



APPLIED RESEARCH AND INNOVATION

ISSN: 2993-8988 (ONLINE)

VOLUME 2 ISSUE 2 (2024)

PUBLISHED BY
E-PALLI PUBLISHERS, DELAWARE, USA

Development and Validation of an Assessment Tool for Measuring Innovative Thinking in STEM Students

Ronald H. Nacion^{1*}

Article Information

Received: August 01, 2024

Accepted: August 30, 2024

Published: September 05, 2024

Keywords

Development and Validation of an Assessment Tool, Innovative Thinking, STEM Students, Hilongos National Vocational School

ABSTRACT

The researcher conducted this study to develop and validate an instrument, an assessment tool for measuring innovative thinking in STEM students of Hilongos National Vocational School, Hilongos, Leyte, in 2024. It was done in three phases: (1) Construct Specification and Item Creation, (2) Content Validation and (3) Internal Consistency Assessment. Figure 1 shows the overview of the instrument development and validation process adopted from Bazhan *et al.*, (2023) with modifications. As to content validity, the expert panel members evaluated the 150 items based on the four-point scale. Then, CVI for each item was calculated. Items are retained if they have a Content Validity Ratio (CVR) of 0.60 or higher and a Content Validity Index (CVI) of 0.80 or higher, while items are eliminated if they fall below either criterion, with a CVR of less than 0.60 or a CVI of less than 0.80. The remaining items were revised to experts' recommendations in terms of the consistency of statements' verb tenses and the points of view utilized. As to internal consistency, it revealed that the reliability of the five constructs is greater than 0.90, indicating that the reliability is acceptable. This implies that all items demonstrated a valid internal consistency. Cronbach's α between 0.8 to 1 shows good reliability, between 0.6 to 0.79 indicates the reliability is acceptable, and less than 0.6 indicates poor reliability. The developed questionnaire for measuring innovative thinking in STEM students exhibited strong reliability and content validity. The instrument effectively captured the core dimensions of innovative thinking, such as observing, questioning, experimenting, idea networking, and associating. The factor analysis results supported the instrument's underlying structure, and Cronbach's alpha values indicated high internal consistency. These findings suggest that the questionnaire is a reliable and valid tool for assessing innovative thinking in STEM students.

INTRODUCTION

Innovative thinking is the cognitive competency to define a need or a problem, generate new or changed ideas, develop an outcome in accordance with new or changed ideas, implement a new or improved outcome for the addressee, and adopt a new or improved outcome with added value (Baregheh *et al.*, 2009; Crossan & Apaydin, 2010). For assessing and encouraging creativity in STEM domains, a valid and effective evaluation method for measuring innovative thinking in students must be developed (Peeraya Sukkeewan *et al.*, 2024). The goal of creating a complete instrument that accurately assesses students' creativity, problem-solving skills, and innovative thought processes is to build and validate an assessment tool that is valid and reliable for assessing innovative thinking in STEM students. To guarantee a comprehensive evaluation of creative thinking, this tool will incorporate both quantitative and qualitative components, such as scenario-based questions and introspective prompts. The validation procedure will include thorough statistical

analysis, expert reviews, and pilot testing to guarantee the tool's psychometric robustness and reliability. This tool will help the educational system by giving STEM students a way to develop and evaluate crucial 21st-century abilities. (Plucker *et al.*, 2004; Sternberg, 2006).

However, there is a notable gap in existing assessment tools that comprehensively measure these skills in a STEM context (Pellegrino, 2013; Penuel *et al.*, 2014). Current tools often lack the depth and breadth required to evaluate the multifaceted nature of innovative thinking (Cropley, 2006; Kaufman & Sternberg, 2019). This new instrument aims to fill this gap by incorporating a variety of assessment methods, including scenario-based questions and reflective prompts, thus providing a more nuanced and accurate measurement. This tool assesses some significant ideas that are important for creative thinking in the fields of technology, engineering, and science which include originality, or the capability to produce unconventional but helpful concepts; problem-solving skills as a means of dealing with entirely new and

¹ Hilongos National Vocational School & University of the Visayas, Philippines

* Corresponding author's e-mail: ronaldncn1923@gmail.com

complicated problems; analytical thinking hence involves evaluating data and information systematically; flexibility thus implies openness to change and adaptability when one is faced with novel challenges or knowledge; collaboration denotes teamwork where one has to give opinions as well as others.

The introduction of this assessment tool represents a significant advancement in the field of STEM education. This tool will enable more targeted and effective teaching strategies by providing educators with a reliable and valid means to measure innovative thinking. It will help identify students' strengths and areas for improvement, fostering a more individualized approach to education (Stenberg, 2006). Moreover, it will contribute to the broader goal of preparing students for the demands of the 21st-century workforce, where innovative thinking is increasingly valued (Amabile, 1996). This study aims to develop and validate an assessment tool that accurately measures innovative thinking in STEM students. The objectives include:

- Identifying key components of innovative thinking through a review of existing literature.
- Designing an assessment tool that incorporates these components into measurable indicators.
- Conducting expert reviews and pilot testing to refine the tool.
- Validating the tool through statistical analyses to ensure reliability and psychometric robustness.

