American Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Innovation (AJMRI)

ISSN: 2158-8155 (ONLINE), 2832-4854 (PRINT)

VOLUME 5 ISSUE 5 (2026)

PUBLISHED BY
E-PALLI PUBLISHERS, DELAWARE, USA

American Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Innovation (AJMRI)

Volume 5 Issue 5, Year 2026
ISSN: 2158-8155 (Online), 2832-4854 (Print)
DOI: https://doi.org/10.54536/ajmri.v5i5.7171
https://journals.e-palli.com/home/index.php/ajmri

Business Intelligence Systems for Data-Driven Decision Making: Cloud-Based Analytics Frameworks for Executive Intelligence and Operational Monitoring

Mohammed Zamiruddin Madani[1*]

Article Information

Received: December 12, 2025

Accepted: February 28, 2026

Published: September 17, 2026

Keywords

Business Intelligence, Cloud-Based BI, Data Driven Decision Making, Decision Support Systems, Executive Intelligence, Real-Time Analytics

ABSTRACT

The fast-changing business intelligence (BI) has revolutionized the way organizations gather, analyze and process data as a decision-making tool. Conventionally, BI systems were described as periodical, retrospective reporting that depended on centralized IT capabilities and past on-premise systems. These systems were useful when it came to simple monitoring of performance, but in most cases, they did not hold up to the increased need of timely and actionable information. The advent of cloud computing, big data applications and self-service analytics has redefined the face of BI fundamentally, and has made the intelligence accessible, scalable and real time across organizational levels. This article presents a descriptive narrative literature review focusing on the use of cloud-based BI platforms to change executive intelligence and operational analytics with specific regard to Microsoft Power BI. Based on peer-reviewed academic literature, industry publications, and technical documentation on platforms published between 2021 and 2025, the review summarizes the latest trends, advantages, and challenges related to the adoption of modern BI. According to the findings, cloud-native BI greatly enhances the speed of decision-making, enables uninterrupted monitoring of performance, and democratizes access to analytics. However, the review also refers to such critical issues as gaps in data governance, information overload, lack of skills, and the lack of trust due to inconsistent data definitions and bad design of dashboards. The research also outlines the new trends in augmented analytics, AI-based governance, and the increasing significance of data literacy as the key complements to technological investment. Comprehensively, the paper is a valuable addition to the body of BI literature as it combines technical, managerial, and human-focused views to provide practical guidance on how organizations pursue the use of cloud-based BI as a strategic decision-support capability in dynamic business settings.

INTRODUCTION

Business Intelligence (BI) has increasingly become a strategic key driver of strategic, tactical, and operational decision-making in contemporary organisations. Fundamentally, BI can be defined as the technologies, processes and practises that are used in gathering, integrating, analysing and presenting business data in a way that is likely to be used in informed decision making (Yadava, 2023). Historically, in 1960s where organisations started utilising computerised systems in basic data storage, management information systems (MIS) and organised reporting. The initial systems were more concerned with automating reporting processes than with generating insights. One of the key conceptual breakthroughs was reached in 1989 when Howard Dresner began to identify Business Intelligence as a collection of ideas and techniques to enhance business decision-making by leveraging fact-based support systems (Ragazou *et al.*, 2022). This definition represented the shift in paradigm of passively accumulating data to actively generating data-driven insights, which forms the basis of BI as strategic organisational capability.

BI grew up in the 1990s and the first part of the 2000s in tandem with changes in database technologies, data warehousing, and online analytical processing (OLAP) (Kahila, 2023). Companies were progressively consolidating information across separate operational systems in enterprise data warehouses so as to facilitate historical trend analysis, performance measurement, and organised reporting (Nookala, 2023). This is often known as Business Intelligence 1.0 and was marked by batch processing, fixed dashboards and ex-post analysis. Reports were normally created weekly, monthly or quarterly and involved a lot of IT teams. Although these systems ensured a high level of data consistency and reporting accuracy, they were rather descriptive, and not with a high degree of capability to facilitate timely decision-making in dynamic business settings as stated by Aron *et al.* (2024). As the markets have turned more uncertain and competitive, the time gap between the production of the data and the delivery of the insight became a significant drawback of traditional BI architectures.

The emergence of a digital economy in the late 2000s and 2010s changed the demands of BI systems in a fundamental way. The sudden speed of the digitalization process, the spread of mobile devices and the e-commerce platform, Internet of Things (IoT) sensors, and social media produced new amounts of structured and unstructured

[1] Riyadh Chamber of Commerce and Industry, Saudi Arabia
[*] Corresponding author's e-mail: muhammad.zamir.research@outlook.com

data never before according to Rosário (2024). The inefficiency of periodic reporting structures was revealed by this big data age, which hastened the need to have real-time analytics that are continuous. The companies needed to be competitive and the demand to have an immediate understanding of the operational performance, customer behaviour and the market trends grew increasingly common in organisations as demonstrated by Adeniran *et al.* (2024). By 2025, these statistics are projected to be 67% of organisations around the globe actively utilising BI solutions as a fundamental operational instrument, and more than 90% intended to invest more in analytics-based decision-making (Obuse *et al.*, 2023). This transition is indicative of how BI is changing as a support function to a mission-critical business capability that cuts across levels within an organisation.

Despite such improvements, various organisations are still faced with old systems of BI that limits agility and innovation. The conventional BI systems were created to support a virtual, centralised environment and require dedicated IT units to model the data, compile the report, and maintain it (Majid *et al.*, 2024). The result of such dependency can be a lengthy development cycle, sluggish knowledge acquisition, and lack of flexibility to business users. Empirical research shows that the responsiveness of organisations can go down by as much as 30 % with a slow decision process especially in high velocity industries like retail, logistics and financial services discussed by Rajagopal *et al.* (2022). In addition, the legacy systems are very expensive to scale and run as the amount of data increases. According to surveys, disjointed data silos along with old infrastructure are observed to be two of the largest impediments to the adoption of modern BI by almost 68 % of enterprises (Kahila, 2023). The above challenges underscore the importance of more flexible, scalable and user-focused BI solutions.

Cloud computing has become a game changer in the counter to most of the constraints that come with the conventional BI structures. Cloud-based BI systems use distributed computing, elastic storage, and subscription based pricing models as a way to provide analytics features without making any major investments in the underlying infrastructure (Abdelfattah *et al.*, 2024). Cloud BI provides organisations with an opportunity to scale-up and scale-down massive and complicated data efficiently and respond to changing workload by providing on-demand scalability (Talwandi *et al.*, 2024). Data in the industry indicates that the adoption of cloud BI has been increasing at an average rate of about 30 years with hybrid and multi-cloud deployment increasing in prevalence, especially in regulated sectors. Cloud based BI also has the benefit of increasing accessibility so that users can view dashboards and reports wherever they are or on any device, supporting remote work, cross-functional team work, and global operations (Sanjida Akrer *et al.*, 2024).

