

Journal of Innovative Research (JIR)

ISSN: 2837-6706 (ONLINE)

VOLUME 3 ISSUE 1 (2025)

PUBLISHED BY **E-PALLI PUBLISHERS, DELAWARE, USA**



Volume 3 Issue 1, Year 2025 ISSN: 2837-6706 (Online) DOI: https://doi.org/10.54536/jir.v3i1.3951 https://journals.e-palli.com/home/index.php/jir

Assessing the Prevalence and Factors Influencing Male Involvement in Family Planning Services in an Urban Ghanaian Community

Isaac Ayirebi², Gabriel Tchatchouang Mabou⁹, Richmond Bediako Nsiah^{1*}, Jonathan Mawutor Gmanyami⁵, Shadrack Kyei¹¹, Yeboah Domfeh Charlotte⁷, Patricia Sosu¹², Emmanuel Owusu Sekyere¹⁰, Margaret Morrison³, Lynna Naa Adede Obeng⁸, Dominic Nyarko¹, Yvonne Agyeman-Duah⁷, Florence Owusuaa Peprah¹, Enoch Nyarko¹³, Obed Atsu-Ofori⁶, Frank Prempeh⁴, Akua Kumi Yeboah¹

Article Information

Received: November 05, 2024 Accepted: December 03, 2024 Published: February 20, 2025

Keywords

Family Planning, Ghana, Male Involvement, Reproductive Health, Spousal Communication

ABSTRACT

This study explored factors influencing male engagement in FP in Madina, Ghana, to inform targeted interventions. A descriptive cross-sectional study was conducted in Madina, involving 390 married men aged 18-65 with at least one child. Data were collected through structured questionnaires and analyzed using SPSS version 22. Descriptive statistics and binary logistic regression assessed associations between male involvement in FP and various factors. The study revealed that 34.6% of participants discussed FP with their spouses, with most discussions occurring monthly. Current contraceptive use among participants and their spouses was 28.8%, with injectables and pills being the most common methods. Significant factors influencing male involvement included educational level and spousal communication. Higher education levels were associated with increased involvement (AOR = 0.66, 95% CI [0.517, 0.848], p = 0.001). Men who engaged in FP discussions with their spouses had markedly higher involvement (AOR = 8.23, 95% CI [4.703, 14.411], p < 0.001). However, age, religion, ethnicity, and intention to have more children did not significantly impact male involvement. Occupational status also did not show a significant effect on participation. The findings highlight the crucial role of education and spousal communication in enhancing male involvement in FP. To improve FP outcomes, interventions should focus on increasing educational opportunities and fostering open communication between partners. Addressing these areas could significantly boost male participation in FP programs in Ghana. Additionally, investigating the impact of tailored communication strategies and educational approaches on male involvement could provide further insights and enhance family planning practices.

INTRODUCTION

Reproductive health is a crucial societal concern that extends beyond women and requires comprehensive attention from all sectors of society (WHO, 2017). Family Planning (FP) is a key aspect of reproductive health, essential for controlling population growth and reducing unintended pregnancies (Itunnu et al., 2019; Adane et al., 2024). It provides significant benefits, including reducing HIV transmission to newborns, lowering maternal mortality and morbidity, decreasing neonatal and child mortality, reducing unsafe abortions, and improving educational and employment opportunities for women by delaying childbearing (Sherwood et al., 2021). FP's full potential remains unrealized despite these benefits, especially in Africa and Ghana, where male involvement is notably low (Osuafor et al., 2023). Factors contributing

to this low involvement include male perceptions of FP, socio-economic and demographic profiles, existing policies, media campaigns, interpersonal communication from health workers, familial advice, spousal dialogue, and health system constraints (Manortey & Missah, 2020). While women often bear the majority of reproductive health responsibilities and receive most FP services, men typically hold higher decision-making power regarding sexual relations, family size, and healthcare (Kwawukume et al., 2022). Men's participation is crucial for effective FP programs and women's empowerment, as it is linked to better contraceptive acceptance, continuation, and safer sexual behaviors (Mosha et al., 2013). Historically, reproductive health programs have focused on women, neglecting men's roles in FP. Most contraceptive methods are designed for women. There is a recognized need for

¹ Public Health Department, Ghana Health Service, Goaso-Sefwi-Kumasi, Ghana

² Clinical Department, St. Pauline Clinic, Accra, Ghana

³ Administrative Department, Ghana Health Service, Tema, Ghana

⁴ Clinical Department, Komfo Anokye Teaching Hospital, Kumasi, Ghana

⁵ Global Health and Infectious Diseases Research Group, Kumasi Centre for Collaborative Research in Tropical Medicine, Kumasi, Ghana

⁶ Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University of Ghana, Accra, Ghana

⁷ Department of Nursing and Midwifery, Seventh-day Adventist Nursing and Midwifery Training College, Asamang, Ghana

⁸ Clinical Department, Kuntanase Government Hospital, Kuntanase, Ghana

⁹ Faculty of Medicine and Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Dschang, Dschang, Cameroon

¹⁰ Department of Urology, Sunyani Teaching Hospital, Sunyani, Ghana

¹¹ Department of Nursing and Midwifery, Garden City University College, Kumasi, Ghana