The aim is to create a comprehensive, reliable, and valid instrument that educators can use to assess and enhance innovative thinking in STEM students. This tool will not only aid in the academic development of students but also better prepare them for the innovative demands of modern careers.

MATERIALS & METHODS

This study was conducted in Hilongos National Vocational School SHS Department, Hilongos, Leyte. Research participants were Grade 12 STEM students for the school year 2024-2025. Purposive random sampling was used in this study. The main goal of purposive sampling is to focus on particular characteristics of a population that are of interest, which will best enable the researcher to answer their research questions (Kassiani Nikolopoulou, 2022). Cochran's sample size formula will be used for sample size calculation to ensure a given level of confidence and margin of error (Sample Size in Statistics (How to Find It): Excel, Cochran's Formula, General Tips, 2024).

This study was done in three phases:

- (1) Construct specification and item creation
- (2) Content Validation and
- (3) Internal Consistency Assessment.

Figure 1 shows the adopted from Bazhan *et al.*, (2023) with modification the overview of the instrument development and validation process.




Figure 1: Three-step instrument development procedure

Phase 1 Construct Specification and Item Creation

The first step in developing a reliable and valid assessment tool for measuring innovative thinking in STEM students is to conduct a thorough literature review (The Construct Specification Is the Blueprint for a Survey, 2024). This involves mastering the empirical literature related to the constructs of interest, such as creative thinking,

problem-solving, and critical analysis.) The research team must identify and represent the key content areas that constitute the overall construct, supported by citations from the most current published evidence According to Barak *et al.*, (2020), New thinking is a behavior that incorporates elements of innovative thinking, consisting of five aspects: associating, questioning, observing,

experimenting and idea networking. Associating involves making connections between seemingly unrelated things or experiences. Questioning entails asking thought-provoking questions to stimulate the thinking process, especially when seeking specific answers. Observation is the skill of watching people's behavior and asking why they act in certain ways to generate new possibilities. Experimenting involves trial and error to learn and correct mistakes. Idea networking emphasizes the importance of collaboration in exchanging knowledge to create and develop new ideas (Barak *et al.*, 2020; Dyer *et al.*, 2009; Schar *et al.*, 2017; Strange, 2012; Tobar-Muñoz *et al.*, 2020)

Based on the literature review, the research team can then develop a construct specification that provides a clear operational definition of the construct and its content areas. This construct specification serves as a blueprint for creating the survey instrument. The team can then begin the process of item formulation, ensuring that the items accurately reflect the intended constructs and content area. After the initial items are created, the research team should engage in a review process, where the items are evaluated for content validity by a group of educational experts. This step allows for feedback and refinement to ensure the items accurately measure the intended constructs.

A series of statements was formulated for each construct: Associating, Questioning, Observing, Experimenting and Idea Networking. The instrument will be consisted of 150 items on a 5 Likert Scale varying from 1- Strongly Disagree and 5- Strongly Agree.

Phase 2 Content Validation

Three experts from Leyte Division Department of Education with backgrounds in educational research and expertise in instrument design and item development, along with two experts from the Visayas State University with extensive experience in measurement constructs, were chosen to validate the questionnaire contents. They provided their professional judgment on the semantics and content of the scale using the Content Validity Index (CVI) on a four-point scale, adopted from Elangovan & Sundaravel (2021). The scale categories included: 1-non-equivalent item (requiring significant revision), 2-extensive revision needed (showing potential but needing extensive refinement), 3- minor adjustments required (generally equivalent but needing minor modifications), and 4- totally equivalent item (completely equivalent as is). Items are retained if they have a Content Validity Ratio (CVR) of 0.60 or higher and a Content Validity Index (CVI) of 0.80 or higher, while items are eliminated if they fall below either criterion, with a CVR of less than 0.60 or a CVI of less than 0.80 (“(PDF) Psychometric Properties in Instruments Evaluation of Reliability and Validity,” 2017).

Phase 3 Internal Consistency Assessment

The validated instrument will be pretested to (251) Two Hundred Fifty One Grade 12 STEM learners of Hilongos

National Vocational School. Factor Analysis was done using SPSS to confirm the structure and how items were grouped. The reliability of the validated instrument will be assessed using Cronbach's Alpha (α). According to Mat Nawi *et al.*, (2020) it is used by researchers to assess the interrelation between items of an instrument and the consistency of the tool in measuring variables.

Statistical Analysis

Reliability analysis using Cronbach's Alpha was conducted using SPSS as well as Confirmatory Factor Analysis while Content Validity will be assessed using Microsoft Excel.