The movement to cloud BI has also provided a faster transition to periodic reporting to real-time analysis. The current BI systems can now be used to ingest data streams in real-time, process data in real-time, and visualise data in near-real-time, allowing companies to track business operations as they happen (Achanta, 2024). This is especially useful in terms of operational monitoring, detecting fraud, supply chain optimization, and customer experience management. Real-time analytics enables the decision-makers to detect anomalies, act on any emerging risks, and be able to seize opportunities before the rivals. It has been shown that organisations that use real-time BI have up to 20-% increases in operations effectiveness and much quicker reaction to market shifts (Meira *et al.*, 2025). Consequently, BI can no longer be used as a retrospective performance analysis tool but can now be used as a forward and predictive one.

Along with technological progress, the suitability of BI in executive intelligence and performance management has increased dramatically. BI dashboards are becoming more important tools used by the top management to monitor key performance indicators (KPIs), synchronise organisational goals, and strategic initiatives (Zingde *et al.*, 2022). Financial, operational and customer information is combined into executive intelligence systems to have the entire picture of organisational performance. Advanced analytics, such as predictive modelling, machine learning, and artificial intelligence, are also part of such systems because they help executives to predict trends, analyse risks, and analyse strategic scenarios (Rane *et al.*, 2024). Research shows that predictive analytics have the potential to enhance the accuracy of forecasts by 1525 % improving strategic planning and resource allocation. Therefore, BI has emerged as one of the central processes of transforming data into strategic insight at the top tiers of decision-making.

Microsoft Power BI is one of the most popular cloud-based BI systems of the modern world because of the level of scalability along with the ability to interconnect with various other systems and the simplicity of usage (Talakola, 2022). Within the larger ecosystem of Microsoft, Power BI is available to both technical and non-technical users because it is a combination of data visualisation, self-service analytics, and cloud deployment. According to market research, Power BI controls about 30 % of the BI and analytics business globally, and its employments are present in one form or another in about 97 % of Fortune 500 organisations (Chen *et al.*, 2021). This has seen it emerge as a top solution to companies that have the need to modernise their BI systems because of its capability to seamlessly integrate with cloud data sources, enterprise applications, and sophisticated analytics tools. However, Zamil (2024) stated that even though it is popularly used, the issues usability, data control, and change control are common in most organisations and can restrict the delivery of the full strategic benefit of BI. Considering this, systematic research on the importance of cloud-based BI platforms to facilitate continuous analytics, operational monitoring, and executive decision-making is evident (Mamun, 2025). Determining the process of the transition of organisations to cloud-based ecosystems, with focus on legacy BI systems to the new ones, and how the tools like Power BI are introduced and used in practise is necessary in order to determine the best practises and overcome the challenges that persist. This review is thus aimed at discussing the development of BI, commitment of cloud computing on the accessibility and scalability of analytics, and the strategic consequences of utilising modern BI platforms. The areas of the review include technical, organisational and managerial aspects, with special focus on the role of cloud-based BI in helping to make decisions based on the data in the modern business world.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The shift of intuitive-driven leadership to Data-Driven Decision-Making (DDDM) is one of the most significant changes in the theory and practise of organisations in the 21st century (Östlund, 2024). The initial choice in management was highly influenced by experience, heuristics and managerial judgement, but the massive expansion of digital data has fundamentally changed the way organisations compete and the operations (Andersen *et al.*, 2022). Empirical research consistently shows the benefits of firms' performance powered by analytics. Hossain *et al.* (2025) observed that data-driven organisations are about 56% more productive and profitable than others and that more recent industry surveys show that more than 75% of high-performing companies explicitly incorporate analytics in their strategic decision models. The literature review presents an overview of previous studies on the development of Business Intelligence (BI), cloud-based solutions, enterprise BI system (especially Microsoft Power BI), and the advent of real-time analytics as a source of operational excellence (Abdelfattah *et al.*, 2024).

Business Intelligence and Business Decision Making Systems

The development of Business Intelligence systems has gone through several generations, which represent the general trends in availability of data, computing capabilities, and operational requirements of the organisation (Olszak, 2022). BI 1.0, which was common in the 1990s and early 2000s, was defined by centralised architecture, an inflexible data warehouse, and ad-hoc reporting that was mainly provided by IT specialists (Antunes, 2024). The decision making process at this stage was quite backward looking and the information used was based on past data that was in weeks or months past. Consequently, BI was used as a descriptive instrument and not a strategic facilitator. Studies such as Shafa (2024), have also shown that less than 30 per cent of managers were regularly involved with the BI outputs during this time, which restricted the organisational influence.

BI 2.0, introduced in the late 2000s, came with web-based reporting and dashboards, interactive reporting and the ability to refresh and access data near real-time. These systems were more aligned to the needs of the managers as they allowed the tactical decision making including monitoring of performance and optimization of department (Igulu *et al.*, 2024). However, BI 2.0 was still based intensively on structured data and pre-defined queries, limiting its ability to be analytically flexible (Leväniemi, 2025). Researchers indicate that, even with the increased accessibility, decision latency was high because of fragmented information sources and poor predictive abilities.

Modern BI (also known as BI 3.0 or Modern BI) is a shift to a paradigm of decentralisation, mobility, and AI-assisted insights. The contemporary BI systems combine machine learning, natural language querying and automated anomaly detection to make BI a proactive decision-support system (Mgbame *et al.*, 2024). According to Gartner, more than 70 % of the analytics interactions will be based on augmented analytics capabilities by 2024, which will be less reliant on manual analysis (Ojala, 2024). Significantly, BI is now used in decision-making at all levels of the hierarchy: strategic decisions include aggregated KPIs and external indicators; tactical decisions include scenario modelling and forecasting; and operational decisions include high-frequency and granular data. This multi-level integration makes BI an organisational strength and not a reporting periphery.

Business Intelligence Architecture in the cloud

The shift to the cloud of Business Intelligence systems has been motivated by the growing complexity of the data environments, which can be thought of in the three Vs of Big Data: volume, velocity, and variety (Anand *et al.*, 2023). Conventional on-premise BI systems have difficulties in scaling effectively to changing workloads, which causes performance hogs and high infrastructural expenses. Cloud computing solves these constraints by providing elasticity, by which organisations can dynamically deploy computing resources when the demands rise. According to industry data, the adoption of cloud-based BI has been increasing faster than 25 % annually with over 60 % of business currently running analytics workloads at least partially or fully in the cloud (Talwandi *et al.*, 2024).