¹² Department of Population, Family and Reproductive Health, School of Public Health - University of Ghana, Accra, Ghana

¹³ Medical Devices Department, Food and Drugs Authority, Accra, Ghana

^{*}Corresponding author's e-mail: <u>richsurveysite2018@gmail.com</u>



male involvement in FP efforts, making it essential to explore husbands' contraceptive practices (Kushitor et al., 2022). FP is vital for improving women's health and managing population growth, with benefits extending to economic and social outcomes for families (Starbird et al., 2016). Global endorsement of FP highlights its role in spacing and limiting childbirth, reducing maternal and child mortality, and better family well-being (Koffi et al., 2018). Despite growing recognition of the importance of involving men, many countries, including those in sub-Saharan Africa, lack extensive programs to engage men effectively (Kwawukume et al., 2022; Montt-Maray et al., 2023). In patriarchal societies, men often control decisions about family size and contraceptive use, which can hinder women's FP uptake (Nkonde et al., 2023). The 1994 International Conference on Population and Development and the 1995 World Conference on Women emphasized the importance of male involvement in reproductive health (United Nations, 1994). However, sub-Saharan Africa faces high fertility rates and unmet FP needs due to low male involvement (Gahungu et al., 2021). Ghana also faces socio-cultural barriers that affect male participation in reproductive health. Despite efforts to address high population growth through national policies and international funding, Ghana's contraceptive prevalence remains low (Atuahene et al., 2017). This study aimed to bridge the knowledge gap by assessing male involvement in FP in the Madina area. It sought to understand men's knowledge, use and perspectives regarding FP, which was crucial for designing effective interventions and policies. The findings were intended to help authorities and policymakers develop strategies to enhance male engagement in FP, ultimately improving the effectiveness of FP programs and outcomes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Location

This study was conducted in Madina, a suburb within the La-Nkwantanang Madina Municipality in Ghana's Greater Accra Region. Madina covers an area of 70.887 square kilometres and has a population of approximately 79,832, while the total population of the municipality is 137,162. The area is divided into three administrative zones and is characterized by its economic activities in commerce, agriculture, services, and manufacturing. Notable economic activities include trading at the Madina market and operations in various manufacturing industries. The area benefits from good road connectivity and is served by three government hospitals and nine private health facilities.

Study Design

A descriptive cross-sectional exploratory design was utilized to examine the factors influencing male support for family planning in Madina. This approach allowed for a comprehensive evaluation of the determinants of male involvement in family planning within the specified population.

Study Population, Study Variables and Sample Size

The study targeted married men aged between 18 and 65 years who had at least one child. In-depth interviews were conducted with experienced nurses from a government health facility to gain insights into their perspectives and experiences related to family planning. These interviews followed a structured guide to ensure consistency and depth in the data collection.

The study investigated various explanatory variables related to male involvement in family planning. Sociodemographic factors examined included age, occupation, religion, ethnicity, and education. Additional social factors such as the number of children and occupation were also considered. Men's attitudes towards family planning were evaluated using a six-item Likert scale (Agree-Neutral-Disagree), with reliability assessed via Cronbach's alpha. Key variables included knowledge and use of family planning, the provision of emotional support, and spousal communication.

The sample size was determined using the Cochran's formula (1963):

$$N = \frac{Z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}P(1-P) \times Design\ Effect}{e^2}$$

where N is sample size, Z represents the Z-score for a 95% confidence interval (1.96), P is the estimated proportion of the population, and e is the maximum permissible error. A design effect of 1.2 was applied to account for cluster sampling, and the sample size was increased by 5% to account for non-response, resulting in a final sample size of 390.

Sampling Method, Data Collection Techniques and Tools

A multi-stage sampling technique was employed. First, stratified sampling was used to select sub-areas within Madina. This was followed by systematic sampling to select houses, where the first house in each stratum was chosen randomly, and subsequent houses were selected at every 20th interval from the starting point. Purposive sampling was then used to select married men with at least one child for participation in the study.

Data collection for this study was conducted over a period of two weeks, from June 13 to June 29, 2017. Structured face-to-face interviews were employed as the primary method for gathering data. The interviews utilized a questionnaire designed to capture a comprehensive range of information, including socio-demographic characteristics, knowledge and attitudes towards family planning, spousal communication, and barriers to male involvement in family planning. The questionnaire was carefully crafted to ensure that it addressed all relevant aspects of the research questions and was pre-tested for reliability and validity prior to data collection to enhance its accuracy and effectiveness.

The questionnaires were administered by the principal investigator and a team of trained research assistants. To maintain the integrity of the data, the completed forms



were collected directly by the principal investigator. This approach helped ensure that the data collection process was closely monitored and any potential issues were promptly addressed.

Data entry and analysis were performed using SPSS version 22. The data cleaning process involved running frequency distributions to identify and rectify any coding errors, which was crucial for ensuring the accuracy and reliability of the dataset.

Quality control measures were rigorously applied throughout the data collection process. Data collectors received comprehensive training to standardize the data collection procedures. Additionally, daily feedback was provided to address any issues and ensure adherence to the study protocols. A supervisor reviewed and signed completed forms before being transferred to the principal investigator. Each form was assigned a unique identification code to facilitate traceability and maintain data integrity.