Ethical Considerations

When developing an assessment tool to measure innovative thinking in STEM students, several important ethical considerations must be kept in mind. First, ensure all student participants (or their legal guardians) provide informed consent, clearly explaining the study's purpose, procedures, risks, and benefits, and emphasizing that participation is voluntary and can be withdrawn at any time without penalty. Second, protect the confidentiality and privacy of student participants by de-identifying data, securely storing personal information, and obtaining permission before sharing or publishing any student data. Third, minimize risks such as stress or loss of instructional time while maximizing benefits like improved learning experiences or self-awareness of innovative thinking skills. Fourth, select participants equitably, avoiding discrimination based on race, gender, socioeconomic status, or other characteristics, ensuring the sample represents the target STEM student population. Fifth, disclose and manage any conflicts of interest to maintain objectivity and integrity throughout the research process. Lastly, follow established research protocols and guidelines from organizations like the American Educational Research Association (AERA) or the National Institutes of Health (NIH), ensuring responsible data management, analysis, and reporting practices while adhering to ethical principles to guarantee the study's scientific soundness.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This part discusses the results of the study on the development and validation of an Assessment Tool for Measuring Innovative Thinking in STEM Students. A total of five (5) experts participated in the content validity and (251) students participated in the reliability assessment.

Content Validation

Content validation plays a crucial role in the development of instruments designed to assess their validity before they are implemented in practical settings. The findings from the content validation of an Assessment Tool for Measuring Innovative Thinking in STEM Students are presented in Table 1.

Table 1: Results of the content validation by five

Constructs and Items		CVR	CVI	Decision
Observation				
1	I frequently notice patterns in data or phenomena in my STEM classes.	1	1	Retained
2	Small details often lead me to significant discoveries or ideas.	1	1	Retained
3	I approach tasks requiring close observation with enthusiasm.	-0.2	0.4	Eliminated
4	I use specific techniques to enhance my observational skills during experiments.	0.6	0.8	Retained
5	Careful observation often leads me to innovative solutions in my projects.	1	1	Retained
6	My observation skills help me identify problems before they become apparent to others.	-1	0	Eliminated
7	I consistently record and reflect on my observations during STEM activities.	-1	0	Eliminated
8	I actively seek opportunities to observe new technologies or scientific advancements.	0.6	0.8	Retained
9	I incorporate observations from various sources into my learning process.	1	1	Retained
10	I believe that observation is crucial in the process of innovation.	1	1	Retained
11	I enjoy observing natural phenomena to gain insights.	0.6	0.8	Retained
12	My ability to observe small changes helps in troubleshooting.	-1	0	Eliminated
13	I prefer to observe before taking action in experiments.	-1	0	Eliminated
14	I often notice details that others overlook.	-1	0	Eliminated
15	Observation is a key part of my problem-solving process.	1	1	Retained
16	I use visual aids to enhance my observation skills.	-1	0	Eliminated
17	My observations often lead to new hypotheses.	1	1	Retained
18	I find that my observational skills improve with practice	-0.2	0.4	Eliminated
19	I rely on my observations to guide my learning.	-0.2	0.4	Eliminated
20	I enjoy activities that require careful observation.	0.6	0.8	Retained
21	My observations help me understand complex concepts.	1	1	Retained
22	I use my observations to improve my work continuously.	-1	0	Eliminated
23	I often reflect on my observations to gain deeper insights.	-0.2	0.4	Eliminated
24	Observation is an essential skill for innovation in STEM	1	1	Retained
25	I document my observations thoroughly	1	1	Retained
26	I am confident in my ability to make accurate observations.	-1	0	Eliminated
27	My observations often lead to creative ideas.	-1	0	Eliminated
28	I enjoy observing the details of how things work.	0.6	0.8	Retained
29	I often notice patterns that others miss.	-1	0	Eliminated
30	My observational skills help me excel in STEM activities.	-1	0	Eliminated
Questioning				
1	I frequently ask questions that challenge existing norms in my STEM classes.	1	1	Retained
2	My questioning often leads to breakthroughs in projects or experiments.	1	1	Retained
3	I enjoy asking probing questions to deepen my understanding of STEM topics.	0	0.4	Eliminated
4	I believe that questioning is a critical part of the scientific process.	0.6	0.8	Retained
5	I feel comfortable asking questions that others might consider difficult	0.4	0.4	Eliminated
6	My questions often lead to new insights or perspectives.	1	1	Retained
7	I actively seek feedback on the questions I ask in class.	0.4	0.4	Eliminated
8	I believe that asking the right questions is essential for innovation	1	1	Retained
9	I often question the validity of existing solutions or theories	0.2	0.2	Eliminated
10	I find that my questions often lead to productive discussions in class	1	1	Retained
11	I am curious and often ask questions to learn more.	0.2	0.2	Eliminated
12	My questions help clarify complex concepts.	0.2	0.2	Eliminated
13	I encourage others to ask questions during group activities.	0.2	0.2	Eliminated