Current cloud BI systems are generally constructed using data lakes, cloud data warehouses, and analytics services. One of the architectural changes that recent literature has found is that a shift in Extract-Transform-Load (ETL) processes to Extract-Load-Transform (ELT) processes has occurred (Mahmud, 2022). Organisations can maintain consistency in the fidelity of historical data by loading raw data into scalable cloud storage allowing them to provide them with the ability to transform with flexibility and on-demand (Kansara, 2022). This method has been established to save up to 40 % of data preparation time enhancing analytical responsiveness.

Hussain *et al.* (2020) explained that the semantic layer is an important part of cloud BI in that it abstracts technical data structures into standardised business definitions. It has been found that discrepancies in the definition of metrics are the primary cause of inaccuracy in decisions, and studies have estimated that the lack of data consistency costs organisations 15-20% of yearly revenue. A strong semantic layer means that KPIs have a standard interpretation in each of the departments, which further enhances governance without limiting the freedom of analytics (Diamantini, 2025). At the user interface level, responsive visualisation structures allow access by cross-devices, which is corroborated by the statistics that executives are increasingly enjoying analytics through mobile devices with mobile BI adoption increasing by about 20 per cent each year. The combination of cloud-based BI architectures allows increased scalability, governance and accessibility, making analytics a real-time organisational resource.

Power BI as a BI Enterprise Platform

Microsoft Power BI has become one of the most powerful enterprise BI applications that have transformed the analytics market with ease of use, low costs, and integration (Talakola, 2022). Market estimates have shown that Power BI controls up to 30 % of the total BI market in the world, with the use of the software being spread across industries and the size of organisations (Kadam *et al.*, 2024). Its success is strongly associated with the close integration with the overall Microsoft cloud ecosystem, such as Azure, Office 365, and the Power Platform (Czerwonka, 2024). This combination allows what researchers refer to as closed-loop BI, of workflows automatically initiated by insights, which act as the connection between analysis and action.

How (2020) demonstrated that the technical architecture of Power BI revolves around the VertiPaq in-memory engine, which provides high performance analytics by offering high columnar compression and indexing. Empirical tests indicate that VertiPaq can compress with ratios of between 8 and 10x, and therefore datasets with millions of rows can be interactively queried, responding in less than a second (Lewis *et al.*, 2021). This feature greatly decreases the latency of analysis, improving the level of user engagement and speed of decision-making as compared to the previous disc-based systems.

One of the common themes in the literature is related to the conflict between self-service BI and governance. Although self-service analytics makes data accessible to all users and allows business users to be self-service, it also presents the risk of data inconsistency and misinterpretation. Research indicates that metric discrepancies are present in up to 50 % of self-service dashboards in the poorly governed settings (Bani Hani, 2020). Certified datasets and role-based access controls are some of the features of Power BI that can help IT departments to maintain data standard and have the flexibility to use data analytically (Asundi, 2024). This hybrid governance model has become a best practise in enterprise analytics, striking the right balance between innovation and control and reasserting Power BI as a scalable, enterprise-grade BI system.

Real-Time BI Dashboards

The increasing focus on real-time decision-making is a symptom of the acknowledgment that the value of the data decreases quickly with the increase in the latency. The concept of latency is conceptualised in literature with three dimensions, namely data latency, analysis latency, and decision latency (Shukla*et al.*, 2023). The traditional BI systems often created cumulative latency that made the insights irrelevant before they found their way to the decision-makers. BI dashboards are designed to reduce all three to the extent possible and provide organisations with the ability to react to events in real-time (Zingde, 2020). Studies have shown that operational efficiency is enhanced by 30 % or more where the shortening of the delay time of decisions is implemented, especially in high speed settings.

The real-time BI has become possible because of the advancement in streaming analytics technologies. Applications like Azure Stream Analytics enable the continuous ingestion of data and window-computations where analytics can be run on the rolling time interval of seconds or minutes as stated by Kipf *et al.* (2019). Such capability is essential in areas where it is necessary to identify frauds; real-time monitoring saves up to 40 60 % of money, and in industrial processes where predictive alerts guard against equipment failure and downtime.

Among the most noticeable uses of real-time BI, there is operational monitoring. Live KPI dashboards help the frontline managers to recognise anomalies, dynamically allocate resources, and interfere with minor issues before they become critical (Liang, 2023). Strategically, real-time BI also aids the adaptive decision-making process that enables organisations to readjust their strategies to market signals. However, researchers warn that real-time analytics should be well synchronised with organisational functions in order to prevent information overload (Kaufhold *et al.*, 2020). Research has demonstrated that inadequately designed dashboards may cause a high level of cognitive burden that compromises the quality of decision making. Real time BI therefore involves not only the state of the art technology but a well-thought dashboard design, governance, and decision protocols that will turn speed into competitive advantage over time (Miranda, 2024).

Executive Intelligence and KPI Monitoring

Executive intelligence is a business Intelligence that is specialised to meet the cognitive and strategic requirements of senior leadership. In contrast to the operational analytics, executive dashboards should reduce the organisational realities (which are perceived to be complex) to a few high-impact indicators (Akter & Kudapa, 2024). Several theories such as Cognitive Load Theory are highly used in the design of such systems, which postulates that human working memory has a limit in terms of capacity (Sweller, 2020). The information overload is especially susceptible to senior executives, who must work under the severe time constraints. According to empirical research, on average, executives dedicate less than eight minutes to dashboards in decision cycles, which is why condensed and visually optimised analytics are needed (Rahman *et al.*, 2025). A well developed executive BI employs preattentive characteristics like colour contrast, size difference, and spatial positioning to focus attention on the anomalies, risks, or performance variances without the need to consciously work on the task (Vuorenheimo, 2025).

The literature also points out that the executive dashboards should be constructed on clear-cut Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) and not generic measures. A real KPI is one that is actionable, i.e. change in value obviously means managerial reactivity. Studies show that organisations that have well defined KPI that can be put into practise are 33 times more likely to implement strategy successfully as compared to those that use descriptive measures (Aithal & Aithal, 2023). Moreover, KPIs should be balanced so that dysfunctional decision-making is avoided. As an illustration, the overemphasis on efficiency-oriented measurements like the speed of delivery can cause a deterioration in quality, which is also supported by the research that indicates that unbalanced KPI systems cause operational risks up to 25% rise.

KPIs are also important to executive intelligence in a cascading manner. The strategic KPI at the executive level should be breakable into tactical and operational KPI, and there should be alignment within an organisation. The literature covering performance management always establishes that organisations that cascade KPI structures have 20-30 % better goal alignment and enhanced accountability. This makes executive intelligence systems not just reporting systems but governance mechanisms which refine strategy into quantifiable action on an organisation wide basis.

