerabilities of different social groups. Energy equity in climate-vulnerable situations implies that the marginalized groups and those living in rural and low-income locations are not left behind in the benefits of energy transitions (Apergi *et al.*, 2024).

Energy resilience is the ability of energy systems to anticipate, absorb, adapt to, and recover disruptions, such as extreme weather events, cyber threats, and supply chain failures, and ensure continuity of essential services. It goes beyond reliability to incorporate system robustness, redundancy and capability to restore functionality in a timely manner. This idea is especially applicable to decentralized systems that have a high-risk environment (Jasiūnas *et al.*, 2021).

The two concepts are extremely interrelated in terms of fair and crisis resilient energy outcomes, a dependent variable in this study. Decentralized energy systems provide the infrastructural base to localized and renewable energy supply. Digital technologies are facilitating processes that supplement operational efficiency, transparency and flexibility. Adaptive policy frameworks avail the institutional support needed to scale and sustain such innovations as well as consider equity considerations in regulatory procedures. When these three elements are skillfully combined, they collectively help to promote the energy equity concept through enhanced access, affordability and involvement and increased system resilience through diversified supply, intelligent system management, and flexible response mechanisms. The resultant energy systems would be better placed to resist climate induced frustrations and deliver inclusive and sustainable benefits in cases such as Nigeria, Kenya and India.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The global energy transformation is increasingly conceptualized as a socio-technical process, shaped by the interaction of technological innovation, institutional structures, and considerations of social equity-particularly in climate-sensitive areas of the Global South. Although the number of individuals without access to electricity has decreased in recent years, about 730 million people were still unable to access electricity in 2024 with the majority of these individuals living in sub-Saharan Africa and South Asia. This continues to indicate the inefficiency of traditional centralized energy systems to meet the energy poverty as well as the increasing climate risks (IEA, 2025). In this regard, the literature has defined the following as important facilitators of equitable and crisis resilient energy transitions: decentralized renewable energy systems, digital technologies, and adaptive governance systems. This section also reviews relevant literature, highlights areas of overlap, and identifies gaps the study aims to fill in.

Of particular interest to research on the concept of decentralized energy systems is the ability to deal with the structural limitations of centralized grids particularly in remote and climate stressed regions. Decentralized designs of renewable energy, such as solar mini-grids, hybrid systems, and standalone solar home systems, have been shown to reduce transmission losses, enhance local energy security, and accelerate electrification in regions where growth of the grid is economically infeasible (Alstone *et al.*, 2015; Babayomi, 2023). Empirical research also shows that hybrid renewable mini-grids can be more cost-effective and reliable when compared to diesel-based systems, especially when combined with energy storage technologies (Zebra *et al.*, 2021). Such systems in sub-Saharan Africa have facilitated productive use of energy, driven local economic activity and increased adaptive capacity to disruptions caused by climate (Obi, 2025; Okesiji, 2025). Similarly, evidence from India indicates that community-based mini-grid models are more likely to achieve long-term sustainability when underpinned by robust governance structures and sound technical design. (Katre *et al.*, 2019). Despite these developments, the adoption of decentralized systems is still limited due to financial limitations, regulatory ambiguity, and lack of integration with national energy infrastructures (Schneider, 2025).

A new literature on how digital technologies can be used to enhance the efficiency, scalability and resilience of decentralized energy systems is emerging. Technologies, such as Internet of Things devices, blockchain-enabled trading platforms, artificial intelligence, and smart metering systems (Mahmood *et al.*, 2024; Zakeri *et al.*, 2024). Specifically, blockchain applications can facilitate more transparent and decentralized energy transactions, reduce transaction costs, and enhance trust within energy markets, therefore, making it possible to become increasingly engaged in energy markets (Ullah *et al.*, 2024). Additionally, digitalization will enable the system to be responsive to climate variability, through real time adjustments in the supply and demand of energy (Nik, 2021). However, the literature cautions that the benefits of digital integration may not be equally distributed, particularly in the environment where the digital infrastructure and literacy rates remain low, thus raising concerns about the potential unequal distribution of the benefits (Rejeb *et al.*, 2024).