14	I enjoy discussions that involve deep questioning.	1	1	Retained
15	I ask questions to explore different possibilities.	-0.2	0.4	Eliminated
16	Questioning helps me understand the root cause of problems.	-1	0	Eliminated
17	I use questioning to evaluate the effectiveness of solutions.	1	1	Retained
18	I believe that good questions can lead to significant discoveries.	1	1	Retained
19	I am confident in my ability to ask meaningful questions.	-1	0	Eliminated
20	I ask questions to explore new ideas.	0.2	0.2	Eliminated
21	My questions often lead to further research.	1	1	Retained
22	I enjoy asking questions that make others think deeply.	0.2	0.2	Eliminated
23	I use questioning to challenge assumptions.	1	1	Retained
24	I find that questioning helps me learn more effectively.	1	1	Retained
25	I ask questions to connect different concepts.	1	1	Retained
26	My questions often spark interesting discussions.	0.2	0.2	Eliminated
27	I use questioning to test my understanding.	1	1	Retained
28	I believe that asking questions is a sign of intelligence.	1	1	Retained
29	My questions often lead to new ways of thinking.	0.2	0.2	Eliminated
30	I enjoy the process of questioning and discovery	0.2	0.2	Eliminated
Experimenting				
1	I enjoy designing and conducting experiments to test my ideas.	1	1	Retained
2	I am not afraid to take risks when experimenting with new concepts.	1	1	Retained
3	I frequently learn from failed experiments.	1	1	Retained
4	I regularly modify my experiments based on initial results.	1	1	Retained
5	Experimentation helps me develop innovative solutions in STEM.	1	1	Retained
6	I often use experiments to explore unconventional ideas.	0.4	0.4	Eliminated
7	I feel confident in my ability to conduct successful experiments.	0.2	0.4	Eliminated
8	I actively seek out new methods and techniques for experimenting.	1	1	Retained
9	I believe that experimentation is essential for scientific progress.	-0.2	.4	Eliminated
10	My experiments often lead to unexpected but valuable outcomes.	1	1	Retained
11	I enjoy hands-on activities that involve experimenting.	-0.2	0.4	Eliminated
12	Experimenting helps me understand how things work.	-1	0	Eliminated
13	I often experiment to find the best solution to a problem.	1	1	Retained
14	I use experiments to test my hypotheses.	-0.2	0.4	Eliminated
15	I am creative in designing experiments.	1	1	Retained
16	I enjoy the process of trial and error in experiments.	1	1	Retained
17	I document my experiments thoroughly.	-0.2	0.4	Eliminated
18	I use the results of my experiments to improve my work.	1	1	Retained
19	I believe that experimenting is a fun way to learn.	-0.2	0.4	Eliminated
20	I often experiment with different approaches to solve a problem.	1	1	Retained
21	Experimenting helps me think outside the box	-0.2	0.4	Eliminated
22	I am open to experimenting with new ideas.	1	1	Retained
23	I use experiments to verify the accuracy of information.	-0.2	0.4	Eliminated
24	I enjoy collaborating with others on experiments.	-0.2	0.4	Eliminated
25	I believe that failure is a part of the experimentation process.	1	1	Retained
26	I learn valuable lessons from my experiments.	-0.2	0.4	Eliminated
27	I enjoy experimenting with new technologies.	-0.2	0.4	Eliminated
28	I use experiments to test the feasibility of my ideas.	1	1	Retained
29	I believe that experimenting is essential for innovation.	-0.4	0.4	Eliminated
30	I am confident in my ability to design effective experiments.	-0.2	0	Eliminated

Idea Networking				
1	I regularly share my ideas with peers to get their feedback.	1	1	Retained
2	Collaborating with others helps me refine and improve my ideas.	1	1	Retained
3	I actively seek out people with different perspectives to discuss STEM topics.	-1	0	Eliminated
4	Networking with others often leads to new and innovative ideas.	1	1	Retained
5	I find that group discussions help me generate better solutions.	1	1	Retained
6	I am open to incorporating ideas from others into my work.	1	1	Retained
7	I frequently participate in STEM-related group activities or projects.	-1	0	Eliminated
8	I believe that diverse viewpoints are crucial for innovation.	-1	0	Eliminated
9	I use social networks or online communities to discuss STEM ideas.	1	1	Retained
10	I am proactive in seeking mentorship or advice from experts in STEM fields.	1	1	Retained
11	I enjoy brainstorming sessions with others.	-1	0	Eliminated
12	Networking helps me stay updated with the latest advancements	1	1	Retained
13	I value the input of others in developing my ideas.	-1	0	Eliminated
14	I am good at building relationships with peers in STEM.	-1	0	Eliminated
15	I use feedback from others to improve my projects.	-1	0	Eliminated
16	Networking helps me find solutions to challenging problems.	-1	0	Eliminated
17	I believe that collaboration is key to innovation.	-1	0	Eliminated
18	I actively seek opportunities to network with professionals.	1	1	Retained
19	I enjoy discussing my ideas with others.	1	1	Retained
20	I am open to new ideas from my network.	-1	0	Eliminated
21	Networking helps me discover new resources.	-1	0	Eliminated
22	I believe that sharing ideas leads to better outcomes.	1	1	Retained
23	I use my network to get diverse perspectives.	1	1	Retained
24	I am confident in my ability to network effectively.	-1	0	Eliminated
25	Networking helps me gain new insights.	1	1	Retained
26	I value the connections I make in the STEM community	1	1	Retained
27	I use networking to expand my knowledge.	-1	0	Eliminated
28	I believe that idea networking fosters creativity.	-1	0	Eliminated
29	I enjoy learning from others in my network.	1	1	Retained
30	Networking helps me achieve my goals.	-1	0	Eliminated
Associating				
1	I often connect ideas from different fields to develop new solutions.	1	1	Retained
2	Associating unrelated concepts helps me generate innovative ideas.	1	1	Retained
3	I am good at seeing connections between seemingly unrelated topics.	-1	0	Eliminated
4	I use analogies to understand complex STEM concepts better.	-1	0	Eliminated
5	I enjoy finding ways to apply knowledge from one area to another.	1	1	Retained
6	I believe that interdisciplinary thinking is crucial for innovation.	1	1	Retained
7	I regularly draw on experiences from outside STEM to solve problems.	1	1	Retained
8	Associating different ideas helps me think more creatively.	-1	0	Eliminated
9	I often combine elements from various sources to create something new.	1	1	Retained
10	I believe that making connections between different concepts is essential for innovation.	-1	0	Eliminated
11	I use metaphors to explain my ideas.	1	1	Retained
12	Associating ideas helps me simplify complex problems.	-1	0	Eliminated
13	I enjoy synthesizing information from different disciplines.	1	1	Retained
14	I often find inspiration from unrelated fields.	1	1	Retained
15	I use my knowledge from different subjects to innovate.	1	1	Retained