Operational Analytics and Performance Tracking

The Business Intelligence of Operational Analytics marks a major change in the concept of centralised, executive oriented reporting to the organisation wide data empowerment. Operational analytics is often called the democratisation of BI in the sense that it is the type of analytics that puts insights, which are informed by data, directly into business processes and allows frontline employees to make informed decisions in real-time (Eboigbe *et al.*, 2023). This change is especially significant in companies like logistics, manufacturing, retail, and customer service where timeliness of decisions made and efficiency of operation have a direct effect on profitability. Research has shown that operational analytics have a 15-25% increase in process efficiency and a much shorter time to react to operational disruption in an organization (Ojika *et al.*, 2023).

One of the distinguishing characteristics of operational analytics is the establishment of the continuous feedback loop, which promotes the agile and adaptive management practise. Through incorporation of BI dashboards in the normal working process, the organisations are able to detect any bottlenecks, deviations or inefficiencies as they progress (Eboigbe *et al.*, 2023). As an example, managers can dynamically reroute the shipments in real-time and not only minimise the delays in deliveries but also save fuel by means of visibility into logistics performance. According to research, companies that use real-time operational dashboards save downtime up to 40 % as compared to those using periodical reports (Eboigbe *et al.*, 2023).

However, operational analytics largely relies on the authenticity and credibility of data. Even the slightest deviation will erode the trust of the users, and the employees will not trust analytics and will turn to the traditional method of making decisions based on intuition. According to the literature, user adoption of BI tools reduces drastically below the data accuracy of 98 %. In addition to technical issues, the human factor is the greatest obstacle towards success. Change management and data literacy are recurrently mentioned as the major reasons of BI project failure where estimates show that more than 60 % of BI projects fail to deliver anticipated value because of organisational resistance as opposed to technological constraints. Thus, to ensure successful operational analytics, one must have not only a good infrastructure but also constant investment in training, culture change, and interactions with users.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The methodology for this study is constructed as a descriptive narrative literature review. In the hierarchy of research evidence, the narrative review serves a vital role by synthesizing a vast range of information into a cohesive and interpretable format. This section details the systematic approach taken to identify, select, and analyze the literature regarding Cloud-Based Business Intelligence (BI) and its application in executive and operational contexts.

Descriptive Narrative Literature Review

The choice of a descriptive narrative review is intentional, driven by the inherent complexity of the "Data-Driven Decision Making" (DDDM) ecosystem. Unlike a systematic review, which often focuses on a narrow, clinical question with rigid quantitative outcomes, a narrative review allows for the integration of multi-disciplinary perspectives. The study of BI spans several domains:

- **Computer Science:** Cloud architecture, data modeling, and streaming protocols.
- **Management Science:** Decision-making theories, KPI frameworks, and strategic alignment.
- **Cognitive Psychology:** User interface (UI) design and how human beings process visual data.

By employing a narrative approach, this study can bridge the gap between technical "how-to" documentation and theoretical "why-it-matters" frameworks. This is essential in a field where technology evolves faster than the

Table 1: Literature Sources and Search Strategy for the Study

Source Category	Key Sources	Purpose of Use	Keywords / Focus Areas	Timeframe / Notes
Academic and Scholarly Databases	IEEE Xplore, Science Direct, ACM Digital Library, Google Scholar	To obtain peer-reviewed journal articles examining the theoretical and empirical impact of Business Intelligence on organizational decision-making and performance	"Cloud Business Intelligence Architecture", "Data-Driven Decision Making", "Real-Time Operational Analytics", "Executive Information Systems (EIS)"	Literature primarily published between 2021–2025 to reflect current cloud-native BI capabilities
Industry Reports and Market Intelligence	Gartner, Forrester, IDC	To capture market trends, adoption rates, and comparative evaluations of BI platforms such as Power BI, Tableau, and Qlik	BI market adoption, cloud analytics penetration, vendor benchmarking (e.g., Gartner Magic Quadrant)	Industry statistics indicate that approximately 75% of data-intensive organizations utilize cloud-based analytics
Platform Documentation and Technical Whitepapers	Microsoft (Power BI Architecture), Amazon Web Services (AWS QuickSight), Snowflake	To understand platform-specific architectures, implementation mechanisms, and advanced BI functionalities	DirectQuery, Row-Level Security (RLS), auto-scaling, in-memory processing, semantic modeling	Provides technical depth not typically covered in academic literature but essential for applied analysis

academic peer-review cycle. A narrative review provides the flexibility to include recent whitepapers and industry benchmarks alongside foundational longitudinal studies.

Sources of Literature and Search Strategy

To maintain a balanced and authoritative perspective, the study utilized a "three-pronged" data collection strategy.

Table 2: Literature Selection, Inclusion, and Exclusion Criteria

Category	Criteria Type	Description
Scope & Architecture	Inclusion	Literature must analyze the transition from traditional, siloed on-premise BI systems to integrated Cloud-SaaS and cloud-native BI architectures.
Decision-Support Relevance	Inclusion	Studies must explicitly link BI outputs (e.g., dashboards, reports, and analytics) to decision-making outcomes at strategic, tactical, or operational levels.
Practical Utility & Evidence	Inclusion	Priority is given to studies providing case analyses, applied frameworks, or best-practice models for KPI design and performance management. Only research articles published between 2021–2025 are included.
Data Scale & Tools	Exclusion	Studies focusing exclusively on "small data" environments or localized Excel-based reporting systems are excluded.
Analytical Scope	Exclusion	Purely mathematical or algorithmic machine learning studies that do not address business interfaces, managerial use, or decision-support contexts are excluded.
Temporal Relevance	Exclusion	Literature published before 2015 that frames cloud computing as a future trend rather than an established operational reality is excluded.

This ensures that the findings are not solely grounded in theory but are also validated by current industry practices.

Selection and Inclusion Criteria

With thousands of papers available on "Data Analytics", strict inclusion and exclusion criteria were established to prevent "scope creep" and ensure the review remains focused on Executive Intelligence and Operational Monitoring.

Analysis and Synthesis Approach

Table 3: Comparative Architecture Discussion

Feature	Traditional Batch BI	Modern Streaming (Real-Time) BI
Data Refresh	Once daily/weekly	Sub-second to minutes
Use Case	Quarterly financial reviews	IoT monitoring / Fraud detection
Technical Stack	SQL Server / ETL	Azure Stream Analytics / WebHooks
Decision Speed	Reactive	Proactive / Predictive

Limitations and Mitigations

No methodology is without its drawbacks. Recognizing these limitations is crucial for the academic integrity of the review.

• **Publication Bias:** Academic journals often favor successful case studies over "failed" BI implementations. To mitigate this, the review includes industry whitepapers that discuss the "Top 10 Reasons BI Projects Fail", providing a more realistic perspective.