Data Processing and Analysis

Data analysis was conducted using SPSS version 22.

Descriptive statistics, such as frequency tables and percentages, summarized the data. Binary logistic regression was used to assess the relationship between husbands' attitudes towards family planning and their involvement in family planning. Reliability was evaluated using Cronbach's alpha, while statistical significance was determined using Wald tests and p-values. Odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated to assess the strength of the associations.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Socio-Demographic Characteristics of Respondents

Most participants were aged 30-39 years (55.6%) and were predominantly Christian (58%). The largest ethnic group was Northern (36.7%), with notable representation from the Akan (32.3%). Educationally, 37.7% had completed Senior High School, and 32.3% had tertiary education. In terms of occupation, self-employed individuals were most common (38.7%). Most participants had 1-3 children (87%), and the study areas were equally represented: Zongo, Welfare, and Estate (33.3% each).

Table 1: Socio-Demographic Characteristics of Study Participants

| Variable/Category | Frequency (n=390) Percent (%) | | |
|--------------------|-------------------------------|------|--|
| Age | X | , | |
| < 30 | 112 | 28.7 | |
| 30 – 39 | 217 | 55.6 | |
| 40 – 49 | 51 | 13.1 | |
| 50 years and above | 10 | 2.6 | |
| Religion | · | | |
| Christian | 226 | 58 | |
| Islamic | 158 | 40.5 | |
| Traditional | 6 | 1.5 | |
| Ethnicity | | | |
| Ga Adangme | 62 | 15.9 | |
| Akan | 126 | 32.3 | |
| Ewe | 59 | 15.1 | |
| Northern | 143 | 36.7 | |
| Level of Education | | | |
| None | 13 | 3.3 | |
| Primary | 28 | 7.2 | |
| J.H.S | 76 | 19.5 | |
| S.H.S | 147 | 37.7 | |
| Tertiary | 126 | 32.3 | |
| Occupation | | | |
| Unemployment | 27 | 6.9 | |
| Farmer/fisherman | 18 | 4.6 | |
| Government worker | 88 | 22.6 | |
| Self employed | 151 | 38.7 | |
| Artisan | 49 | 12.6 | |
| Private work | 57 | 14.6 | |



| Number of Children | | | |
|--------------------|-----|------|--|
| 1-3 | 339 | 87 | |
| 4-5 | 45 | 11.6 | |
| 6+ | 6 | 1.6 | |
| Type of area | | | |
| Zongo | 130 | 33.3 | |
| Welfare | 130 | 33.3 | |
| Estate | 130 | 33.3 | |

Knowledge and Use of Family Planning

The study revealed that all participants had heard of family planning (FP), with the majority learning about it through media (42.2%) and friends (25.4%). Knowledge about FP varied, with 42.1% having an average understanding and 33.1% possessing little knowledge. The most recognized FP methods were condoms (27.4%), pills (32.6%), and

injectables (29.0%). Most participants acknowledged the importance of FP for spacing births (41.8%) or limiting births (25.4%). A minority had used FP methods previously (43.6%), with the majority of past users having done so for up to two years. Current usage of FP was relatively low at 28.8%, with convenience being the most common reason for use (26.2%).

Table 2: Knowledge and use of FP

| Variable/Category | Frequency | Percent (%) | |
|--------------------|-----------|-------------|--|
| Heard of FP | | | |
| Yes | 390 | 100 | |
| No | 0 | 0 | |
| Where you heard | | | |
| School | 44 | 11.3 | |
| Church/Mosque | 20 | 5.1 | |
| Parents | 1 | 0.3 | |
| Friends | 61 | 15.7 | |
| Health Facility | 99 | 25.4 | |
| Media | 164 | 42.2 | |
| Knowledge about FP | | | |
| Very Little | 9 | 2.3 | |
| Little | 129 | 33.1 | |
| Average | 164 | 42.1 | |
| Adequate | 88 | 22.5 | |
| FP method you know | | · | |
| Condom | 107 | 27.4 | |
| Pills | 127 | 32.6 | |
| Injectable | 112 | 29 | |
| IUD/Implanon | 42 | 10.5 | |
| Traditional | 2 | 0.5 | |
| Importance of FP | | | |
| Space birth | 163 | 41.8 | |
| Limit birth | 99 | 25.4 | |
| Both | 123 | 31.5 | |
| None | 5 | 1.3 | |
| Used any FP before | | | |
| Yes | 169 | 43.6 | |
| No | 221 | 56.4 | |



| Length of using | | |
|---------------------|-----|------|
| 6 Months | 28 | 16.5 |
| 1 Year | 53 | 31.2 |
| 1.5 Year | 5 | 2.9 |
| 2 Years | 53 | 31.2 |
| >2 years | 31 | 18.2 |
| Reason for FP use | | |
| No Reason | 7 | 4.2 |
| Minimal Side Effect | 59 | 35.1 |
| Affordable | 16 | 9.5 |
| Convenient | 42 | 25 |
| Easy to Use | 44 | 26.2 |
| Currently using FP | | |
| Yes | 112 | 28.8 |
| No | 278 | 71.2 |

Among participants whose spouses were currently using family planning methods, injectables were the most commonly used, representing 41.8% of the methods reported. Pills were the second most frequently used method, at 25.4%. Implants were used by 17.3% of

participants' spouses, while condoms and intrauterine devices (IUDs) were less common, at 8.2% and 6.4%, respectively. Sterilization was the least reported method, with only 0.9% of participants indicating its use by their spouses.