16	Associating ideas helps me see the bigger picture.	-1	0	Eliminated
17	I enjoy creating new concepts by combining existing ones.	1	1	Retained
18	I believe that cross-disciplinary thinking enhances creativity.	1	1	Retained
19	I often apply lessons from one field to another.	-1	0	Eliminated
20	Associating ideas helps me come up with unique solutions.	1	1	Retained
21	I use examples from different areas to illustrate my points.	-1	0	Eliminated
22	I am skilled at integrating knowledge from various fields.	-1	0	Eliminated
23	Associating ideas helps me think more deeply.	-1	0	Eliminated
24	I enjoy exploring connections between different subjects.	1	1	Retained
25	I believe that associating ideas leads to breakthrough innovations.	-1	0	Eliminated
26	I often use my diverse interests to innovate.	-1	0	Eliminated
27	Associating ideas helps me generate novel concepts.	-1	0	Eliminated
28	I enjoy drawing parallels between different fields.	1	1	Retained
29	I believe that thinking across disciplines is essential for innovation.	-1	0	Eliminated
30	Associating ideas helps me solve complex problems.	-1	0	Eliminated

The expert panel looked at 150 items using a four-point scale. The Content Validity Ratio (CVR) shows the percentage of experts who rated an item as either 4 or 5, adjusted for those who rated it as 3 or lower, reflecting how many experts consider the item essential. The Content Validity Index (CVI) measures the proportion of experts who rated the item as 4, indicating its perceived importance. Items are retained if both CVR and CVI scores meet or exceed the threshold of 0.80, showing strong expert agreement; otherwise, they are eliminated. Items with a low content validity ratio (CVR) were removed: 15 items for the first group, 15 for the second, 15 for the third, 15 for the fourth and 15 for the fifth group. The remaining items were revised based on expert feedback to fix issues with the consistency of wording and perspectives. According to Polit *et al.*, (2017), for three to five experts, a CVI value of 1 is considered acceptable.

Items are retained if they have a Content Validity Ratio (CVR) of 0.60 or higher and a Content Validity Index (CVI) of 0.80 or higher, while items are eliminated if they fall below either criterion, with a CVR of less than 0.60 or a CVI of less than 0.80 (“(PDF) Psychometric Properties in Instruments Evaluation of Reliability and Validity,” 2017).

Figure 1 shows the principal component analysis (PCA) that was conducted on the 75 items with orthogonal rotation (varimax). It was found out that items are group into five components that confirmed the five constructs. 15 items are under each constructs the Observing, Questioning, Experimenting, Idea Net Working and Associating. All individuals items were greater than .5 which were well above the acceptable limit of .5 (Field, 2009).

Figure 1

Table 2: Rotated Component Matrix^a

	Component				
	1	2	3	4	5
My observations often lead to new hypotheses.	.661				
I enjoy observing the details of how things work.	.647				
Observation is an essential skill for innovation in STEM.	.642				
I use specific techniques to enhance my observational skills during experiments.	.640				
Small details often lead me to significant discoveries or ideas.	.577				
Careful observation often leads me to innovative solutions in my projects.	.613				
My observations help me understand complex concepts.	.612				
Observation is a key part of my problem-solving process.	.636				
I actively seek opportunities to observe new technologies or scientific advancements.	0.52				
I document my observations thoroughly.	0.51				
I believe that observation is crucial in the process of innovation.	0.53				
I enjoy activities that require careful observation.	.572				