• **Technological Velocity:** In the cloud space, software updates occur monthly. For example, Power BI's integration of AI |Co-pilots" is a recent development. This study mitigates this by focusing on architectural principles that remain stable even as specific software features change.

• **Subjectivity:** As a narrative review, the researcher's synthesis is subjective. To counter this, a "Triangulation" method was used, where a technical claim (e.g., |Real-time dashboards reduce downtime") was validated across both an academic source and an industry case study.

Ethics and Data Integrity

While this review does not involve human subjects, it adheres to the ethics of scholarly integrity. This includes the rigorous citation of all sources, the avoidance of "cherry-picking" data to fit a specific narrative (such as only citing Power BI and ignoring competitors), and ensuring that the statistical data sourced from industry reports is used within its original context.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Trends in Cloud-Based Business Intelligence

The literature produced is evident and rapidly moving towards the cloud-resident Business Intelligence (BI) as the new paradigm of analysis. The transition has drastically changed the speed of decision making, scalability and access to data by the organisation (Ionescu, 2023). The market has shown that the global market value of cloud computing has reached USD 781 billion by 2025 with an extra USD 905 billion in 2026 indicating that enterprises have high confidence in cloud-based infrastructures (Capuano *et al.*, 2022). This development is structural but strategic, due to the need to make decisions faster, decentralised, and to have analytics available at all times.

Democratisation of analytics is one of the most notable trends that have been noted in both academic and industry sources. Conventionally, access to BI remained limited to analysts and IT experts; nevertheless, cloud BI solution has increased access to data across organisational levels (Ogbuefi *et al.*, 2022). According to the findings presented by the State of AI +BI 2025 Report, it is indicated that by 2026, organisations will ensure that employees have tripled their access to AI-driven BI tools, which would mark a structural change in decision-making (Tardella, 2025). The increase of natural language querying also facilitates this democratisation, at least one out of five employees now communicates with BI systems via conversational interface, not via structured query systems. Decision-theoretically, cloud BI minimises both analysis latency and decision latency and enables the insights to be taken into action sooner to the data generation time. It is a consistent observation of studies that organisations that utilise cloud-native self-service BI are five times more likely to make decisions faster than those that use batch-based and on-premise systems (Jansen, 2025). The literature however warns that more accessibility without regulation may result in inconsistent data interpretations. Cloud BI trend, is not only an evolution but also a governance and cultural shift that organisations need to manage the balance between accessibility and control to make the most out of the benefits of decision-making.

The efficacy of Power BI in the use of the executive and operational level

Microsoft Power BI is being presented as one of the most popular enterprise BI systems in the literature, but its utility is becoming progressively dependent on governance, data modelling, and user functionality (Talakola, 2022). To support executive-level decision-making, Power BI can be integrated with the Microsoft 365 ecosystem, which allows what some often call one-click intelligence, with insights being served in familiar productivity applications. Empirical evidence has shown that when organisations move to such unified analytical environments the average time of executive decisions declines by 20% and this is in large part because of enhanced accessibility and less friction between data and action (Kadam *et al.*, 2024).

On the operational level, the ability of Power BI to provide near-real-time telemetry has shown an actual performance improvement. In logistics and manufacturing, it is described as reducing the number of stockouts by up to 20 % and enhancing asset productivity using live inventory and manufacturing dashboards. The findings are in line with the operational analytics theory, which highlights the importance of timely feedback loops as the key to ongoing process optimization (Lewis *et al.*, 2021).

Along with these strengths, there are also significant implementation challenges observed in the discussion. A number of critical studies point out that the Power BI dashboards may become too complicated, which leads to what the users refer to as the clunky interface that adds cognitive load. Certain Direct Query scenarios have also been also reported to be affected by technical constraints with datasets larger than 20,00030,000 row length potentially having a latency or a time out problem, especially in cases where the source systems are poorly tuned (Stewart *et al.*, 2025). Similarly, the growing Power BI ecosystem, including Desktop, Service, Gateway, and Mobile has been reported to raise the Total Cost of Ownership (TCO) because of the specialised skills needed, especially in DAX (Data Analysis Expressions) (BAIMENOVA, 2023). Therefore, although Power BI can be extremely useful in case of an appropriate architecture and governance, the findings indicate that it is not the value, but the implementation which determines the value of data modelling discipline and user education.

Real-Time Analytics/Decision Support

The literature provides consistent evidence that real-time analytics affect the responsiveness and the quality of decision making in an organisation. In the US, most data-driven businesses are expected to automate up to 50 % of tactical decisions by 2026 via real-time data pipelines, indicating a transition to algorithmically-assisted decision-making (Mohammed *et al.*, 2026). Real-time BI eliminates delays along the decision pipeline by cutting the data, analysis, and decision latency, especially where speed of response is paramount such as in operational systems.

The review however points out that real-time analytics is not a binary state but exist on a latency spectrum. Systems like Azure Stream analytics allow data to be ingested in seconds and window based processing, which allows use cases of fraud detection, equipment monitoring and supply chain optimization. According to empirical evidence, these systems have the potential of cutting operational disruptions by 30-40 % in case alerts are properly calibrated (Natta, 2025).

One of the major debates that have been generated after the literature is the trade-off between the speed and the data quality. Real-time streaming architectures can also bypass the established data cleansing and validation mechanisms, which researchers refer to as high-velocity noise. The issue arises when the spikes in the transient data, which include sensor faults or temporary anomalies, are mistaken as valid trends. Some studies have warned that executives responding to unfiltered real-time information could be prone to making untimely or sub-optimal decisions, which destabilise strategies as per findings of Smina *et al.*, (2025)/

As a result, the literature stresses the importance of context-sensitive dashboards, threshold controls and hybrid architectures incorporating real-time monitors and historical validation. Good real-time BI systems are not a replacement of human judgement but a supplement, with timely reliable signals. The findings indicate that those organisations that have experienced the most value through real-time analytics are the ones that match technological swiftness with governance systems and decision conventions to ensure that the urgency improves as opposed to disrupting decision support (Muthukalyani, 2024).

BI Governance and Data Trust: The "Wild West" Challenge

BI governance has emerged as one of the key and ongoing challenges in the literature as organisations move toward the self-service and cloud-developed BI platforms more and more. This has contributed to what researchers often refer to as report sprawl with the presence of hundreds or even thousands of user-generated dashboards with inadequate standardisation, validation, and ownership (Karthikeyan, 2019). According to recent studies conducted in the industry, it has been suggested that around 82 % of the cloud decision-makers have trouble managing costs, metric inconsistency and data duplications in self-service BI environment (Polyviou *et al.*, 2024). This absence of control introduces what the literature terms a governance gap, where various departments come up with divergent interpretations of the same business metric, e.g. net profit or customer churn, based on inconsistent philtres, time windows or calculation logic.

