



# **JOURNAL OF INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS AND PEACE (JIRP)**

**VOLUME 1 ISSUE 1 (2024)**



**PUBLISHED BY  
E-PALLI PUBLISHERS, DELAWARE, USA**

## Localizing Peace in Sudan: Grassroots Solutions for Sustainable Conflict Resolution

Fawzi Ahmed Abdullah Slom<sup>1\*</sup>

### Article Information

**Received:** November 16, 2024

**Accepted:** December 20, 2024

**Published:** December 28, 2024

### Keywords

*Conflict Resolution, Grassroots,  
Localising Peace, Sudan*

### ABSTRACT

Sudan has long been plagued by recurring conflicts rooted in ethnic, political, and economic grievances. Traditional top-down peace processes, led by national and international actors, have often failed to deliver sustainable peace. The Data Collection Primary data is collected through semi-structured interviews with key stakeholders, including local community leaders, grassroots activists, women's groups, and youth organizations involved in peacebuilding, exploring grassroots approaches to peacebuilding in Sudan and focusing on local communities as drivers of sustainable conflict resolution. Examining the role of grassroots initiatives in promoting peace also highlights how localized efforts can address the root causes of conflict and foster social cohesion. Through case studies from diverse regions within Sudan, the study analyses the effectiveness of community-led interventions such as dialogue sessions, youth and women's participation, and cultural practices in resolving conflicts. The findings underscore the importance of empowering local actors and integrating their knowledge and strategies into national peace efforts. The study contributes to the growing discourse on localized peacebuilding, offering insights that can inform policies to create lasting peace in Sudan and other conflict-affected regions.

### INTRODUCTION

Sudan has been a country marked by conflict and instability for decades. The violence began with the civil wars between the North and South, escalating in South Kordofan and the Blue Nile regions and later spreading to Darfur. These conflicts have left a lasting impact, with displacement and unrest becoming defining features of Sudan's history, ultimately leading to the secession of South Sudan in 2011 (de Waal, 2015). The recent outbreak of conflict following the 2019 revolution and the subsequent military coup has further destabilized the fragile peace process initiated by the transitional government (Verjee, 2021). These conflicts, driven by ethnic, political, and economic factors, have killed millions, displaced, traumatized, and living in conditions of extreme poverty and insecurity (UNHCR, 2023).

While many efforts at peacebuilding in Sudan have focused on high-level negotiations between warring factions, these top-down approaches have often needed to work on delivering lasting peace. National and international peace agreements have frequently failed to address the root causes of conflict, particularly the needs and grievances of local communities. They have never addressed human rights violations and crimes. The accountability issue has never been touched, which has created an impunity shield for all perpetrators throughout Sudan's modern history.

Healings, compensations, and proper DDR are ignored. As a result, localized violence often persists, undermining broader efforts toward national reconciliation (Aalen, 2019). It is increasingly recognized that for peace to be sustainable, solutions must come from the communities most affected by conflict. Grassroots approaches, which

involve local actors and institutions in the peace process, offer a promising alternative to top-down peacebuilding models. By engaging community leaders, women, youth, and civil society groups, these approaches address the specific needs of local populations and create inclusive spaces for dialogue and reconciliation, making everyone feel included and part of the solution.

This article argues that grassroots approaches are critical and hold immense potential for achieving sustainable peace in Sudan. Drawing from examples of successful local peacebuilding initiatives, such as the Salmmiya group's work promoting nonviolent resistance, it explores how bottom-up efforts can complement national and international peacebuilding processes.

### The Objectives of This Article

I. To provide an overview of the historical and current conflicts in Sudan

II. To highlight the importance of grassroots solutions in resolving these conflicts

III. To offer policy recommendations for incorporating local actors into broader peace processes.

This article demonstrates that peace in Sudan can only be achieved with grassroots actors' active participation and empowerment.

### LITERATURE REVIEW

#### What Does Localising Peace Mean?

Localizing peace refers to anchoring peacebuilding initiatives within local communities' specific contexts, needs, and capacities. In contrast to top-down peace efforts, often designed and implemented by national or international actors, localized peace focuses on

<sup>1</sup> Pan African University, Institute of Governance, Humanities and Social Sciences, Governance and Regional Integration, Cameroon  
\*Corresponding author's e-mail: [fawziahmedslom@gmail.com](mailto:fawziahmedslom@gmail.com)

empowering communities to take ownership of the peace process. It emphasizes the importance of local knowledge, culture, and leadership in shaping peace strategies that are sustainable and responsive to the realities on the ground (Mac Ginty, 2015).