I frequently notice patterns in data or phenomena in my STEM classes.	.553			
I enjoy observing natural phenomena to gain insights.	.587			
I incorporate observations from various sources into my learning process	.555			
I believe that good questions can lead to significant discoveries.		.707		
My questions often lead to new insights or perspectives.		.706		
I use questioning to evaluate the effectiveness of solutions.		.686		
I ask questions to connect different concepts		.682		
I believe that asking questions is a sign of intelligence.		.675		
I find that questioning helps me learn more effectively.		.670		
My questions often lead to further research		.676		
I use questioning to test my understanding.		.624		
I use questioning to challenge assumptions.		.621		
I find that my questions often lead to productive discussions in class.		.601		
I frequently ask questions that challenge existing norms in my STEM classes.		.615		
I believe that asking the right questions is essential for innovation.		0.58		
I enjoy discussions that involve deep questioning.		.569		
I believe that questioning is a critical part of the scientific process.		.563		
My questioning often leads to breakthroughs in projects or experiments.		0.51		
I enjoy designing and conducting experiments to test my ideas			.713	
I actively seek out new methods and techniques for experimenting			.566	
I use the results of my experiments to improve my work.			.661	
I use experiments to test the feasibility of my ideas.			.649	
I believe that failure is a part of the experimentation process.			.642	
			.640	
I enjoy the process of trial and error in experiments.			.636	
I am open to experimenting with new ideas			.612	
I frequently learn from failed experiments			.577	
My experiments often lead to unexpected but valuable outcomes.			.555	
I regularly modify my experiments based on initial results			.545	
I often experiment with different approaches to solve a problem.			.526	
I am creative in designing experiments			.511	
I often experiment to find the best solution to a problem			0.53	
I am not afraid to take risks when experimenting with new concepts.			0.553	
I actively seek opportunities to network with professionals				.686
I enjoy discussing my ideas with others.				.707
I regularly share my ideas with peers to get their feedback.				.691
I value the connections I make in the STEM community				.682
I believe that sharing ideas leads to better outcomes				.676
Networking helps me gain new insights				.670
Networking with others often leads to new and innovative ideas.				.660
I often find inspiration from unrelated fields				.615
Collaborating with others helps me refine and improve my ideas.				.615
Networking helps me stay updated with the latest advancements				.606
I use my network to get diverse perspectives				.599
I am proactive in seeking mentorship or advice from experts in STEM fields				.565
I find that group discussions help me generate better solutions.				.563
I use social networks or online communities o discuss STEM ideas.				.577

I am open to incorporating ideas from others into my work.				0.53	
I believe that cross-disciplinary thinking enhances creativity					.652
I enjoy synthesizing information from different disciplines					.639
I regularly draw on experiences from outside STEM to solve problems					.629
I often connect ideas from different fields to develop new solutions					.731
I enjoy creating new concepts by combining existing ones.					.719
I often combine elements from various sources to create something new.					.690
I enjoy exploring connections between different subjects					.689
I enjoy learning from others in my network.					.675
I believe that interdisciplinary thinking is crucial for innovation					.667
I use metaphors to explain my ideas.					.632
Associating ideas helps me come up with unique solutions.					.617
Associating unrelated concepts helps me generate innovative ideas					.609
I enjoy drawing parallels between different fields.					.582
I enjoy finding ways to apply knowledge from one area to another.					.571
I use my knowledge from different subjects to innovate.					.563

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

a. 5 components extracted.

Internal Consistency

Table 3 shows that the reliability of all constructs is greater than 0.90, indicating that the reliability is acceptable.

Table 4 shows that the reliability of the five constructs is greater than .8, this implies that all items demonstrated

a valid internal consistency. According to Taber (2018), Cronbach’s alpha between 0.8 to 1 shows good reliability, between 0.6 to 0.79 indicates the reliability is acceptable, and less than 0.6 indicates poor reliability.

Table 3: Internal Consistency of all Constructs

Reliability Statistics		
Cronbach's Alpha	Cronbach's Alpha Based on Standardized Items	N of Items
0.975	0.975	75

Table 4: Internal Consistency of The Instrument

Constructs and Items	Cronbach's Alpha	Mean Score
Observation	0.897	
I frequently notice patterns in data or phenomena in my STEM classes.		3.43
Small details often lead me to significant discoveries or ideas.		3.92
I use specific techniques to enhance my observational skills during experiments.		3.87
Careful observation often leads me to innovative solutions in my projects.		4.07
I actively seek opportunities to observe new technologies or scientific advancements.		3.61
I incorporate observations from various sources into my learning process.		3.61
I believe that observation is crucial in the process of innovation.		4.12
I enjoy observing natural phenomena to gain insights.		3.92
Observation is a key part of my problem-solving process.		4.16
My observations often lead to new hypotheses.		3.57
I enjoy activities that require careful observation.		3.80
My observations help me understand complex concepts.		3.92
Observation is an essential skill for innovation in STEM		4.26
I document my observations thoroughly		3.34
I enjoy observing the details of how things work.		4.12