Table 3: Current use of FP Method

| Variable | Frequency | Percent (%) |
|---------------|-----------|-------------|
| Pills | 28 | 25.4 |
| Injectable | 46 | 41.8 |
| Condoms | 9 | 8.2 |
| IUD | 7 | 6.4 |
| Implants | 19 | 17.3 |
| Sterilization | 1 | 0.9 |

Source: Field survey, 2017

Spousal Communication on Family Planning

The findings indicate that 34.6% of participants discussed family planning (FP) with their spouses, while 65.4% did not. Among those who did engage in communication, 36.1% did so every week, 42.8% monthly, and 21.1% annually. Of those who did not

communicate, 43.2% deemed it unnecessary, 26.3% viewed it as a responsibility exclusive to women, 10.4% lacked time, and 20.1% felt uncomfortable. Additionally, 64.6% of participants had conversations with their spouses about the number of children they desired, while 35.4% did not.

Table 4: Spousal communication on FP

| Table 4: Spousar Communic | Cation on FF | |
|---------------------------|--------------|-------------|
| Statement | Frequency | Percent (%) |
| Communicate with wife | | |
| Yes | 135 | 34.6 |
| No | 255 | 65.4 |
| How often | | |
| Weekly | 48 | 36.1 |
| Monthly | 57 | 42.8 |
| Yearly | 28 | 21.1 |
| Why not communicate | | |
| Not necessary | 112 | 43.2 |



| Women responsibility | 68 | 26.3 |
|------------------------------|-----|------|
| Don't have time | 27 | 10.4 |
| Feel uncomfortable | 52 | 20.1 |
| Discussed number of children | | |
| Yes | 252 | 64.6 |
| No | 138 | 35.4 |

Male Involvement in Family Planning

The data reveal that only 14.9% of men had previously accompanied their wives to family planning (FP) services, with 85.1% not having done so. Of those who did accompany their wives, 62.1% cited support as the primary reason, 31.1% wanted to learn more about FP, and 6.9% did it to show affection. Conversely, the main reasons for not accompanying were lack of

time (43.1%), viewing FP as a woman's responsibility (30.4%), and unhelpful health staff (26.5%). Regarding support for FP, 63.6% of married men were supportive, while 36.4% were not. Accessibility issues were noted, with 43.1% of men living within walking distance of a clinic, 27.4% within a 20-minute drive, and 29.5% more than 20 minutes away. Most participants (75.6%) found FP services affordable, while 24.4% did not.

Table 5: Male Involvement in Family Planning

| Statement | Frequency | Percent | |
|-------------------------------|-----------|---------|--|
| Accompanied wife before for F | P | | |
| Yes | 58 | 14.9 | |
| No | 332 | 85.1 | |
| Reason for accompanying | | | |
| For support | 36 | 62.1 | |
| To know about FP | 18 | 31.1 | |
| To show love | 4 | 6.9 | |
| Reason for not accompanying | | · | |
| Don't have time | 143 | 43.1 | |
| Women responsibility | 101 | 30.4 | |
| Unfriendly health staff | 88 | 26.5 | |
| Married men support to do FP | | | |
| Yes | 248 | 63.6 | |
| No | 142 | 36.4 | |
| Distance to clinic | · | | |
| Walking distance | 168 | 43.1 | |
| Less than 20 min. drive | 107 | 27.4 | |
| More than 20 min. drive | 115 | 29.5 | |
| Affordability of service | | | |
| Yes | 295 | 75.6 | |
| No | 95 | 24.4 | |

Source: Field survey, 2017

Socio-Demographic Factors and Other Influences on Male Involvement in Family Planning

Pearson chi-square analysis was used to assess associations between male involvement in family planning and various independent variables. The analysis found significant relationships with educational level and occupation but not with age, religion, or ethnicity. Educational level was strongly associated with male

involvement ($\chi^2(4) = 21.264$, p < 0.001), indicating that higher education correlates with greater involvement. Occupation also showed a significant association ($\chi^2(5) = 15.499$, p = 0.008), with unemployed individuals and those in private work exhibiting higher involvement. Conversely, age, religion, and ethnicity did not show significant associations, with p-values of 0.13, 0.12, and 0.709, respectively.