In many conflict-affected countries, including Sudan, external peacebuilding interventions can sometimes overlook or misunderstand the complexities of local dynamics. National-level peace agreements often focus on power-sharing among elites. They may neglect the underlying causes of conflict at the grassroots level, such as disputes over land, resources, or ethnic grievances (Chopra, 2018). Localizing peace, therefore, means shifting the focus from high-level negotiations to the everyday realities of the people most affected by conflict. It involves engaging local actors—community leaders, women's groups, youth organizations, and Religious, spiritual, community, and native leaders of civil society—who understand local conflicts and are best positioned to resolve them.

Local peacebuilding also draws on traditional conflict resolution mechanisms that have long existed in many societies. In Sudan, for example, tribal elders have historically played a key role in mediating disputes and maintaining social harmony. While these traditional structures have sometimes been disrupted by war and modern governance systems, they hold significant legitimacy in many areas (de Waal, 2015). Incorporating these traditional forms of conflict resolution into formal peace processes can bridge the gap between modern state systems and local communities, fostering trust and cooperation.

Localizing peace in Sudan means recognizing that sustainable peace cannot be imposed from the outside; it must emerge from within the society itself. As someone deeply involved in grassroots activism, I have seen firsthand how communities can transform conflict dynamics when empowered. For instance, through initiatives like the Salmmiya group, we have trained youth in nonviolent resistance strategies, which have helped shift mindsets in previously violent communities. These efforts demonstrate that when local actors are equipped with the right tools and given the space to lead, they can foster peace in ways that national actors alone cannot.

Localizing peace also involves addressing the root causes of conflict—such as economic marginalization, political exclusion, and social inequality—at the community level. This requires resolving immediate disputes and building the social and economic foundations for long-term stability. In this sense, localizing peace is about conflict resolution and creating the conditions for a just and inclusive society. Localizing peace is about empowering communities to lead the peace process in a way that reflects their unique realities, cultures, and needs. It shifts the emphasis from external interventions to internal solutions, ensuring peace is relevant and sustainable.

### **Conflict in Sudan: A Departure from the Past**

Sudan's history is marked by periods of peace and prosperity and times of intense conflict and turmoil. While the modern era has been primarily shaped by violence, historically peaceful periods starkly contrasted the cycles of conflict that now define the nation.

### **A Historically Peaceful Era in Sudan**

One of the most notable peaceful eras in Sudanese history was the period of the Kingdom of Kush, which existed from approximately 1070 BCE to 350 CE. This ancient kingdom, centered around the Nile Valley, was known for its advanced governance and economic systems, thriving trade networks, and relative political stability (Welsby, 2002). The rulers of Kush were able to maintain peace both internally and with neighboring civilizations, including Egypt, by using diplomacy and strategic marriages to avoid conflict. Though much of this period predates modern Sudanese identity, it is a reminder that the region has not always been mired in violence and instability.

During the 19th century, parts of Sudan experienced relative peace under Turco-Egyptian rule (1820-1885), particularly in urban centers like Khartoum, where a centralized administration was established. Though marked by external rule, this period saw economic development through trade and the establishment of modern infrastructure. However, this peace was fragile and mostly confined to specific regions, as resistance to foreign domination brewed in rural areas, eventually leading to the Mahdist revolt (Daly, 1986).

### **Key Historical Events Leading to the Current Situation**

Sudan's current state of conflict can be traced to several key historical events. The Mahdist Revolution (1881-1899) against Turco-Egyptian rule and British influence was one of the first significant upheavals in modern Sudanese history, leading to the creation of the Mahdist state. However, this short-lived regime collapsed under Anglo-Egyptian forces, paving the way for Anglo-Egyptian rule Condominium (1899-1956). Sudan remained a colonial entity under joint British and Egyptian control (Daly, 1991). The legacies of colonialism, particularly the division between the North and South Under the Closed Districts Ordinance 1922, would later play a significant role in the country's conflicts.