The governance scholarship shows the ineffectiveness of the traditional top-down policy-making approaches in order to deal with the complexities of the decentralized and climate-sensitive energy systems. Adaptive governance seems to have acquired new critical mechanisms of managing uncertainty in the Global South through the flexible frameworks of adaptive governance, which are characterized by flexibility, multi-level coordination, stakeholder involvement, and continuous learning (Akther *et al.*, 2024). The latter structures enable context-sensitive regulation, promote exploration of new business models, and consider equity issues when designing policies (Pedersen and Nygaard, 2018). The application of off-grid energy solutions by the private-sector has been demonstrated by empirical data on countries such as Nigeria and Kenya, which has shown how the changing regulatory environments have led to the involvement of the private-sector in the provision of off-grid energy solutions (Obi, 2025). Comparative studies, further, point out that adaptive policy strategies are most effective when they are adjusted to local socio-economic situations and facilitated by mechanisms of iterative policy learning and adaptation (Akther *et al.*, 2024).

The convergence of decentralized energy systems, digital technologies and adaptive governance is being increasingly understood in the contexts of energy justice and resilience theory. The energy justice scholarship has pointed out the role of distributional, procedural, and recognition justice in ensuring that the energy transition is inclusive and equitable (Apergi *et al.*, 2024). The resilience theory also supplements this perception by highlighting resilience of systems, redundancy, and being able to recover quickly, particularly when dealing with decentralized networks, which redistribute risk and ensure that the failure at one location of a system does not affect the entire network (Jasiumnas *et al.*, 2021). Recent evidence suggests that the integration of digital technologies into the decentralized systems, when supported by adaptive policy frameworks can enhance both equity and resilience outcomes in climate-vulnerable environments (Effiong, 2026; Okesiji, 2025). Having said that, much of the literature that exists is still disjointed, not conceding that most of it focuses on individual technologies, individual country studies or single aspects of energy transitions and not on systemic integration (Adil and Ko, 2016).

There are still significant gaps in the existing scholarship. Despite some insightful case-specific researches in Nigeria, Kenya, and India, there is a relative lack of consistent methodological approaches when working across a variety of national contexts (Pedersen and Nygaard, 2018; Katre *et al.*, 2019). Moreover, very little attention is paid to the impact of these interactions on equitable and crisis-resilient energy results in settings with a high climate vulnerability and different institutional capacities. The gap that this paper fills is by conducting a qualitative comparative case study of Nigeria, Kenya and India, thus contributing to both theoretical and empirical knowledge of integrated pathways towards sustainable energy futures.

Theoretical Framework

This study is underpinned by an integrated framework combining socio-technical systems theory, resilience theory, energy justice, and adaptive governance. Taken together, these perspectives provide an analytical lens for examining interactions among decentralised energy systems, digital technologies, and policy institutions, with the aim of delivering equitable and crisis-resilient energy outcomes in climate-vulnerable contexts such as Nigeria, Kenya, and India.

The conceptualisation of energy transitions draws on socio-technical systems theory, which frames transitions as co-evolutionary processes involving technologies, infrastructures, user practices, regulatory systems, markets, and cultural norms (Geels, 2017). Within this view, decentralised energy systems represent emerging alternatives that challenge established centralised regimes. Innovations such as mini-grids and solar home systems, particularly when coupled with digital technologies including IoT applications and blockchain-enabled platforms, interact with institutional and social arrangements to produce new forms of governance, participation, and value creation at the local level (Adil and Ko, 2016).

Resilience theory complements this by focusing on the capacity of energy systems to anticipate, absorb, adapt to, and recover from disruptions while maintaining core functions. Energy resilience extends beyond reliability to encompass robustness, redundancy, and rapid recovery. Decentralised systems enhance resilience by reducing dependence on single points of failure, while digital technologies enable predictive monitoring and adaptive control, improving responsiveness under uncertainty (Jasiūnas *et al.*, 2021).

Energy justice adds a normative dimension by foregrounding fairness in energy distribution and governance. It is typically operationalised through three dimensions: distributional justice, concerning equitable access and affordability; procedural justice, concerning inclusive and participatory decision-making; and recognition justice, concerning acknowledgement of differentiated vulnerabilities across social groups (Apergi *et al.*, 2024). This lens ensures that technological and systemic developments are evaluated not only for efficiency but also for social equity and inclusion.

Adaptive governance addresses the institutional dimension by emphasising flexibility, learning, and responsiveness in policy design and implementation. It advocates multi-level coordination, stakeholder engagement, and iterative policy processes to respond to evolving conditions and uncertainties (Akther *et al.*, 2024). Such governance arrangements are critical for integrating decentralised systems and digital technologies and for aligning outcomes with equity and resilience objectives.