Table 6: Socio-Demographic Factors Influencing Male Involvement in Family Planning

| Variable/Category | Male involvement in FP? | | Chi-square test | |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|-----------------|---------------|
| | Yes | No | p-value | Chi-square χ2 |
| Background factors | • | - | | |
| Age | 24(21.4%) | 88(78.6%) | 0.130 | 5.643 |
| < 30 | 67(31%) | 149(69%) | | |
| 30 – 39 | 19(37.3%) | 32(62.7%) | | |
| 40+ | 2(20%) | 8(80%) | | |
| Religion | | | | |
| Christianity | 74(32.7%) | 152 (67.3%) | 0.120 | 4.242 |
| Islamic | 37(23.6%) | 120 (76.4%) | | |
| Traditional | 1(16.7%) | 5 (83.3%) | | |
| Ethnicity | | | , | |
| Ga Adangme | 18(29%) | 44 (71%) | 0.709 | 1.386 |
| Akan | 37 (29.6%) | 88 (70.4%) | | |
| Ewe | 20(33.9%) | 39 (66.1%) | | |
| Northern | 37 (25.9%) | 106 (74.1%) | | |
| Level of Education | | | | |
| None | 2 (15.4%) | 11 (84.6%) | P<0.000 | 21.264 |
| Primary | 2 (7.1%) | 26 (92.9%) | | |
| Junior High School (JHS) | 12 (16%) | 63 (84%) | | |
| Senior High School (SHS) | 46 (31.3%) | 101 (68.7%) | | |
| Tertiary | 50 (39.7%) | 76 (60.3%) | | |
| Occupation | | | | |
| Unemployed | 12 (44.4%) | 15 (55.6%) | 0.008 | 15.499 |
| Farmer/fisherman | 1 (5.6%) | 17 (94.4%) | | |
| Government worker | 27 (30.7%) | 61 (69.3%) | | |
| Self-employed | 38 (25.2%) | 113 (74.8%) | | |
| Artisan | 10 (20.8%) | 38 (79.2%) | | |
| Private work | 24 (42.1%) | 33 (57.9%) | | |

Intention to have more children was significantly associated with lower involvement in family planning ($\chi^2(1) = 10.883$, p = 0.001). Knowledge of family planning's importance was not significantly related ($\chi^2(3) = 6.618$, p = 0.085). However, spousal communication was a significant factor, with men who communicated about family planning being more involved ($\chi^2(1) = 1.000$)

43.788, p < 0.001). Discussing the number of children was also positively associated with involvement ($\chi^2(1)$ = 8.88, p = 0.003). These results emphasize the roles of education, occupation, intention to have more children, and spousal communication in influencing male participation in family planning.

Table 7: Spousal Communication, Knowledge and Intention Factors Influencing Male Involvement in Family Planning

| Variable/Category | Male involveme | Male involvement in FP? | | est |
|--------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|---------|---------------|
| | Yes | No | p-value | Chi-square χ2 |
| Intention factors | | | | |
| Intention to have more c | hildren? | | | |
| Yes | 74 (24.7%) | 226 (75.3%) | 0.001 | 10.883 |
| No | 38 (42.7%) | 51 (57.3%) | | |
| Knowledge of importance | e of FP | | · | |
| Space birth | 56 (34.4%) | 107 (65.5%) | 0.085 | 6.618 |
| Limit birth | 22 (22.2%) | 77 (77.8%) | | |



| Both | 34 (27.9%) | 88 (72.1%) | | |
|------------------------------|------------|-------------|---------|--------|
| None | - | 5 (100%) | | |
| Spousal communication factor | ors | | | |
| Communicate with wife abou | t FP? | | | |
| Yes | 67 (49.6%) | 68 (50.4%) | P<0.000 | 43.788 |
| No | 45 (17.7%) | 209 (82.3%) | | |
| Discussed the number of chil | dren? | | | |
| Yes | 85 (33.9%) | 166 (66.1%) | 0.003 | 8.88 |
| No | 27 (19.6%) | 111(80.4%) | | |

Logistic regression analysis was conducted to explore factors associated with male involvement in family planning. The analysis revealed that higher levels of education were significantly associated with increased male involvement, with those having completed Senior High School (SHS) or higher serving as the reference group (AOR = 1.00), while those with Junior High School (JHS) or lower education had lower odds of involvement (AOR = 0.66, 95% CI [0.517, 0.848], p = 0.001). Occupation did not show a significant impact, with unemployed men having similar odds of involvement compared to employed men (AOR

= 1.16, 95% CI [0.965, 1.392], p = 0.115). Intention to have more children was not significantly related to male involvement (AOR = 0.90, 95% CI [0.503, 1.626], p = 0.737). However, effective communication with one's wife about family planning was strongly associated with higher involvement (AOR = 8.23, 95% CI [4.703, 14.411], p < 0.001). Discussion of the number of children did not show a significant effect (AOR = 1.48, 95% CI [0.850, 2.579], p = 0.166). These results underscore the importance of education and spousal communication in enhancing male participation in family planning.

Table 8: Sociodemographic and communication factors correlate of male involvement in Family Planning using logistic regression

| Variable/Category | Male involveme | Male involvement in FP? | | P-value |
|--------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|----------------------|----------|
| | Yes | No | | |
| Level of Education | | | | |
| JHS or below | 16(4.1%) | 100(25.7%) | 0.66(0.517 - 0.848) | 0.001 |
| SHS and above | 96(24.7%) | 177(45.5%) | 1 reference | 0.001 |
| Occupation | | | | |
| Unemployed | 12(3.1%) | 15(3.9%) | 1.16(0.965 - 1.392) | 0.005 |
| Employed | 100(25.1%) | 262(67.4%) | 1 reference | 0.005 |
| Intention to have more c | hildren? | | | |
| Yes | 74 (24.7%) | 226 (75.3%) | 0.90(0.503 - 1.626) | 0.737 |
| No | 38 (42.7%) | 51 (57.3%) | 1 reference |] 0.757 |
| Communicate with wife | about FP? | | · | |
| Yes | 67 (49.6%) | 68 (50.4%) | 8.23(4.703 - 14.411) | P<0.000 |
| No | 45 (17.7%) | 209 (82.3%) | 1 reference | 1 10.000 |
| Discussed the number of | f children? | | | |
| Yes | 85 (33.9%) | 166 (66.1%) | 1.48(0.850 - 2.579) | 0.166 |
| | 27 (19.6%) | 111(80.4%) | 1 reference | 7 0.100 |