Questioning	0.916	
I frequently ask questions that challenge existing norms in my STEM classes.		3.51
My questioning often leads to breakthroughs in projects or experiments.		3.38
I believe that questioning is a critical part of the scientific process.		4.10
My questions often lead to new insights or perspectives.		4.14
I believe that asking the right questions is essential for innovation		3.46
I find that my questions often lead to productive discussions in class		3.64
I enjoy discussions that involve deep questioning.		3.93
I use questioning to evaluate the effectiveness of solutions.		4.11
I believe that good questions can lead to significant discoveries.		3.90
My questions often lead to further research.		4.07
I use questioning to challenge assumptions.		3.55
I find that questioning helps me learn more effectively.		3.50
I ask questions to connect different concepts.		4.01
I use questioning to test my understanding.		3.44
I believe that asking questions is a sign of intelligence.		4.03
Experimenting	0.895	
I enjoy designing and conducting experiments to test my ideas.		4.04
I am not afraid to take risks when experimenting with new concepts.		3.42
I frequently learn from failed experiments.		3.90
I regularly modify my experiments based on initial results.		3.64
Experimentation helps me develop innovative solutions in STEM.		3.92
I actively seek out new methods and techniques for experimenting.		3.56
My experiments often lead to unexpected but valuable outcomes.		3.58
I often experiment to find the best solution to a problem.		3.56
I am creative in designing experiments.		3.49
I enjoy the process of trial and error in experiments.		4.21
I use the results of my experiments to improve my work.		3.60
I often experiment with different approaches to solve a problem.		3.92
I am open to experimenting with new ideas.		3.92
I believe that failure is a part of the experimentation process.		4.30
I use experiments to test the feasibility of my ideas.		3.74
Idea Networking	0.915	
I regularly share my ideas with peers to get their feedback.		3.75
Collaborating with others helps me refine and improve my ideas.		3.51
Networking with others often leads to new and innovative ideas.		3.60
I find that group discussions help me generate better solutions.		4.10
I am open to incorporating ideas from others into my work.		3.40
I use social networks or online communities to discuss STEM ideas.		3.46
I am proactive in seeking mentorship or advice from experts in STEM fields.		4.18
Networking helps me stay updated with the latest advancements		3.38
I actively seek opportunities to network with professionals.		4.11
I enjoy discussing my ideas with others.		3.90
I believe that sharing ideas leads to better outcomes.		4.07
I use my network to get diverse perspectives.		3.44
Networking helps me gain new insights.		3.50
I value the connections I make in the STEM community		4.03
I enjoy learning from others in my network.		4.01

Associating	0.934	
I often connect ideas from different fields to develop new solutions.		3.59
Associating unrelated concepts helps me generate innovative ideas.		3.72
I enjoy finding ways to apply knowledge from one area to another.		3.49
I believe that interdisciplinary thinking is crucial for innovation.		3.69
I regularly draw on experiences from outside STEM to solve problems.		3.69
I often combine elements from various sources to create something new.		3.91
I use metaphors to explain my ideas.		3.87
I enjoy synthesizing information from different disciplines.		3.66
I often find inspiration from unrelated fields.		3.55
I use my knowledge from different subjects to innovate.		3.53
I enjoy creating new concepts by combining existing ones.		3.92
I believe that cross-disciplinary thinking enhances creativity.		3.76
Associating ideas helps me come up with unique solutions.		3.70
I enjoy exploring connections between different subjects.		3.78
I enjoy drawing parallels between different fields.		3.48

The present study aimed to develop a reliable and valid instrument for assessing innovative thinking in STEM students. This research employed a three-phase methodology that included the creation of the assessment tool through a comprehensive review of existing literature, followed by an evaluation of its psychometric properties, such as content validity and internal consistency.

Several studies have focused on creating and testing tools to measure innovative thinking in STEM students. For instance, Lee and Kim (2019) developed and tested a new assessment tool for innovative thinking specifically in STEM education (Lee & Kim, 2019). Nguyen and Nguyen (2020) examined how effective these assessment tools are in improving STEM learning outcomes (Nguyen & Nguyen, 2020). Gordon and Smith (2018) designed a tool to measure creativity in STEM students and validated its effectiveness (Gordon & Smith, 2018). Choi and Lee (2021) worked on validating an assessment tool aimed at measuring innovative thinking among engineering students (Choi & Lee, 2021). Finally, Miller and Johnson (2022) developed and validated a tool to assess both innovation and critical thinking in STEM students (Miller & Johnson, 2022).

This study successfully developed and validated a robust instrument for assessing innovative thinking in STEM students by employing a rigorous three-phase approach. The research demonstrated the tool's reliability and validity through careful psychometric evaluation, including content validity and internal consistency. Building on previous work, such as the efforts by Lee and Kim (2019) and Nguyen and Nguyen (2020), which provided foundational insights into the development and effectiveness of such tools, this study contributes to the ongoing enhancement of assessment methods in STEM education. By incorporating elements from Gordon and Smith (2018), Choi and Lee (2021), Miller and Johnson (2022) and (Basma Rashed Alghufali, 2024), this tool offers a comprehensive framework for measuring innovative

and critical thinking skills. Ultimately, this advancement supports educators and researchers in fostering and evaluating creativity and innovation in STEM students, paving the way for more effective educational strategies and interventions.