Collectively, these theories are mutually reinforcing. Socio-technical systems theory explains how technological and social innovations emerge and interact; resilience theory defines performance expectations under stress; energy justice establishes normative criteria for equitable outcomes; and adaptive governance specifies the institutional processes required to guide and sustain transformation. This integrated framework provides a coherent basis for the comparative analysis of Nigeria, Kenya, and India, allowing identification of enabling conditions and persistent challenges across these contexts.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study adopts the qualitative comparative case study approach to investigate the interplay between decentralised energy systems, digital technologies and adaptive policy frameworks to influence equitable and crisis-robust energy outcomes in climate-sensitive environments. Qualitative approach is suitable because it is used to provide understanding of complex socio-technical and institutional processes that can only be captured in a qualitative way. Finally, a comparative case study approach allows systematic analysis of other similarities and differences in the different national contexts, thus further deepening understanding of the effects different configurations of technology, governance and policy can have on energy transitions.

The study is based on comparative analysis of Nigeria, Kenya and India. These countries have been specifically chosen based on their climatic vulnerability and diverse level of decentralised renewable energy deployment, digital integration and policy adaptability. The cases are thus suitable for analysis of the role different socio-technical and governance arrangements play in achieving equitable and resilient energy outcomes in the Global South.

Only secondary datasets and document analysis were used to gather data for the study. The document sources consisted of national energy policies, renewable energy strategies, regulatory guidelines, government reports and institutional publications. Data from other international organizations, including the World Bank, the International Renewable Energy Agency (IRENA) and the International Energy Agency (IEA) was also used. The data on electricity access, deployment of renewable energy, climate vulnerability and energy resilience indicators were also included as secondary data to enhance the comparative analysis. Moreover, documented case studies of decentralised renewable energy projects, such as mini grid, solar home system and hybrid renewable energy systems, were used to give context and contextual evidence to assess practical implementation outcomes.

Data were collected systematically. Official government documents, institutional databases, academic journals and international development reports were used to identify relevant documents and data sets. Materials were chosen that are relevant to the study goals, from credible sources, and current. The compiled data were then sorted based on the key analytical dimensions of the study: decentralised energy systems, digital technologies, adaptive policy frameworks, energy equity and system resilience.

Data Selection Criteria

The study adopted specific inclusion and exclusion criteria for the selection of secondary data and documentary sources for analytical rigor and relevance. Documents included those that explicitly referenced decentralised energy, digital technologies in energy governance, adaptive policy frameworks, energy access and/or climate resilience in the selected case countries. Official government publications, peer-reviewed journal articles, institutional publications and datasets from internationally recognised organisations, such as the International Energy Agency, the World Bank and the International Renewable Energy Agency, were given priority. Sources from the years 2015 to 2025 were selected as the primary focus, as they are more relevant to modern times, but earlier foundational pieces of work were kept where they have a theoretical significance.

Any documents that did not show methodological transparency, were outdated without ongoing policy relevance, or had content that did not relate to the study objectives, were not included. The secondary data was further evaluated on the basis of consistency, fullness of data, credibility of source, and comparability of data across Nigeria, Kenya and India. After selection, the data were sorted into the following thematic areas of analysis in the study: decentralised energy systems, digital technologies, adaptive policy frameworks, energy equity, and system resilience.

Thematic and cross case analytical methods were used for analysis. The first stage involved within-case analysis of each country to uncover the structure, implementation trends and results of decentralised energy systems, digital integration and policy adaptation. In the second stage, cross case comparison was conducted to find convergences, divergences, and recurring patterns across the three contexts. Special emphasis was placed on understanding the impacts of the interplay between technological, institutional and governance considerations on equitable access, affordability, procedural inclusion and climate shock and stress resilience.

triangulation was used, working with multiple documentary and secondary data sources for increased analytical rigor. This way, the work's credibility and consistency were strengthened, because it allowed for the verification of the results on the basis of policy documents, institutional reports and statistical data. To minimise bias and ensure dependability of analysis, the study also ensured transparency in the selection and interpretation of data

The study is based on a secondary data analysis and document analysis approach, but such a method is suitable for the analysis of large-scale policy and socio-technical trends in several countries. However, primary data is limited and so direct engagement with stakeholder experience and real-time operational perspectives is limited. However, the use of a variety of authentic and reliable sources gives students a strong foundation for comparison and theory building.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Case Study contexts: Nigeria, Kenya and India

Nigeria, Kenya and India have analytically strong case studies that can be applied to study how decentralized energy system, digital technologies and adaptive policy framework can be integrated within climate-vulnerable contexts. All three countries face significant of climate-related risks that threaten energy infrastructure, including flooding, droughts, heatwaves, and extreme weather events. They also differ in electricity access the extent of decentralized renewable energy deployment, and the degree of institutionalization. Despite these differences, Nigeria, India, and Kenya share high vulnerability and low preparedness, underscoring the urgent need to accelerate resilient energy transitions in similar contexts. (Notre Dame Global Adaptation Initiative, n.d.).