The implications of such a gap in governance are not only technical inefficiency but also an immediate effect on organisational trust. It is always noted in studies that trust is the primary currency of Business Intelligence. When the executives meet any one of several unaccounted flags in a dashboard, trust in the whole analytics ecosystem can quickly drop (Zingde, 2020). Research indicates that restoring a culture of data-driven performance, once trust is lost after the assault, can take years in many cases based on the need to reorganise an organisation and introduce fresh governance strategies (Frančula, 2025). This observation supports the premise that BI failures are seldom technological in nature but visits are heavily sociotechnical.

Synthesis and Future Directions

The literature synthesis brings forward the simple fact that the interplay of cloud scalability, executive accessibility, and real-time analytics have radically transformed Business Intelligence as a strategic capability to governance. The current BI systems have been able to work at the cross roads of technology, organisational behaviour, and strategic management, thus providing quicker, more informed, and more accountable decision-making throughout all levels of the business. The cloud-based architectures have eliminated past restriction to infrastructure and cost, and the mobile and self-service features have extended access to analytics to technical experts. The literature is trending on augmented analytics as the next level of BI development. Augmented analytics is an application of machine learning and artificial intelligence to automate data preparation, identify anomalies, and create insights without being explicitly requested by the user. Gartner forecasts that more than three-quarters of organisations will be using augmented analytics to assist decision-making by 2026, which will eliminate a substantial portion of manual analytical tasks.

Discussion

The transformation of Business Intelligence into dynamic analytics platforms based on clouds and the development of these systems out of the existing static reporting systems signify a significant change in the manner in which organisations create, analyse, and respond to data. The results presented in this review emphasise the idea that the contemporary BI can no longer be viewed as a technical role but rather a strategic asset that influences the responsiveness of the organisation, its competitiveness, and the sustainability of its performance over time. BI systems that operate on a cloud architecture and specifically Microsoft Power BI have profoundly changed the state of analytics by enabling real-time insight generation, democratising data, and making decisions at the executive level (Kadam *et al.*, 2024). However, even though the potential of these platforms is greatly due to their technological nature, their successful implementation lies in the organisational preparedness, maturity of data governance and user participation.

Among the most important aspects of BI adoption that can be discussed nowadays is the shift towards real-time analytics and the replacement of periodic, retrospective reporting. The conventional BI systems were historically-oriented and provided insights of what had already happened and not what was happening or what was likely to happen next (Olszak, 2022). Conversely, BI systems that run on clouds facilitate near real time data ingestion and visualisation that allow organisations to keep track of operational performance at all times. The nature of this capability transforms how decisions are made because it lowers the latency of information flow and allows making choices in advance instead of reacting to them (Diamantini, 2025). Indicatively, in real time, dashboards can notify managers about disruptions in the supply chain, unusual financial activities or loss of interest in customers as they happen and hence enhance the agility of the organisation. However, this change also brings its own frustrations, such as the overload of information and the possibility of overreacting to the short-term changes, which creates the importance of smart dashboard design and interpretation of the real-time data in its specific context.

Self-service capabilities are another important consideration of cloud BI adoption because of its democratisation of analytics. Power BI is also a tool that allows non-technical users to analyse data, create dashboards, and create insights without relying on the IT departments so much. Such decentralisation of analytics lessens the reporting bottlenecks and speeding up the decision-making process, making the organisation culture more data-driven (Talakola, 2022). Managerially, self-service BI makes analytics more business-oriented because domain managers are able to query data that is pertinent to their operations directly. However, the discussion shows that there are no dangers in self-service BI. When there are no proper governance frameworks, decentralised analytics may result in ineffective metrics, reports, and inconsistent interpretation of data (Lewis *et al.*, 2021). Thus, organisations have to balance between user empowerment and centralised control to facilitate consistency and integrity of data.

Another major theme of this discussion is the role of cloud computing in increasing the scalability and accessibility of BI. Cloud-based BI systems have eliminated much of the capacity limitations of the on-premise systems enabled organisations to expand analytics functions as the data volume and the demand increases. It is especially important in the environments of big data and high-velocity information flows, which include e-commerce, finance, and logistics. Moreover, cloud BI enables remote work and cooperation, which has become more and more topical when it comes to distributed workforce and global business. However, the issues of data security, privacy, and regulatory compliance are still of high priority, which is particularly obvious in the industry where data protection is a strict requirement. Although cloud providers already provide high-level security measures, the organisation still needs to resolve data location, access controls, and local regulations compliance, which make cloud BI implementation more complicated (Sweller, 2020).

Another notable aspect of the current BI use, the subject of this review, is executive intelligence and operational monitoring. BI applications based on clouds give senior management built-in perspectives of the organisational performance by way of customizable dashboards, which aggregate financial, operational, and customer information. This integrated visibility underpins strategic alignment and performance management because it helps executives to determine the progress in relation to the goals and recognise risks. Further, with built-in capabilities in high-order analytics including machine learning and predictive modelling, BI moves beyond the descriptive part to prescriptive and predictive decision support (Akter & Kudapa, 2024). Although there are such benefits, the usefulness of executive BI can be highly determined by the relevance and clarity of the provided metrics. The design of dashboards or over-reliance on surface-level KPIs may hide the underlying problems and make strategic decisions based on false premises. This indicates the significance of placing BI outputs into strategic priorities and making sure that executives have the capability to interpret analytical insights in a critical manner.

The popularity of the Microsoft Power BI is an example of the opportunities and challenges that modern cloud BI platforms encounter. The use of power BI has gained momentum in various industries as its integration with enterprise systems and the ease of use is very high. The possibility to access various sources of data and provide sophisticated analytics is what makes the platform a very effective instrument in case an organisation wants to update its BI infrastructure. However, the discussion demonstrates that technology does not in itself assure the creation of values. Lack of dashboard adoption and analytical illiteracy among users, as well as resistance to data-driven decision-making can be a problem in many organisations. These issues imply that effective BI implementation cannot be achieved without technical implementation, but organisational change management, training and cultural change.