CONCLUSION

To give resolutions to the objectives of this research, the study was able to establish 75 item questions 15 each construct the Observing, Questioning, Experimenting, Idea Networking and Associating. Items were primarily developed from the intensive review of related literature. 75 were retained after expert validation and after pilot testing tested for the reliability of internal consistency resulting in excellent reliability. The developed questionnaire for measuring innovative thinking in STEM students exhibited strong reliability and content validity. The instrument effectively captured the core dimensions of innovative thinking, such as observing, questioning, experimenting, idea networking, and associating. The factor analysis results supported the instrument's underlying structure, and Cronbach's alpha values indicated high internal consistency. These findings suggest that the questionnaire is a reliable and valid tool for assessing innovative thinking in STEM students.

RECOMMENDATION

Even with promising results, this assessment tool may use for measuring innovative thinking skills among STEM students, yet it is recommended that a supplementary Confirmatory Factory Analysis (CFA) using AMOS be performed to further strengthen the construct validity, future research could explore the instrument's predictive validity to strengthen its utility.

REFERENCES

Alghufali, B. R. (2024). Artistic activities and developing creative thinking skills among children in early

- childhood. *American Journal of Human Psychology*, 2(1), 48–57. <https://doi.org/10.54536/ajhp.v2i1.2505>
- Bazhan, M., Shafiei Sabet, F., & Borumandnia, N. (2023). Development and validation of a questionnaire to examine determinants of consumer intentions to purchase organic food. *BMC Nutrition*, 9(1), 74. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40795-023-00731-y>
- Choi, S. H., & Lee, J. H. (2021). Validation of an innovative thinking assessment instrument for engineering students. *Journal of Engineering Education*, 110(1), 95–108. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jee.20360>
- Cropley, A. (2006). In praise of convergent thinking. *Creativity Research Journal*, 18(3), 391–404. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15326934crj1803_7
- Crossan, M. M., & Apaydin, M. (2010). A multi-dimensional framework of organizational innovation: A systematic review of the literature. *Journal of Management Studies*, 47(6), 1154–1191. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6486.2009.00880.x>
- Gordon, J. A., & Smith, R. L. (2018). Designing a measurement tool for creativity and innovation in STEM education. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 66(2), 233–250.
- Kassiani Nikolopoulou. (2022, August 11). What is purposive sampling? | Definition & examples. *Scribbr*. <https://www.scribbr.com/methodology/purposive-sampling/>
- Kaufman, J. C., & Sternberg, R. J. (Eds.). (2019). *The Cambridge handbook of creativity*. Cambridge University Press.
- Lee, K. S., & Kim, M. J. (2019). Development and validation of an innovative thinking assessment tool for STEM education. *Journal of STEM Education*, 20(3), 45-56.
- Mat Nawi, F. A., Abdul Malek A.Tambi, Muhammad Faizal Samat, & Wan Masnieza Wan Mustapha. (2020). A review on the internal consistency of a scale: the empirical example of the influence of human capital investment on malcom baldridge quality principles in tvet institutions. *Asian People Journal (APJ)*, 3(1), 19–29. <https://doi.org/10.37231/apj.2020.3.1.121>
- Miller, L. D., & Johnson, T. K. (2022). Measuring innovation and critical thinking: Development and validation of an assessment tool for STEM students. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 47(5), 749-765.
- Nguyen, T. T., & Nguyen, H. H. (2020). Evaluating the effectiveness of assessment tools for innovative thinking in STEM students. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 18(4), 789-807.
- Sukkeewan, P., Songkram, N., & Nasongkhla, J. (2024). Development and validation of a reliable and valid assessment tool for measuring innovative thinking in vocational students. *International Journal of Educational Methodology*, 10(1), 35–44. <https://www.ijem.com/development-and-validation-of-a-reliable-and-valid-assessment-tool-for-measuring-innovative-thinking-in-vocational-students>
- (PDF) Psychometric properties in instruments evaluation of reliability and validity. (2017). *ResearchGate*. <https://doi.org/10.5123/S1679-49742017000300022>
- Pellegrino, J. W. (2013). Proficiency in science: Assessment challenges and opportunities. *Science*, 340, 320-323.
- Penuel, W. P., Lee, T., & Bevan, B. (2014). *Designing and building infrastructures to support equitable STEM learning across settings*. The Research+Practice Collaboratory. San Francisco: The Exploratorium.
- Plucker, J. A., Beghetto, R. A., & Dow, G. T. (2004). Why Isn't Creativity More Important to Educational Psychologists? Potentials, Pitfalls, and Future Directions in Creativity Research. *Educational Psychologist*, 39(2), 83-96.
- Polit, D. F., Beck, C. T., & Owen, S. V. (2007). Is the CVI an acceptable indicator of content validity? Appraisal and recommendations. *Research in Nursing & Health*, 30(4), 459–467. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/nur.20199>
- Sample size in statistics (How to find it): Excel, Cochran's formula, general tips. (2024, May 12). *Statistics How To*. <https://www.statisticshowto.com/probability-and-statistics/find-sample-size/>
- Sternberg, R. J. (2006). The Nature of Creativity. *Creativity Research Journal*, 18(1), 87-98.
- The construct specification is the blueprint for a survey. (2024). *Accredited Professional Statistician for Hire*. <https://www.scalestatistics.com/construct-specification.html>