These shared vulnerabilities, combined with distinct socio-economic factors and policy contexts, provide a strong foundation for comparative analysis. By examining both the similarities and differences across cases, the study can identify context-specific dynamics as well as broader patterns in integrating decentralized, digital, and policy-driven energy solutions. This comparative approach strengthens the study's explanatory depth and generates transferable insights applicable to other climate-vulnerable regions.

As sub-Saharan Africa's most populous country with approximately 218 million people, Nigeria exemplifies the challenge of energy poverty amid rapid population growth. National electricity access remains stagnant at 59.5-60.5%, leaving 86-90 million people without reliable power. (IEA, 2025; Clean Technology Hub, 2025). This gap is compounded by stark urban-rural disparities: urban access reaches 89%, while rural electrification remains below 30%. Despite an installed generation capacity of roughly 16 GW, transmission and distribution losses reduce effective delivery to under 5 GW. The shortfall drives widespread reliance on diesel generators. (Clean Technology. Hub, 2025).

Climate impacts further strain the system, with flooding and extreme heat causing frequent disruptions. In response, the Nigerian Government has prioritized decentralized renewable energy. World bank-supported initiatives, such as the Distributed Access through Renewable Energy Scale-Up project, are expanding access to solar home systems and mini-grids. Privately led mini-grids and hybrid systems in underserved areas are also growing, supported by complementary regulations from the Nigerian Electricity Regulatory Commission (NERC).

Digital integration is still nascent, but emerging applications, particularly the pay-as-you-go models and loT-enabled monitoring show promise for improving system performance and expanding access.

Kenya presents a rapid case of rapid electrification in a climate-sensitive context. National electricity access rose sharply from 37% in 2013 to 75-79% in 2023-2024. Urban access is nearly universal and rural electrification has reached 68-70%.(IEA, 2025; Government of Kenya, 2025). The energy mix is predominantly renewable, with geothermal, hydro, wind, and solar accounting for roughly 82% of installed capacity (Government of Kenya, 2025). Decentralized systems have been central to expanding access in remote areas, notably through the Kenya Off-Grid Solar Access Project, which has deployed mini-grids and standalone solar systems in marginalized regions. Kenya also leads East Africa in solar home system deployment, supported by innovative financing models such as pay-as-you-go integrated with mobile money systems (IEA, 2025).

By 2025 and 2026, India- with a population of over 1.4 billion-has achieved near-universal electricity access, with coverage rates above 99% in both urban and rural areas. This progress stems largely from large-scale government electrification programs. (Ministry of Power, Government of India, 2025; World Bank, 2025).

However, reliability remains a challenge. Frequent outages, voltage fluctuations, and service quality issues persist, particularly during extreme weather events. Renewable energy capacity has also expanded significantly, exceeding 190 GW and accounting for over 40% of total installed generation capacity. (Ministry of New and Renewable Energy, 2025; Central Electricity Authority, 2025)

Decentralized renewable energy systems play a complementary role, supporting rural livelihoods and agricultural applications through programs such as solarization initiatives. The sector is increasingly adopting digital technologies including smart metering and grid-interactive systems like virtual power plants. Despite these advances, India remains highly vulnerable to cyclones, heatwaves, and monsoonal variability, which strain both centralized and decentralized infrastructure. (Notre Dame Global Adaptation Initiative, n.d,).

This was majorly due to large scale government programs to universal electrification. However, the problems with reliability, such as frequent failures, changes in voltage, and the issues with the quality of the service, in particular, in relation to extreme weather events, remain (Smart Power India and NITI Aayog, 2020, updated assessments). The development of renewable energy capacity in India has also made significant strides with total capacity now being over 190 GW and over 40% of total installed generation capacity (Ministry of New and Renewable Energy, 2025; Central Electricity Authority, 2025). The role of the decentralized renewable energy systems is complementary, particularly to the rural livelihoods and agricultural applications through select programs such as the promotion of the decentralized renewable energy systems, solarization initiatives among others. The energy sector is increasingly moving towards the use of digital technologies within the sphere in the form of smart metering programs and other emerging grid-interactive systems like virtual power plant setups. Although this has been improved, even after the improvement, India continues to be highly vulnerable to the effects of climate, including cyclones, heat waves, and monsoonal variability, which puts significant pressures on both centralized and decentralized infrastructure (Notre Dame Global Adaptation Initiative, n.d.).