CONCLUSION

This research notes that Business Intelligence has become an extremely important strategic asset, with much of its advancement propelled by cloud-based environments and real-time analytics. Replacement of periodic, traditional reporting systems with continuous and cloud resumed BI has empowered organisations to enhance the speed of decision-making, view of operations, and responsiveness to strategic changes. Microsoft Power BI tools are an example of this transformation since they are scalable self-service analytics that can be used to support executive intelligence and operational monitoring in a wide range of organisational settings. The results show that technological development in itself, cannot ensure the success of BI. Data quality, data governance, data integration, and user adoption issues persist in curtailing the full delivery of the value of BI. Lack of effective governance systems and sufficient data literacy will pose a risk of poor reporting, diminished trust in analytics, and ineffective decision-making to organisations. Besides, the increasing use of real-time dashboard is accompanied by new threats, including information overload and misunderstanding of high-velocity data, which highlights the importance of careful design and context analysis. In general, cloud-based BI is an organisational transformation and not a technical upgrade. Organisations can transform data into actionable intelligence and maintain competitive advantage in a business world that is increasingly data-driven by aligning BI initiatives with strategic goals, investing in analytical capabilities, and building sound governance frameworks.

REFERENCES

Abdelfattah, W. A., Helmy, Y., & Yehia, E. (2024). The integration of cloud computing technologies with BI systems: A literature review. *The Scientific Journal for Commercial Research and Studies, 38*(3), 1303–1331.

Achanta, M. (2024). The Impact of Real-Time Data Processing on Business Decision-making. *International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR), 13*(7).

Adeniran, I. A., Efunniyi, C. P., Osundare, O. S., Abhulimen, A. O., & OneAdvanced, U. K. (2024). The role of data science in transforming business operations: Case studies from enterprises. *Computer Science & IT Research Journal, 5*(8), 2026-2039.

Aithal, P. S., & Aithal, S. (2023). Key performance indicators (KPI) for researchers at different levels & strategies to achieve it. *International Journal of Management, Technology, and Social Sciences (IJMTS), 8*(3), 294-325.

Akter, M., & Kudapa, S. P. (2024). A comparative analysis of artificial intelligence-integrated bi dashboards for real-time decision support in operations. *International Journal of Scientific Interdisciplinary Research, 5*(2), 158-191.

Anand, R., Jain, V., Singh, A., Rahal, D., Rastogi, P., Rajkumar, A., & Gupta, A. (2023). Clustering of big data in cloud environments for smart applications. In *Integration of iot with cloud computing for smart applications* (pp. 227-247). Chapman and Hall/CRC.

Andersen, T. C. K., Aagaard, A., & Magnusson, M. (2022). Exploring business model innovation in SMEs in a digital context: Organizing search behaviours, experimentation and decision-making. *Creativity and Innovation Management, 31*(1), 19-34.

Antunes, A. L. F. (2024). A*pplication of semantic web techniques in data warehouse and business intelligence systems* (Doctoral dissertation, ISCTE-Instituto Universitario de Lisboa (Portugal)).

Aron, M. B., Nkhomah, W. E., Dullie, L., Matanje, B., Kachimanga, C., Ndarama, E., ... & Munyaneza, F. (2024). Towards improving district health information system data consistency, report completeness and timeliness in Neno district, Malawi. *BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making, 24*(1), 376.

Asundi, S., & Piridi, S. (2024). *Enhancing Role-Based Access Control (RBAC) in Dataverse for Secure Enterprise Applications–Analyzing Advanced Security Models and Governance for Power Apps and Dynamics 365 CRM.*

BAIMENOVA, A. (2023). Enhancing Financial Reporting with Power BI tools: A Case Study Approach.

Bani Hani, I. (2020). *Self-Service Business Analytics and the Path to Insights: Integrating Resources for Generating Insights* (Doctoral dissertation, Lund University).

Capuano, N., Fenza, G., Loia, V., & Stanzione, C. (2022). Explainable artificial intelligence in cybersecurity: A survey. *Ieee Access, 10*, 93575-93600.

Chen, H., Chiang, R. H., & Storey, V. C. (2012). Business intelligence and analytics: From big data to big impact. *MIS quarterly,* 1165-1188.

Czerwonka, P. (2024). Key Factors Influencing the Adoption of SaaS: A Case Study of Microsoft Exchange Online. *European Research Studies Journal, 27*(S2), 240-251.

Diamantini, C., Khan, T., Potena, D., & Storti, E. (2025). Semantic Models of Performance Indicators: A Systematic Survey. *ACM Computing Surveys, 57*(8), 1-37.

Eboigbe, E. O., Farayola, O. A., Olatoye, F. O., Nnabugwu, O. C., & Daraojimba, C. (2023). Business intelligence transformation through AI and data analytics.

Engineering Science & Technology Journal, 4(5), 285-307.

Forrester Research. (2022). *The Forrester Wave™: Enterprise Business Intelligence Platforms.* Forrester.

Frančula, N. (2025). *Building a Data-Driven Culture in the Public Sector.*

Gartner. (2023). *Magic Quadrant for Analytics and Business Intelligence Platforms.* Gartner Research.

Hossain, Q., Ikbal, M. Z., & Rahman, M. M. (2025). A meta data-driven decision support in human capital management: reviewing hris and predictive analytics integration. *ASRC Procedia: Global Perspectives in Science and Scholarship, 1*(01), 215-246.

How, M. (2020). Beyond the Modern Data Warehouse. In *The Modern Data Warehouse in Azure: Building with Speed and Agility on Microsoft's Cloud Platform* (pp. 229-274). Berkeley, CA: Apress.

Hussain, A. A., Al-Turjman, F., & Sah, M. (2020, October). Semantic web and business intelligence in big-data and cloud computing era. In *The Proceedings of the Third International Conference on Smart City Applications* (pp. 1418-1432). Cham: Springer International Publishing.

Igulu, K. T., Onuodu, F. E., Chaudhary, R., & Justice, P. (2023). Business intelligence. In *AI-Based Data Analytics* (pp. 49-82). Auerbach Publications.

Ionescu, S. A., & Diaconita, V. (2023). Transforming financial decision-making: the interplay of AI, cloud computing and advanced data management technologies. *International Journal of Computers Communications & Control, 18*(6).

Jansen, C. (2025). *Contributions to the Decision Theoretic Foundations of Machine Learning and Robust Statistics under Weakly Structured Information.* arXiv preprint arXiv:2501.10195.

Kadam, A. A., Garine, R., & Kadam, S. A. (2024). Revolutionizing inventory management: A comprehensive automated data-driven model using power BI incorporating industry 4.0. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 24*(1), 477-488.

Kahila, M. (2023). *The benefits and challenges of integrating ERP and Business Intelligence.*

Kahila, M. (2023). *The benefits and challenges of integrating ERP and Business Intelligence.*

Kansara, M. A. H. E. S. H. B. H. A. I. (2022). A structured lifecycle approach to large-scale cloud database migration: Challenges and strategies for an optimal transition. *Applied Research in Artificial Intelligence and Cloud Computing, 5*(1), 237-261.

Karthikeyan, C., & Benjamin, A. (2019). An exploratory literature review on advancements in applications of cloud and BI; a techno-business leadership perspective. *International Journal of Research in Social Sciences, 9*(4), 131-166.