Discussion

This study investigated factors influencing male involvement in FP, focusing on knowledge, spousal communication, and socio-demographic influences. We compared these findings with recent literature and explore potential reasons behind the observed results. The study found that while husbands generally have a high awareness of FP methods, their understanding of

detailed aspects, such as side effects and management, was limited. This finding aligns with the GDHS report, which shows high general awareness but highlights a similar gap in detailed knowledge (Ghana Statistical Service, 2023). Recent studies reinforce this observation (Olufunke, 2023). For example, a 2021 study by Radzuma et al., (2022) revealed that while men in low-resource settings are aware of FP methods, their understanding



of side effects and management is often superficial. This suggests that general awareness does not necessarily translate into comprehensive knowledge or effective use. However, this finding contradicts with findings in Ethiopia (Adane *et al.*, 2024).

The reliance on media and friends as primary sources of FP information, as noted in this study, is consistent with a study conducted to assess mass media influences on family planning knowledge, attitudes, and method choice among sexually active men in sub-Saharan Africa (Mutumba, 2022) and in Malawi (Osuafor *et al.*, 2023), which found that Radio exposure had the largest impact on FP knowledge, attitudes and method choice media significantly influences FP awareness in urban areas. However, reliance on media can result in fragmented or simplified information, lacking the depth needed for informed decision-making. According to a study in 2016 media campaigns often prioritize promoting methods over providing comprehensive education, leading to gaps in understanding (Ajaero *et al.*, 2016).

The limited depth of knowledge about side effects and their management also corroborates findings from (Takyi et al., 2023), which noted that health providers often fail to cover the full range of contraceptives and their potential issues. This might be attributed to time constraints during counselling sessions or a lack of emphasis on detailed information in training programs for healthcare providers. The study highlights a significant deficit in spousal communication about FP, with many men not accompanying their wives to FP clinics and not discussing FP choices regularly. This aligns with (Manortey & Missah, 2020), who identified insufficient spousal communication as a major barrier to FP in Tema Metropolis, Ghana. Similarly, recent literature such as the 2023 study by Osuafor et al. (2023), emphasizes that low levels of spousal communication correlate with lower levels of FP use. Conversely, the study found that 34.6% of participants engaged in discussions about FP, suggesting that communication, when it occurs, is positively associated with involvement. This supports a study in Togo, which found that favourable spousal communication significantly improves male involvement in FP (Koffi et al., 2018). The discrepancy in communication levels could be influenced by cultural norms or personal discomfort with discussing reproductive health, as identified by Dansereau et al. (2017), who found that cultural taboos and personal discomfort often inhibit open discussions about FP.

The study's finding of generally low male involvement in FP is consistent with findings from Atuahene *et al.* (2017) and Nkonde *et al.* (2023), who noted that cultural and gender norms often limit male participation in reproductive health.

This study extends this understanding by showing that men's perceived lack of relevance or responsibility for FP may also contribute to low involvement. This contrasts with (Kwawukume *et al.*, 2022) findings, which suggest that men do care about women's reproductive health

issues but may lack the means or motivation to act on this care

Possible reasons for low male involvement could include entrenched gender roles, as discussed by Montt-Maray *et al.* (2023), who found that in many cultures, FP is still viewed primarily as a woman's responsibility. Additionally, logistical barriers, such as lack of time or perceived inconvenience, further discourage participation, as evidenced by the study's finding that many men did not accompany their wives to FP clinics.

The study revealed that educational level and occupation were significant factors in male involvement in FP, while age, religion, and ethnicity were not. This finding is consistent with recent literature suggesting that higher education is associated with increased FP involvement. For example, a 2020 study by Wondim *et al.* (2020) and a 2022 study by Oyefabi *et al.* (2022) found that higher educational attainment generally correlates with better knowledge and engagement in FP. However, the lack of significant associations with age, religion, and ethnicity diverges from other studies, such as those by Amuzie *et al.* (2022), which found that these factors can influence FP attitudes and behaviours.

The mixed results regarding socio-demographic factors may be due to variations in study contexts or methodological differences. For instance, different cultural or regional contexts might influence the relevance of these socio-demographic characteristics. Additionally, the use of different research methods, such as qualitative versus quantitative approaches, may yield different insights, as noted by MacHange and Munyogwa, (2022), who found varying impacts of socio-demographic factors depending on the study design.

To address the challenges identified, several strategies are recommended: enhancing comprehensive education by providing men with detailed information on side effects and their management through targeted programs and counselling; promoting spousal communication by encouraging open dialogues between partners with resources and training to overcome cultural or personal barriers; and improving accessibility and support by addressing logistical issues, ensuring FP services are more accessible, and training health workers to deliver comprehensive counselling. Implementing strategies has significant implications for family planning programs and policies. By bridging gaps in knowledge, communication, and accessibility, these measures can enhance male involvement, improve support for partners, and lead to more effective use of family planning methods, ultimately contributing to better reproductive health outcomes.