Together, these three cases provide a strong foundation for comparative analysis. Nigeria illustrates how decentralized systems can address large access gaps in a context of weak infrastructure. Kenya demonstrates the benefits of integrating decentralized solutions with advanced digital technologies and flexible policy frameworks to achieve rapid, inclusive electrification.

India highlights the challenge of ensuring reliability and equity in a high-access system at scale.

Collectively, they show how different combinations of decentralized infrastructure, digital integration, and policy flexibility shape equitable and crisis-resilient energy outcomes across the Global South.

Within-Case Analysis

The within-case study takes into consideration the architecture and operation of the decentralized energy systems, digital technologies and adaptive policy frameworks in Nigeria, Kenya and India. The analysis unravels the particular pathways through which each of the countries is developing to achieve equitable and crisis resilient energy results.

There is also low access to energy in Nigeria, with an estimated 60% of the population having access to electricity and a high percentage of rural population lacking a consistent supply. Decentralized renewable energy systems are now a critical intervention and the recent developments include the Distributed Access through Renewable Energy Scale-up project which has increased the scope of application of solar hybrid mini-grids. The regulatory reforms (including new mini-grid regulations and more wholesale reforms in the legislation) have helped to improve the enabling environment within which the involvement of the private sector can be facilitated. The still-developing digital technologies are being utilized via pay-as-you-go systems and monitoring platforms which improve operational efficiency and revenue collection. The adaptive policy responses, including flexible licensing policies and cooperative agreements between stakeholders, are some of the indicators of the attempts to cope with systemic constraints and climate-related disruptions. These changes help in better access to energy and resiliency, but issues connected with funding, implementation, and digital infrastructure still restrict scalability.

Kenya is more liberal to incorporate decentralized energy systems, digital technologies, and the flexible system of policies. The increase in mini-grids and standalone solar systems, particularly in underserved regions has led to tremendous improvement in electrification. Digital technologies have been actively adopted and enable real-time optimization of the system, predictive maintenance and new financing mechanisms. High adaptability levels and the ability to involve the private sector and coordinate the decentralized solutions with the overall national energy policies can characterize policy frameworks. These factors have contributed to a more equitable access to energy and system resilience and especially in the case of climate variability. Nevertheless, such problems as the maintenance of infrastructures and budget constraints remain relevant aspects to be taken into account.

India is a different narrative, where there is virtually unlimited access to electricity of more than 99 percent with concerns still being raised about reliability, and the quality of service. The decentralized renewable energy systems, mostly in rural and agricultural locations, complement the centralized grid. Such initiatives as smart metering and grid-interactive systems are being developed as part of the digital integration, and help to manage and optimize the systems better. Policy frameworks have adaptive features such as targeted subsidies, incentives and coordinated governance mechanisms to encourage the development of renewable energy and fair access. These measures have a potential to make the systems more resilient and to improve the distributional outcomes but not grid integration, intermittency and inclusive access.

Overall, the within-case analysis indicates that all countries have realized some progress in the integration of the decentralized energy systems, digital technologies and flexible policy frameworks though to different extents. As the experience of Nigeria, Kenya, and India shows, regulatory innovation can often be the key to providing increased access and that hybrid systems can be essential in balancing access and reliability. The findings are the basis of the additional cross-case analysis and the development of a single analytical system.

Cross-Case Comparative Analysis

The result of the cross-case comparison of Nigeria, Kenya and India, is that decentralized energy systems, digital technologies, and adaptive systems of policy are interacting both in convergence and in divergence to create equitable and crisis-resilient energy outcomes. Though all three countries focus on the same issue of decentralized renewable energy as a strategic measure of responding to climate vulnerability and deficiencies in accessing energy, they have very different results (Obi, 2025; World Bank, 2025).

Nigeria is the country with the largest access deficit with around 86 million individuals not having a reliable supply of electricity, especially in rural regions. Decentralized energy networks, as part of efforts such as the Distributed Access through Renewable Energy Scale-up project and the Interconnected Mini-Grids Acceleration Scheme have boosted access through solar hybrid mini-grids. However, digital integration is yet to be achieved, most of it being based on pay-as-you-go systems, with adaptive policy reforms, such as the Electricity Act 2023, and updated mini-grid regulations, being primarily focused on licensing and interconnection and still face implementation challenges. Though the rural access is increasing, the resilience is still limited due to the lack of financing and poor integration of the grid and decentralized systems (Clean Technology Hub, 2025).