Sudan gained independence in 1956, but this did not lead to peace. The First Sudanese Civil War (1955-1972) began before independence and pitted the central government against southern rebels, who demanded greater autonomy. The war ended with the Addis Ababa Agreement in 1972, which granted the South limited autonomy. However, this peace was temporary. First, Nimeiry unilaterally amended some articles of the agreement, which provoked the civil again. That was immediately before the Sharia, which fueled the war with new elements. The central government's attempts to impose Sharia law and revoke

Southern autonomy under President Jaafar Nimeiry reignited conflict, leading to the Second Sudanese Civil War (1983-2005), which lasted over two decades and resulted in the death of an estimated 2 million people (Collins, 2008).

The signing of the Comprehensive Peace Agreement (CPA) in 2005 finally ended the civil war, paving the way for the independence of South Sudan in 2011. However, the secession did not solve Sudan's internal issues. Conflicts in Darfur (since 2003), South Kordofan, and Blue Nile states persisted, driven by ethnic, political, and economic grievances. The Darfur conflict, in particular, resulted in accusations of genocide and widespread displacement, drawing international attention and intervention from the International Criminal Court (Flint & de Waal, 2008).

The 2019 revolution ousted long-time dictator Omar al-Bashir, raising hopes for a peaceful democratic transition. However, the military coup of 2021 derailed these efforts, plunging the country into renewed political instability. The ongoing struggle for power between the military and civilian forces has created an environment of uncertainty and unrest.

### **Overview of Ongoing Conflicts and Their Implications on Communities**

Sudan's conflicts are far from over, and the country remains deeply divided along ethnic, regional, and political lines, including economic/developmental and cultural/religious ones. The ongoing violence in Darfur, South Kordofan, and the Blue Nile continues to devastate local communities. The Darfur conflict alone has displaced millions of people and led to countless deaths. Civilians in these regions face not only the direct impacts of war, such as destruction and displacement, but also the long-term consequences of instability, including food insecurity, lack of access to healthcare, and loss of livelihoods (UNHCR, 2023).

In Darfur, the conflict between the government-backed Janjaweed militias and non-Arab ethnic groups, which began in 2003, persists today. Though the intensity of the fighting has decreased, sporadic violence continues, exacerbated by a lack of effective governance and ongoing competition for land and resources (Flint & de Waal, 2008). Communities that were once agriculturally self-sufficient have been decimated by years of violence, leaving many reliant on humanitarian aid for survival.

In the Blue Nile and South Kordofan, the ongoing conflict between the Sudanese government and the Sudan People's Liberation Movement-North (SPLM-N) has had similarly devastating effects. Here, communities are caught between government forces and rebel groups, with civilians often facing indiscriminate attacks and forced displacement. The conflict has also prevented meaningful development in these regions, deepening poverty and social fragmentation (Verjee, 2021).

The political instability following the 2021 military coup has also affected the country. Protests in Khartoum and other major cities have been met with violent crackdowns,

further polarising the population. This unrest has weakened the country's fragile economy, leading to rising inflation and widespread poverty. The humanitarian situation in Sudan has deteriorated significantly, with millions of people needing assistance (UNHCR, 2023).

Sudan's ongoing conflicts have far-reaching implications for the people directly affected and the broader region. The displacement of millions has created refugee crises in neighboring countries, including Egypt, Chad, South Sudan, Ethiopia, Uganda, and Libya, straining regional resources and complicating efforts at peacebuilding.

Sudan's departure from past periods of relative peace is rooted in a complex web of historical events and ongoing conflicts. While efforts at national peacebuilding continue, sustainable peace will require addressing the local-level grievances and the deep-rooted causes of violence that have torn communities apart.

### **Theoretical Framework**

#### **Bottom-Up Peacebuilding Theory**

The theoretical foundation of this article rests on the Bottom-Up Peacebuilding Theory, as articulated by John Paul Lederach in his seminal work *Building Peace: Sustainable Reconciliation in Divided Societies* (1997). Lederach's framework emphasizes the critical role of grassroots actors in creating sustainable peace, arguing that peacebuilding processes should be driven by local communities rather than imposed from the top by political elites or international actors. According to Lederach, sustainable peace emerges from the participation of ordinary people who deeply understand the conflict's nuances, historical roots, and cultural dynamics. These individuals, often marginalized in top-down processes, hold the key to crafting solutions that are contextually appropriate and enduring (Lederach, 1997).