Strengths and Limitations of the Study

This study provides a comprehensive analysis of male involvement in maternal health decision-making by employing a robust mix of quantitative and qualitative methods. It highlights key factors such as knowledge, spousal communication, and socio-demographic





influences, offering valuable insights into the barriers and facilitators of male engagement in family planning. Additionally, the study's use of diverse data sources strengthens the reliability and validity of its findings. The study is limited by its reliance on self-reported data, which may introduce response biases. Additionally, the cross-sectional design restricts the ability to establish causality between the identified factors and male involvement. The findings may also not be generalizable beyond the specific district studied, given the potential variability in socio-cultural contexts.

CONCLUSIONS

This study highlights the need to enhance male involvement in family planning by improving education and spousal communication and addressing logistical barriers. While men have basic knowledge of contraceptive methods, there is a gap in detailed understanding and active participation. Future research should focus on evaluating specific educational programs and communication strategies and conducting longitudinal studies to assess the long-term impact of these interventions in diverse contexts. These efforts will contribute to more effective and inclusive family planning practices.

RECOMMENDATIONS

To enhance male involvement in maternal health decisionmaking, the following actions should be implemented:

District Health Administration (DHA)

The DHA should prioritize educational campaigns that address the side effects and management of family planning (FP) methods. These programs should also emphasize the economic benefits of FP and the importance of birth spacing and limiting to overcome barriers related to side effects.

District Family Planning Coordinators

District Family Planning Coordinators should expand the range of contraceptive options available at local clinics. They should also ensure that providers receive ongoing technical training to improve service quality and address diverse client needs, reducing the need for clients to seek services elsewhere.

District Health Planning Units

The District Health Planning Units should focus on improving accessibility to FP services by addressing logistical barriers and creating a more male-friendly environment in FP units. This approach should encourage greater male involvement and support.

District Community Outreach Programs

Community outreach programs should engage with local leaders and organizations to promote male involvement in FP. They should establish support networks and peer education groups for men to foster inclusivity and shift cultural perceptions.

District Policy Makers and Local Government Officials

Policy makers and local government officials should develop and support district-specific policies aimed at increasing male involvement in FP. This includes securing funding and resources for expanding services and implementing educational programs to create a supportive policy environment.

Ethical Considerations

Ethical approval was granted by the Ghana Health Service Ethical Review Committee. Permissions were obtained from relevant health authorities and community leaders. Participants were informed about the study and gave written consent before participation. Privacy was maintained by conducting individual interviews and using identification numbers to protect anonymity. Data collectors and analysts were trained to uphold confidentiality throughout the study.

REFERENCES

Adane, B., Kefale, B., Damtie, Y., Arefaynie, M., Addisu, E., Dewau, R., Mitiku, K., Tsega, T. D., Andualem, A. A., Awoke, M., Asfaw, T., Wasihun, Y., & Yalew, M. (2024). Male involvement in family planning and its association with knowledge and spouse discussion in Ethiopia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ Open, 14(4), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2023-082094

Ajaero, C. K., Odimegwu, C., Ajaero, I. D., & Nwachukwu, C. A. (2016). Access to mass media messages, and use of family planning in Nigeria: A spatio-demographic analysis from the 2013 DHS. *BMC Public Health*, 16(1), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-016-2979-z

Amuzie, C. I., Nwamoh, U. N., Ukegbu, A., Umeokonkwo, C. D., Azuogu, B. N., Agbo, U. O., & Balogun, M. S. (2022). Determinants of male involvement in family planning services in Abia State, Southeast Nigeria. *Contraception and Reproductive Medicine*, 7(1), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40834-022-00182-z

Atuahene, M. D., Arde-Acquah, S., Atuahene, N. F., Adjuik, M., & Ganle, J. K. (2017). Inclusion of men in maternal and safe motherhood services in inner-city communities in Ghana: Evidence from a descriptive cross-sectional survey. *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth*, 17(1), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-017-1590-3

Dansereau, E., Schaefer, A., Hernández, B., Nelson, J., Palmisano, E., Ríos-Zertuche, D., Woldeab, A., Zúñiga, M. P., Iriarte, E. M., Mokdad, A. H., & El Bcheraoui, C. (2017). Perceptions of and barriers to family planning services in the poorest regions of Chiapas, Mexico: A qualitative study of men, women, and adolescents. *Reproductive Health*, *14*(1), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12978-017-0392-4

Gahungu, J., Vahdaninia, M., & Regmi, P. R. (2021). The unmet needs for modern family planning methods among postpartum women in Sub-Saharan Africa: a