Kenya demonstrates the highest level of integration amongst the three pillars. As the country has successfully implemented decentralized solutions with projects like the Kenya Off-Grid Solar Access Project, and by massively adopting standalone solar systems. The effectiveness of the system and its financial sustainability have been enhanced with the help of digital technologies and the utilization of blockchain applications, artificial intelligence-based forecasting and mobile-enabled pay-as-you-go platforms. The National Energy Policy 2025-2034 can be defined as an adaptive governance strategy characterized by multi-level coordination with active private sector involvement. This combined strategy has led to good equity results, particularly in marginalized areas, as well as increased resilience against climate-related disruptions such as drought-induced hydropower constraints (Government of Kenya, 2025; IEA, 2025).

India stands in the middle as it has already achieved a near 99% universal access to electricity and continues to expand accessibility of decentralized renewable energy through programs like the PM-KUSUM, as well as other livelihood-oriented programs. Decentralized resources are easily incorporated into the large grid because of renewable capacity of over 190 GW through digital innovations such as smart metering and virtual power systems. The iterative development of policy and specific subsidies to improve access and resilience are facilitated by the adaptive policy mechanisms at the national and sub-national policy levels. Although these measures have increased the reliability of the systems and climate resilience, issues concerning procedural justice and inclusive participation are still apparent, especially among the marginalized populations (Ministry of New and Renewable Energy, 2025).

A distinct pattern emerges across the three cases: greater alignment between decentralized energy systems, digital technologies, and adaptive policy frameworks corresponds to stronger equity and resilience outcomes. Kenya's integrated approach represents the most inclusive and resilient system. Nigeria shows regulatory progress with strong potential, but its impact remains limited due to a lack of integration. In contrast, the most robust system within a high-access context is the integrated approach of Kenya. These findings can be used to support the thesis that socio-technical and policy system co-evolution is critical to the creation of fair and crisis-resilient energy futures, as opposed to being based on the result of isolated technological interventions (Pedersen and Nygaard, 2018).

Integrated Framework Development

This paper builds on an integrated socio-technical adaptive framework. Drawing on within-case and cross-case analysis of Nigeria, Kenya and India, the framework can inform the design of equitable, crisis-resilient energy futures in climate-vulnerable contexts. Components that need to change in a coordinated way to achieve equitable and resilient outcomes are conceptualized by the framework. It is based on the socio-technical systems theory, the resiliency theory, the energy justice, and the adaptive governance (Geels, 2017; Jasiunas *et al.*, 2021; Apergi *et al.*, 2024; Akther *et al.*, 2024).

This presupposes the multi-layered approach. Decentralized renewable energy systems serve as the infrastructure base of the system , enabling local energy production and reducing dependence on centralized grids. The enabling layer consists of digital technologies and is capable of the following: real-time monitoring, predictive analytics, demand-side management and transparent energy transactions. The coordinating layer that enables the alignment of technological innovation and societal needs through flexible regulation, stakeholder involvement, and learning of policies is the adaptive policy frameworks (Pedersen and Nygaard, 2018; Obi, 2025).

Interactions among these factors are shaped by feedback systems rather than by linear relationships. The effective socio-technical alignment comes about when the digital innovations are incorporated into the decentralized systems and are supported by the adaptive regulatory environment. It is possible to use decentralized systems to enhance resilience by using distributed generation and redundancy as well as digital technologies to improve the responsiveness and efficiency of the systems. Simultaneously, intelligent policy models can help to make sure that such developments are informed by the principles of equity and inclusion. The cases have been able to provide empirical evidence that demonstrates that more complete integration of such elements is related to better results as seen in Kenya, but partial integration as seen in Nigeria and India have fewer gains.

The framework contributes to the body of existing scholarship by describing the main conditions to ensure synergistic results like the flexibility of policies, digital capacity, and the community-centered implementation. It can also be a handy tool in diagnosing energy system gaps and giving policy interventions. The analysis points out that the individual use of each of the elements is not sufficient to achieve equitable and resilience energy transitions but instead, there is a need to combine them in a coordinated and contextual way to address the complex issues facing climate-vulnerable regions.

Discussion

The comparative analysis of Nigeria, Kenya and India demonstrates that fair and crisis-resilient energy results are achieved when decentralized energy systems, digital technologies and adaptive policy frameworks evolve in a more integrated and self-reinforcing manner. In Nigeria, the recent regulatory reforms, the decentralization of energy projects have increased access, but the lack of digital integration and implementation barriers prevents more comprehensive benefits of resilience. As the experience of Kenya shows, the high alignment of the three pillars, such as the decentralized systems, the advanced digital technologies and adaptive governance contributing to a significant improvement of access and resilience are worth the price. The hybrid model, which exists in India, highlights the potential of having a centralized and a decentralized model, yet problems of reliability and procedural justice are raised. The findings confirm the validity of the combined framework presented in this paper and reveal the importance of focusing technological innovation on institutional and social aspects (Obi, 2025; Pedersen and Nygaard, 2018).