Lederach's bottom-up approach challenges the traditional focus on high-level negotiations, which tend to prioritize political and military actors. He argues that while these top-down processes are essential, they often must address the day-to-day realities of people affected by conflict. Instead, peace must be built from the ground up, involving a broad spectrum of society, including local leaders, women, youth, and civil society organizations. Lederach's theory is particularly relevant to Sudan, where national peace agreements have often overlooked the role of local actors in resolving community-level conflicts, such as those over resources or tribal disputes (Lederach, 1997). Lederach's pyramid model of peacebuilding conceptualizes three levels of actors: the top level (political and military elites), the middle level (NGOs, academics, religious leaders), and the grassroots level (local communities and civil society). According to this model, sustainable peace cannot be achieved by focusing solely on the top level. Instead, the middle and grassroots levels are essential for addressing the more profound, structural causes of conflict and fostering reconciliation at the community level (Lederach, 1997). In the case of Sudan, initiatives like the Salmmiya, which works with

youth and local communities to promote nonviolent resistance, are examples of how bottom-up approaches can create real change.

Lederach's bottom-up peacebuilding theory provides a valuable lens for understanding how localized, community-driven efforts can contribute to sustainable peace in Sudan. By prioritizing the involvement of grassroots actors, this approach ensures that peacebuilding processes are inclusive, culturally relevant, and better suited to address the root causes of conflict.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

This research employs a qualitative case study approach to examine grassroots peacebuilding initiatives in Sudan. The Data Collection Primary data is collected through semi-structured interviews with key stakeholders, including local community leaders, grassroots activists, women's groups, and youth organizations involved in peacebuilding. Additional data is gathered through focus group discussions held in select conflict-affected areas. To ensure diversity and representation, case studies are drawn from different regions of Sudan, including Darfur, South Kordofan, and the Blue Nile.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Why Grassroots Solutions Matter in Sustainable Conflict Resolution

In the context of Sudan, where decades of conflict have devastated communities and strained national reconciliation efforts, grassroots solutions are essential for achieving sustainable peace. Top-down approaches, often led by national governments or international organizations, must address the issues that fuel conflict. Instead, grassroots initiatives, which empower local communities and work from the bottom up, are increasingly recognized as key to building lasting peace. This approach addresses the immediate symptoms of conflict and the underlying causes, such as social inequality, marginalization, and cultural differences (Lederach, 1997).

### Importance of Grassroots Solutions

Grassroots solutions prioritize local ownership of peacebuilding processes. In Sudan, where diverse ethnic and cultural groups have experienced different forms of conflict, local actors better understand their communities' specific dynamics and tensions. According to John Paul Lederach's bottom-up peacebuilding theory, sustainable peace requires the participation of local communities because they are the ones most directly affected by conflict and, therefore, best equipped to identify the sources of violence and the pathways to reconciliation (Lederach, 1997). This approach ensures that peace initiatives are not imposed from the outside but are built on the people's experiences, needs, and aspirations.

In Sudan, numerous local peace committees have played critical roles in mediating disputes, particularly in areas where central governance structures have collapsed or are

ineffective. By empowering these local actors, grassroots solutions can address conflicts at their source before they escalate into more significant regional or national crises. For example, community-led initiatives in Darfur, where tribal leaders mediate land disputes and foster dialogue between opposing groups, have helped to reduce violence and restore social harmony in some areas (Flint & de Waal, 2008).

Some regions, such as the Nuba Mountains, Blue Nile, and Darfur regions, share traditions and customs. In contrast, the East region differs, but the purpose is the same. Kassala state, in particular, is characterized by well-established customs in resolving tribal conflicts, negotiation, and reconciliation, which form cultural wealth and social values among all tribal components in the state. Perhaps the most prominent of these customs that have shaped the human personality in Kassala state is the guild system, where councils are held with the participation of tribal leaders, starting from the stage of stopping hostilities, taking covenants and pacts from the conflicting parties, and passing through the stage of negotiation. (Dahatem Alsiddiq *et al.*, 2023).

The most prominent of these customs that formed the human personality in Kassala State is the Guild system, where councils are held with the participation of clan leaders, starting from the stage of stopping hostilities, taking covenants and charters from the conflicting parties, passing through the stage of negotiation between the parties separately. The commitment to apologies and compensation until a solution is reached, and usually conflicts are resolved by the method of forgiveness and forgiveness, then holding those who do not accept Guild decisions accountable by imposing social and economic penalties on them, then followed by the stages complementary to the reconciliation between disputants. (Dahatem Alsiddiq *et al.*, 2023).