- systematic review of the literature. Reproductive Health, 18(1), 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12978-021-01089-9
- Ghana Statistical Service. (2023). Demographic and Health Survey 2022. In Demographic and Health Survey 2022.
- Itunnu, W.-A. F., SUNMOLA, Hassan, O., & Emmanuel, A. I. (2019). Knowledge And Utilization Of Family Planning Methods Among Farming Households In Ondo East Local Government Area Of Ondo State, Nigeria. American Journal of Agricultural Science, Engineering and Technology, 3(1), 57–71.
- Koffi, T. B., Weidert, K., Bitasse, E. O., Mensah, M. A. E., Emina, J., Mensah, S., Bongiovanni, A., & Prata, N. (2018). Engaging men in family planning: Perspectives from married men in Lomé, Togo. Global Health Science and Practice, 6(2), 316–327. https://doi.org/10.9745/GHSP-D-17-00471
- Kushitor, M., Henry, E. G., Obeng-Dwamena, A. D., Agyekum, M. W., Agula, C., Toprah, T., Shah, I., & Bawah, A. A. (2022). Covert Contraceptive Use amongst the urban poor in Accra, Ghana: experiences of health providers. Reproductive Health, 19(1), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12978-022-01516-5
- Kwawukume, S. A. K., Laar, A. S., & Abdulai, T. (2022). Assessment of men involvement in family planning services use and associated factors in rural Ghana. *Archives of Public Health, 80*(1), 4–11. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13690-022-00822-5
- MacHange, M. D., & Munyogwa, M. J. (2022). To assess the determinants of family planning uptake among women of reproductive age in rural settings, Morogoro Region, Tanzania. Protocol for a cross-sectional study. *PLoS ONE*, 17(4 April), 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0267020
- Manortey, S., & Missah, K. (2020). Determinants of Male Involvement in Family Planning Services: A Case Study in the Tema Metropolis, Ghana. *OALib*, *07*(01), 1–21. https://doi.org/10.4236/oalib.1106043
- Montt-Maray, E., Adamjee, L., Horanieh, N., Witt, A., González-Capella, T., Zinke-Allmang, A., & Cislaghi, B. (2023). Understanding ethical challenges of family planning interventions in sub–Saharan Africa: a scoping review. Frontiers in Global Women's Health, 4(August), 1–13. https://doi.org/10.3389/fgwh.2023.1149632
- Mosha, I., Ruben, R., & Kakoko, D. (2013). Family planning decisions, perceptions and gender dynamics among couples in Mwanza, Tanzania: A qualitative study. *BMC Public Health*, *13*(1), 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-13-523
- Mutumba, M. (2022). Mass media influences on family planning knowledge, attitudes and method choice among sexually active men in sub-Saharan Africa. *PLoS ONE*, *17*(1 January), 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0261068
- Nkonde, H., Mukanga, B., & Daka, V. (2023). Male partner influence on Women's choices and utilisation of

- family planning services in Mufulira district, Zambia. *Heliyon*, *9*(3), e14405. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. heliyon.2023.e14405
- Olufunke, T. M. (2023). Knowledge and Perception of Women of Reproductive Age on Utilization of Maternal Health Care Services in Akure South Local Government Area of Ondo State: A Quantitative Approach. *American Journal of Physical Education and Health Science*, 1(2), 8–15.
- Osuafor, G. N., Akokuwebe, M. E., & Idemudia, E. S. (2023). Male Involvement in Family Planning Decisions in Malawi and Tanzania: What Are the Determinants? *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 20*(6), 1–18. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph20065053
- Oyefabi, A., Dambo, H., Nwankwo, B., Waje, C., Kure, S., & Akabe, J. (2022). Determinants of Male Involvement in Family Planning Decision making in a Rural Community in Northern Nigeria. *Journal of Community Medicine and Primary Health Care, 34*(3), 69–81. https://doi.org/10.4314/jcmphc.v34i3.6
- Radzuma, N. D., Marincowitz, G. J. O., & Marincowitz, C. (2022). Male attitudes towards family planning in the Limpopo province of South Africa. South African Family Practice, 64(1), 6–11. https://doi.org/10.4102/ safp.v64i1.5587
- Sherwood, J., Lankiewicz, E., Roose-Snyder, B., Cooper, B., Jones, A., & Honermann, B. (2021). The role of contraception in preventing HIV-positive births: global estimates and projections. *BMC Public Health*, *21*(1), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-021-10570-w
- Starbird, E., Norton, M., & Marcus, R. (2016). Investing in family planning: Key to achieving the sustainable development goals. *Global Health Science and Practice*, 4(2), 191–210. https://doi.org/10.9745/GHSP-D-15-00374
- Takyi, A., Sato, M., Adjabeng, M., & Smith, C. (2023). Factors that influence modern contraceptive use among women aged 35 to 49 years and their male partners in Gomoa West District, Ghana: a qualitative study. *Tropical Medicine and Health*, *51*(1), 51–40. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41182-023-00531-x
- United Nations. (1994). International Conference on Population and Development, Cairo, 5-13 September 1994. https://www.unfpa.org/events/international-conference-population-and-development-icpd
- World Health Organization. (2017). Sexual health and its linkages to reproductive health: An operational approach (pp. 1–12). World Health Organization. https://bmccancer.biomedcentral.com/counter/pdf/10.1186/s12885-019-6009-2.pdf
- Wondim, G., Degu, G., Teka, Y., & Diress, G. (2020). Male involvement in family planning utilization and associated factors in Womberma District, Northern Ethiopia: Community-based cross-sectional study. *Open Access Journal of Contraception*, 11, 197–207. https://doi.org/10.2147/oajc.s287159