Theoretically, the contribution of the research to the socio-technical systems theory shows how decentralized innovations under the conditions of support with digital technologies and adaptive policies can change the existing state of energy regimes. It extends resilience theory by providing empirical evidence that distributed systems and digital tools enhance both system resilience and recovery capacity. The paper also elaborates the energy justice literature, where distributional, procedural and recognition are needed to be added to the energy transitions. More so, it cleans up the adaptive governance theory by showing that flexibility, coordination, and learning are important in the management of complex energy systems under conditions of uncertainty (Akther *et al.*, 2024; Jasiūnas *et al.*, 2021).

Policy Implications

The findings suggest that strategic and context-specific approaches are needed to align energy decentralization, digital technologies, and adaptive policy frameworks.

In Nigeria, integrating growing digital infrastructure into existing regulatory frameworks would improve system efficiency and scalability. Kenya's experience highlights the importance of embedding digital specifications and community participation into policy development to sustain improvement. In India, inclusiveness and equity can be advanced by optimizing participatory processes within decentralized energy programs. Across all cases, coordinated governance structures, public-private partnerships, and the integration of resilience metrics into energy planning are essential. International organizations and development partners should support integrated strategies that combine technological innovation, policy reform, and institutional development.

Limitations

This study has two main limitations. Relying on document analysis and secondary data limits the ability to capture stakeholders' real-time attitudes and the operational dynamics as they unfold. The level of analysis may also be influenced by the differences in the quality and availability of data in the selected countries. Moreover, the emphasis on the three countries restricts the generalizability of the results to other settings with different institutional and socio-economic attributes. The fast changing aspect of energy technologies and policies also indicates that the results might need revision as new developments take place.

CONCLUSION

The present paper demonstrates that the only solution to provide equitable and crisis-resilient energy futures is the successful, coordinated implementation of decentralized renewable energy systems, digital technologies and adaptive governance systems. The comparative analysis of Nigeria, Kenya and India, reveals the opportunities and challenges in the further development of such integration. The proposed framework offers a basis for understanding and guiding energy transitions in climate-vulnerable contexts. The research highlights the relevance of systemic alignment for addressing the dual challenges of energy access and climate resilience. Future studies should build on these findings through more comparative analysis and the use of primary data to deepen understanding of integrated energy systems across different contexts.

REFERENCES

Adil, A. M., & Ko, Y. (2016). Socio-technical evolution of decentralized energy systems: A critical review and implications for urban planning and policy. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 57*, 1025-1037. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2015.12.079

Akther, S., & Evans, J. (2024). Emerging attributes of adaptive governance in the global south. *Frontiers in Environmental Science, 12*, Article 1372157. https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2024.1372157

Alstone, P., Gershenson, D., & Kammen, D. M. (2015). Decentralized energy systems for clean electricity access. *Nature Climate Change, 5*(4), 305-314. https://rael.berkeley.edu/wp-content/uploads/2016/04/Alstone-Gershenson-Kammen-NatureClimateChange-2015-EnergyAccess.pdf

Apergi, M., *et al.* (2024). An energy justice index for the energy transition in the global South. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 198*, Article 110961. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2023.110961

Babayomi, O. O. (2023). A review of renewable off-grid mini-grids in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Frontiers in Energy Research, 10*, Article 1089025. https://doi.org/10.3389/fenrg.2022.1089025

Central Electricity Authority. (2025). *Monthly installed capacity report*. Ministry of Power, Government of India. https://cea.nic.in

Clean Technology Hub. (2025). *Nigeria energy access market update* (2023-2024). https://cleantechnologyhub.com/wp-content/uploads/2025/03/CTH-Energy-Access-Market-Report-2023-2024.pdf

Effiong, I. (2026). *The impact of mini-grids on rural energy-access indicators in developing countries: A systematic review. Energies, 19*(6), Article 1441. https://doi.org/10.3390/en19061441

Geels, F. W. (2017). The socio-technical dynamics of low-carbon transitions. *Joule, 1*(3), 463-479. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joule.2017.09.018

Government of Kenya. (2025a). *National energy compact 2025–2030*. https://thedocs.worldbank.org/en/ doc/69843ca047603c8c4e993d2ff997f555-0 0010012025/original/Kenya-National-Energy-Compact-Mission-300.pdf