This social system and respected customs have significantly impacted the stability of the state's people, where tribes are intertwined. There are many interventions between pastoralists and farmers, between landowners and miners, and between members of different tribes, and the guild system helped in the coexistence between tribes and respect for each other and brought into existence a social and legal system agreed upon among the tribes in the state, and this is what prompted the research team to study the gold system as one of the products of the tolerant Sudanese personality that accepts others, seeks peaceful coexistence and spreads justice among the members of the society, and this is what prompted the research team to study the guild system.

### Benefits of Grassroots Approaches

#### Empowering Local Communities

Grassroots approaches promote local empowerment by giving communities the tools and authority to manage their peace processes. In conflict-ridden areas of Sudan, local communities often feel marginalized and ignored by national peace efforts. By engaging them directly in

the resolution process, grassroots solutions restore a sense of agency and belonging. When local actors are trained in conflict resolution and negotiation skills, they can become effective mediators and peacebuilders within their contexts. Empowerment also builds trust between the community and external actors, such as NGOs and international organizations, as locals see their voices and concerns taken seriously (Paffenholz, 2014).

I have witnessed firsthand how empowering local youth leaders in Sudanese communities fosters more meaningful participation in peace initiatives. Once trained in nonviolent resistance and community dialogue, these youth leaders have transformed conflict dynamics within their neighborhoods, reducing violence and building stronger community ties. This grassroots empowerment is vital in addressing the gaps left by state-level peace efforts.

### **Building Social Cohesion**

Grassroots initiatives are instrumental in rebuilding social cohesion, particularly in post-conflict societies where trust has been eroded. By fostering dialogue and understanding between opposing groups, these efforts help to heal divisions and promote unity in Sudan, where ethnic and tribal tensions often fuel violence. Grassroots peacebuilding programs that unite different groups for dialogue and joint projects have effectively reduced hostilities. For instance, peace committees in South Kordofan have been successful in mediating between conflicting tribes and rebuilding the social fabric that had been torn apart by years of war (Verjee, 2021).

### **Addressing the Root Causes of Conflict**

One of the greatest strengths of grassroots solutions is their ability to address the root causes of conflict, which national governments or external peacebuilders often ignore or misunderstand. In Sudan, issues such as land ownership, resource allocation, and ethnic marginalization are deeply embedded in the fabric of local society. Grassroots initiatives, because they are rooted in the local context, can better navigate these issues and offer solutions that are culturally appropriate and sustainable (Lederach, 1997). Addressing these underlying issues is crucial for preventing the recurrence of conflict and ensuring long-term peace.

### **Promoting Cultural Sensitivity**

Grassroots approaches to peacebuilding are inherently culturally sensitive, as they are designed and implemented by people who understand the local customs, traditions, and social structures. This is particularly important in a country like Sudan, where diverse ethnic and religious groups have distinct ways of managing conflict. Using local mediators and peacebuilders, grassroots initiatives can bridge cultural divides and promote solutions that respect the values and norms of all parties involved. This cultural sensitivity often leads to more effective and lasting peace agreements than those brokered by external actors unfamiliar with local dynamics (Paffenholz, 2014).

### **Enhancing Local Capacity**

Finally, grassroots solutions help build local conflict resolution and governance capacity. This is critical in regions where state institutions are weak or non-existent. By providing training in mediation, leadership, and peacebuilding, grassroots initiatives create a network of local actors who can continue to manage conflicts and promote peace long after external support has withdrawn. This is particularly important in Sudan, given the frequent power vacuums created by political instability. Local peacebuilders trained through grassroots initiatives can fill these gaps, ensuring that peacebuilding efforts remain sustainable (Flint & de Waal, 2008).

Grassroots solutions are essential for achieving sustainable conflict resolution in Sudan. By empowering local communities, promoting social cohesion, addressing root causes, enhancing cultural sensitivity, and building local capacity, these approaches offer a path to lasting peace. While national and international efforts are essential, the grassroots will ultimately determine whether peace can take root in Sudan's deeply divided society.

### **Challenges to Grassroots Peacebuilding**

While grassroots peacebuilding offers immense potential for sustainable conflict resolution, it has challenges. In Sudan, various political, social, and practical barriers complicate the efforts of local peace initiatives. These obstacles must be carefully navigated to ensure that grassroots movements can succeed in their mission of fostering long-term peace.

### **Political and Social Barriers**

One of the primary challenges facing grassroots peacebuilders in Sudan is government resistance. In many cases, governments view grassroots movements with suspicion, notably when these movements advocate for changes that may challenge the status quo or seek to redistribute power more equitably. In Sudan, successive regimes have often co-opted local institutions or suppressed grassroots initiatives that threaten their control. During the Bashir regime, for example, local peace committees and civil society organizations were often seen as threatening government authority, leading to crackdowns on these groups (de Waal, 2007). Even in post-revolution Sudan, the central government has struggled to establish consistent support for grassroots movements, particularly in regions that have historically been marginalized, such as Darfur and South Kordofan. Ethnic divisions further exacerbate these political challenges. Sudan is home to over 500 ethnic groups, and ethnic identity has been a significant fault line in many of the country's conflicts. Grassroots peacebuilders must navigate these divisions carefully, as efforts to promote reconciliation between ethnic groups can be seen as taking sides or favoring one group over another. For example, in Darfur, peace initiatives that involve both Arab and non-Arab communities are often met with distrust by both sides, as historical grievances and cycles

of violence create deep-seated animosities (Flint & de Waal, 2008). This ethnic fragmentation makes building broad-based coalitions for peace difficult, and grassroots movements must work hard to overcome these tensions through culturally sensitive approaches.

### **Funding, Training, and Support for Local Initiatives**

Grassroots movements often need more financial and logistical constraints, limiting their ability to operate effectively. Local peacebuilding initiatives in Sudan are frequently underfunded and need more resources to sustain long-term efforts. International donors and organizations provide some financial support, but more is needed and tailored to the specific needs of local communities. The dependency on external funding also poses challenges, as it can lead to a misalignment between the priorities of local actors and those of international donors (Paffenholz, 2014).

In addition to financial barriers, grassroots organizations often need help with access to adequate training and capacity-building opportunities. While many local actors are deeply committed to peace, they may need more formal skills in conflict resolution, negotiation, and organizational management. This gap in training can undermine the effectiveness of grassroots efforts, as peacebuilders are not equipped with the tools they need to address complex conflicts. For example, the youth leaders involved in Salmiya's peacebuilding efforts benefited significantly from training in nonviolent resistance and mediation, which enabled them to become more effective leaders within their communities.

### **Ethnicity**

Ethnic tensions in Sudan are a critical obstacle to grassroots peacebuilding. Historical grievances between ethnic groups, exacerbated by decades of political manipulation, have entrenched divisions and fueled ongoing conflicts. For instance, the conflict in Darfur was framed along ethnic lines, pitting Arab and non-Arab groups against each other, which has made reconciliation efforts extremely difficult. Grassroots movements aiming to promote peace across ethnic boundaries often have to overcome deep mistrust and historical trauma, making progress slow and challenging (Flint & de Waal, 2008).

To effectively address these challenges, grassroots initiatives must prioritize inclusivity and cultural sensitivity. Efforts to unite opposing ethnic groups must be handled carefully, emphasizing building trust and mutual understanding. This often involves creating safe spaces for dialogue where members of different ethnic communities can share their experiences and grievances without fear of reprisal.

### **Extremism**

Another challenge facing grassroots peacebuilding in Sudan is the rise of extremism. In regions where state institutions are weak or absent, extremist groups can

take advantage of the power vacuum to recruit members and spread radical ideologies. This is particularly true in areas like Darfur and the Nuba Mountains, where extremist elements have gained a foothold amid ongoing conflicts. These groups often undermine peace efforts by promoting violence and intolerance, which directly contradict the goals of grassroots peacebuilders.

Grassroots initiatives must, therefore, find ways to counteract extremism by promoting alternative narratives of peace, coexistence, and tolerance. This can involve working with religious leaders, community elders, and youth to challenge extremist ideologies and provide pathways for individuals to disengage from violent groups. In my experience working with *Salmiya*, we have found that engaging youth in nonviolent resistance training and peacebuilding activities helps to prevent them from being drawn into extremist movements. By offering a positive and constructive alternative, grassroots peacebuilders can help reduce extremism's appeal.

Despite their many challenges, grassroots peacebuilders in Sudan are critical in fostering sustainable conflict resolution. Overcoming political resistance, ethnic divisions, funding shortages, and the threat of extremism requires careful navigation and a deep understanding of local contexts. However, with adequate support, training, and a commitment to inclusivity, grassroots movements can continue to build the foundations for a more peaceful and cohesive Sudan.