Government of Kenya. (2025b). *National energy policy 2025–2034*. https://www.energy.go.ke/sites/default/files/Final%20Draft_National%20Energy%20Policy%202025_November%202025.pdf

IEA. (2025a). Access to electricity stagnates, leaving globally 730 million in the dark. International Energy Agency. https://www.iea.org/commentaries/access-to-electricity-stagnates-leaving-globally-730-million-in-the-dark

IEA. (2025b). *Kenya 2024 – Energy policy review*. International Energy Agency. https://iea.blob.core.windows.net/assets/98bc7ce1-b22d-48c9-9ca2-b668ffbfcc4b/Kenya2024.pdf

Jasiūnas, J., *et al.* (2021). Energy system resilience – A review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 150*, Article 111254. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2021.111254

Katre, A., Tozzi, A., & Bhattacharyya, S. (2019). Sustainability of community-owned mini-grids: Evidence from India. *Energy, Sustainability and Society, 9*(1), Article 1. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13705-018-0185-9

Mahmood, M., *et al.* (2024). Impacts of digitalization on smart grids, renewable energy integration, and demand response: A comprehensive review. *Energy and AI*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egyai.2024.100268

Mini-Grids Partnership. (2024). *2024 state of the global mini-grids market report*. https://minigrids.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/08/SOTM-Report-2024_EN_vFc.pdf

Ministry of New and Renewable Energy. (2025). *Renewable energy statistics 2024-25*. Government of India. https://cdnbbsr.s3waas.gov.in/s3716e1b8c6cd17b771da77391355749f3/uploads/2025/11/202511061627678782.pdf

Ministry of Power, Government of India. (2025). *Energy statistics India 2025*. https://mospi.gov.in/sites/default/files/publication_reports/Energy_Statistics_2025/Energy%20Statistics%20India%202025_27032025.pdf

Nigerian Electricity Regulatory Commission. (2023/2026). *Mini-grid regulations*.

Nik, V. M. (2021). Towards climate resilient urban energy systems: A review. *National Science Review, 8*(3), nwaa134. https://doi.org/10.1093/nsr/nwaa134

Notre Dame Global Adaptation Initiative. (n.d.). ND-GAIN *country index*. University of Notre Dame. https://gain.nd.edu/our-work/country-index/

Obi, J. N. (2025). Decentralised renewable energy in sub-Saharan Africa. *Sustainable Energy Research*. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2666519025001335

Okesiji, S. O. (2025). A pathway to climate resilience in low-income regions. *Sustainability and Climate Change*. https://doi.org/10.1089/scc.2025.0014

Pedersen, M. B., & Nygaard, I. (2018). System building in the Kenyan electrification regime: The case of private solar mini-grid development. *Energy Research and Social Science, 42*, 211-223. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2018.03.010

Rejeb, A., Rejeb, K., Zrelli, I., Süle, E., & Iranmanesh, M. (2024). Blockchain technology in the renewable energy sector: A co-word analysis of academic discourse. *Heliyon, 10*(8), Article e29600. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e29600

Schneider, S., Abraham, A., & Yetano Roche, M. (2025). Community ownership models for decentralised renewables in the global south: A review and research agenda. *International Journal of Sustainable Energy Planning and Management, 46*, 58–77. https://doi.org/10.54337/ijsepm.8621

Ullah, N., Al-Rahmi, W. M., Alblehai, F., Fernando, Y., & H. Z. (2024). Blockchain-powered grids: Paving the way for a sustainable and efficient future. *Heliyon, 10*(10), Article e31592. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e31592

World Bank. (2025a). *Kenya off-grid solar access project* (KOSAP). https://www.worldbank.org/en/results/2025/07/10/reinvigorating-india-s-electricity-distribution-for-access-reliability-and-digitalization

World Bank. (2025b). *Nigeria distributed access through renewable energy scale-up project*. http://documents1.worldbank.org/curated/en/099032426141528151/pdf/P179687-0d8efbe7-7adc-443a-926a-0a3ebfb9914d.pdf

World Bank. (2025c). *Reinvigorating India's electricity distribution*. https://www.worldbank.org/en/results/2025/07/10/reinvigorating-india-s-electricity-distribution-for-access-reliability-and-digitalization

Zakeri, B., *et al.* (2024). Digitalization for resilient and sustainable energy systems. *Energies, 17*(21), Article 5434. https://doi.org/10.3390/en17215434

Zebra, E. I. C., *et al.* (2021). A review of hybrid renewable energy systems in mini-grids for off-grid electrification in developing countries. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 140*, Article 110739. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2021.110739