### **Policy Recommendations**

Targeted strategies and policy reforms are crucial to strengthen grassroots peacebuilding efforts in Sudan. Grassroots movements have the potential to bring about lasting peace by addressing the root causes of conflict at the local level, but they require adequate support and empowerment. Below are key recommendations to enhance the effectiveness of grassroots initiatives in conflict resolution:

#### **Strategies to Enhance Grassroots Efforts**

##### **Decentralization of Peacebuilding Initiatives**

Grassroots efforts must be empowered through decentralized governance structures that allow local actors to address conflicts specific to their regions. Government and international bodies should encourage local ownership of peacebuilding processes by involving community leaders in decision-making.

##### **Capacity-Building Programs**

Providing ongoing training in conflict analysis and resolution, leadership, Understanding and managing diversity, human rights (civil and political, cultural and economic, and the third generation), and negotiation for local leaders and peace committees will enable communities to manage disputes effectively and prevent tensions from escalating. Such programs should focus on skill development and be sensitive to local contexts.

## **Policy Reforms to Support Local Initiatives**

### **Recognition of Local Peace Committees**

National governments should formally recognize and institutionalize the role of local peace committees. This involves legal and policy frameworks integrating grassroots organizations into national peacebuilding efforts. Recognizing these organizations will give them greater legitimacy and protection from political interference.

### **Increased Financial Support for Local Initiatives**

Governments and international donors should allocate specific funds to support grassroots peace initiatives. Policies should be designed to ensure that financial resources reach the local level, with mechanisms to track and evaluate the impact of funding on peacebuilding efforts.

## **Recommendations for Engaging and Supporting Grassroots Movements**

### **Fostering Inclusive Participation**

Grassroots movements must include all community members, especially marginalized groups like women, youth, and ethnic minorities. Policy frameworks should encourage broad-based participation in peace initiatives, ensuring diverse voices are represented in peace dialogues and solutions.

### **Supporting Conflict-Sensitive Approaches**

Policymakers and international donors should support conflict-sensitive programming that prioritizes local knowledge and cultural sensitivity. External interventions should be adapted to local realities rather than imposing top-down solutions that may not resonate with community needs.

### **Training and Empowering Local Leaders**

Empowering Local Leaders: Investing in the leadership skills of local actors is critical for long-term peace. Local leaders must be trained in conflict resolution, governance, mediation, and human rights. Empowering these leaders will allow them to facilitate dialogue, manage disputes, and build consensus within their communities.

### **Institutional Support for Local Organizations**

Local organizations can only often scale their efforts with external support. Governments and international partners should provide technical assistance, resources, and capacity-building opportunities to strengthen grassroots movements' organizational capabilities.

## **Collaboration with National and International Entities Leveraging External Support While Maintaining Local Ownership**

While international organizations play a crucial role in supporting grassroots peacebuilding, it is essential that they respect and maintain local ownership of peace processes. External actors should serve as enablers,

providing technical and financial support without undermining the autonomy of local peacebuilders. National and international entities should collaborate by aligning their goals with local priorities.

### **Partnerships for Sustainable Impact**

Establishing long-term partnerships between local organizations, national governments, and international entities can ensure sustainable peacebuilding efforts. International organizations should serve as advocates for grassroots movements at global forums, ensuring that local voices are heard and supported.

Empowering grassroots initiatives is critical to building sustainable peace in Sudan. By enacting supportive policies, providing necessary training and resources, and fostering collaboration with international and national partners, grassroots movements can effectively address the root causes of conflict and promote lasting peace at the community level. These recommendations aim to enhance the capacity of local actors to take ownership of the peace process and to work in partnership with external supporters for a broader impact.

## **CONCLUSION**

The journey toward sustainable peace in Sudan necessitates a fundamental shift towards localizing peace efforts. The historical and ongoing conflicts have underscored the limitations of top-down approaches that fail to consider local communities' unique dynamics and needs. Instead, it is crucial to recognize the role of grassroots movements in conflict resolution, as they are often best positioned to address the root causes of violence and foster social cohesion.

### **Summary of Key Points**

This article has highlighted several critical aspects of localizing peace in Sudan:

### **Historical Context**

Understanding Sudan's historical trajectory, marked by conflict and relative peace periods, is essential to contextualize the current situation. The examination of key events has revealed that local factors often drive violence, necessitating community-led solutions.

### **Conceptualizing Localized Peace**

Localizing peace means empowering communities to take charge of their conflict resolution processes, utilizing local knowledge, cultural practices, and social networks to foster understanding and collaboration among diverse groups.

### **Importance of Grassroots Solutions**

Grassroots approaches are invaluable for sustainable conflict resolution. They empower local communities, build social cohesion, address grievances, and enhance local capacities. By focusing on communities' specific needs and contexts, these solutions can lead to more effective and lasting outcomes.

### Challenges to Grassroots Peacebuilding

While grassroots movements are essential, they face significant obstacles, including political resistance, funding shortages, and ethnic divisions. Addressing these challenges is vital for ensuring local initiatives thrive and make a meaningful impact.

### Policy Recommendations

Implementing policies that support grassroots movements—through funding, training, and formal recognition—will enhance their effectiveness and sustainability. Collaboration between local organizations and national/international entities is essential to leveraging resources while maintaining local ownership.

### Call to Action for Stakeholders

As Sudan grapples with conflict and instability, stakeholders—including government entities, international organizations, and civil society—must recognize the importance of investing in grassroots solutions. These stakeholders can facilitate a more inclusive, effective, and sustainable path toward reconciliation and development by channeling resources and support toward local peacebuilding efforts.

Investing in grassroots initiatives empowers communities and fosters resilience against future conflicts. All parties must commit to supporting local actors who are the true champions of peace in Sudan. By prioritizing localized peace efforts, we can build a sustainable future for all Sudanese people, marked by coexistence, understanding, and mutual respect.

### REFERENCES

- Aalen, L. (2019). The failure of Sudan's national dialogue: A perspective on power sharing and local conflict resolution. *Peace Review*, 31(2), 214–221. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10402659.2019.1681837>
- Al Jazeera. (2022, October 25). *Sudan's political crisis: Key events and timeline*. Al Jazeera. <https://www.aljazeera.com/news/2022/10/25/sudan-political-crisis-key-events-and-timeline>
- Akinyemi, A. (2020). Ethnic conflicts and governance in Sudan: A historical perspective. *Journal of African Studies*, 29(3), 215–230.
- Collins, R. O. (2008). *A history of modern Sudan*. Cambridge University Press.
- Daly, M. W. (1986). *Empire on the Nile: The Anglo-Egyptian Sudan, 1898–1934*. Cambridge University Press.
- Daly, M. W. (1991). *Imperial Sudan: The Anglo-Egyptian condominium, 1934–1956*. Cambridge University Press.
- De Waal, A. (2007). *Sudan: International dimensions to the state and its crisis*. Crisis States Research Centre.
- De Waal, A. (2015). *The real politics of the Horn of Africa: Money, war, and the business of power*. Polity Press.
- Flint, J., & De Waal, A. (2008). *Darfur: A new history of a long war*. Zed Books.
- International Crisis Group. (2022, October 29). *Sudan: The road to recovery*. <https://www.crisisgroup.org/africa/horn-africa/sudan/road-recovery>
- International Organization for Migration. (2023, October 29). *Sudan: Displacement crisis*. <https://www.iom.int/sudan-displacement-crisis>
- Lederach, J. P. (1997). *Building peace: Sustainable reconciliation in divided societies*. United States Institute of Peace Press.
- Mac Ginty, R. (2015). Where is the local? Critical localism and peacebuilding. *Third World Quarterly*, 36(5), 840–856. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01436597.2015.1045482>
- Paffenholz, T. (2014). *Civil society and peacebuilding: A critical assessment*. Lynne Rienner Publishers.
- Salih, M. A., & Hassan, S. N. C. (2020, October 29). The impact of the Sudanese revolution on regional politics. *Middle East Institute*. <https://www.mei.edu/publications/impact-sudanese-revolution-regional-politics>
- UNHCR. (2023). *Sudan emergency response: Refugees and displaced persons*. United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees.
- Verjee, A. (2021). The 2019 Sudanese revolution and the fall of Bashir: A chronology of events. *African Affairs*, 120(478), 421–431. <https://doi.org/10.1093/afraf/adab005>
- Welsby, D. A. (2002). *The Kingdom of Kush: The Napatan and Meroitic empires*. British Museum Press.
- Young, C. (2017). The African colonial state in comparative perspective. *African Studies Review*, 60(2), 113–136. <https://doi.org/10.1017/asr.2017.3>
- Zolberg, A. R., & Long, W. H. (1999). Why Islamism is winning: The rise of Islamic movements in North Africa. *Middle East Report*, 213, 2–6.