Kaufhold, M. A., Rupp, N., Reuter, C., & Habdank, M. (2020). Mitigating information overload in social media during conflicts and crises: Design and evaluation of a cross-platform alerting system. *Behaviour & Information Technology, 39*(3), 319-342.

Kipf, A., Pandey, V., Böttcher, J., Braun, L., Neumann, T., & Kemper, A. (2019). Scalable analytics on fast data. *ACM Transactions on Database Systems (TODS), 44*(1), 1-35.

Leväniemi, E. (2025). *Natural language processing for automating queries in business intelligence systems.*

Lewis, P., Wu, Y., Liu, L., Minervini, P., Küttler, H., Piktus, A., ... & Riedel, S. (2021). PAQ: 65 million probably-asked questions and what you can do with them. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics, 9,* 1098-1115.

Liang, S., & He, Y. (2023). Real-Time Operational Dashboards for Executive Leadership to Drive Agile Decision-Making in Multisite Health Systems. *International Journal of Advanced Computational Methodologies and Emerging Technologies, 13*(11), 1-11.

Mahmud, D., & Ikbal, M. Z. (2022). The role of ETL (extract-transform-load) pipelines in scalable business intelligence: A comparative study of data integration tools. *ASRC Procedia: Global Perspectives in Science and Scholarship, 2*(1), 89–121.

Majid, M. E., Marinova, D., Hossain, A., Chowdhury, M. E., & Rummani, F. (2024). Use of conventional business intelligence (bi) systems as the future of big data analysis. *American Journal of Information Systems, 9*(1), 1-10.

Mamun, M. N. H. (2025). *Role of AI and Data Science in Data-Driven DecisionMaking for it Business Intelligence: A Systematic Literature Review.* Available at SSRN 5402976.

Meira, S., Neves, A., & Braga, C. P. P. (2025). *From Programmed Labor to Meta-Cognitive Orchestration.* Available at SSRN 5329936.

Mgbame, A. C., Akpe, O. E., Abayomi, A. A., Ogbuefi, E., & Adeyelu, O. O. (2024). Sustainable process improvements through AI-assisted BI systems in service industries. *International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research and Studies, 4*(6), 2055-2075.

Miranda, R. P. M. (2024). *Real-Time Information Proessing (Doctoral dissertation, Universidade do Minho (Portugal)).*

Mohammed, S., Ebrahim, M. K., & Maheswari, P. (2026). Data-Driven Leadership: Enhancing Decision-Making through Analytics. In *Digital Leadership* (pp. 171-181). Productivity Press.

Muthukalyani, A. R. (2024). Enhancing supply chain agility with real-time data analytics. *International Journal of Data Science and Analytics, 2*(1), 1–9

Natta, P. K. (2025). AI-Driven Decision Intelligence: Optimizing Enterprise Strategy with AI-Augmented Insights. *Journal of Computer Science and Technology Studies, 7*(2), 146-152.

Nookala, G. (2023). Real-Time Data Integration in Traditional Data Warehouses: A Comparative Analysis. *Journal of Computational Innovation, 3*(1).

Obuse, E., Ajayi, J., Akindemowo, A., Erigha, E., Adebayo, A., Afuwape, A., & Soneye, O. (2023). Advances in analytics engineering for operational Decision-Making using Tableau, Astrato, and Power BI. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation, 4*(1), 1318-1335.

Ogbuefi, E., Mgbame, A. C., Akpe, O. E. E., Abayomi, A. A., & Adeyelu, O. O. (2022). Data democratization: Making advanced analytics accessible for micro and small enterprises. *International Journal of Management and Organizational Research, 1*(1), 199-212.

Ojala, S. (2024). *Predictive Modelling and AI Integration for Enhanced Analysis of Warranty and Notification Data; A Case Study in Manufacturing Data Analysis.*

Ojika, F. U., Onaghinor, O. S. A. Z. E. E., Esan, O. J., Daraojimba, A. I., & Ubamadu, B. C. (2023). Developing a predictive analytics framework for supply chain resilience: Enhancing business continuity and operational efficiency through advanced software solutions. *IRE Journals, 6*(7), 517-519.

Olszak, C. M. (2022). Business intelligence systems for innovative development of organizations. *Procedia Computer Science, 207,* 1754-1762.

Östlund, M., & Gustafsson, E. (2024). *Managing Data-Driven Decision-Making: Managerial Practices: A Qualitative Multiple Case Study about Managerial Practices when Utilizing Data-Driven Decisions.*

Polyviou, A., Pouloudi, N., & Venters, W. (2024). Cloud computing adoption decision-making process: a sensemaking analysis. *Information Technology & People, 37*(6), 2153-2182.

Ragazou, K., Passas, I., Garefalakis, A., & Zopounidis, C. (2023). Business intelligence model empowering SMEs to make better decisions and enhance their competitive advantage. *Discover Analytics, 1*(1), 2.

Rahman, M. A., Alam, M. S., & Mrida, M. S. H. (2025). How interactive dashboards improve managerial decision-making in operations management. *American Journal of Advanced Technology and Engineering Solutions, 1*(01), 122-146.

Rajagopal, N. K., Qureshi, N. I., Durga, S., Ramirez Asis, E. H., Huerta Soto, R. M., Gupta, S. K., & Deepak, S. (2022). Future of business culture: An artificial intelligence-driven digital framework for organization decision-making process. *Complexity, 2022*(1), 7796507.

Rane, N. L., Paramesha, M., Choudhary, S. P., & Rane, J. (2024). Artificial intelligence, machine learning, and deep learning for advanced business strategies: a review. *Partners Universal International Innovation Journal, 2*(3), 147-171.

Rosário, A. T., & Raimundo, R. (2024). *Internet of Things and Distributed Computer Systems in Business Models.*

Sanjida Akrer, S., Md Imran, K., & Md, R. (2024). Balancing Data Accessibility and Security in Cloud-Based Business Intelligence Systems. *American Journal of Technology Advancement, 1*(6), 60-88.

Shafa, H., & Sultana, M. S. (2024). The influence of secure data systems on fraud detection in business intelligence applications. *Journal of Sustainable Development and Policy, 3*(04), 133-173.

Shukla, S., Hassan, M. F., Tran, D. C., Akbar, R., Paputungan, I. V., & Khan, M. K. (2023). Improving latency in Internet-of-Things and cloud computing for real-time data transmission: a systematic literature review (SLR). *Cluster Computing, 26*(5), 2657-2680.

Smina, N., Gahi, Y., & Gharib, J. (2025). Data Management in Smart Manufacturing Supply Chains: A Systematic Review of Practices and Applications (2020–2025). *Information, 17*(1), 19.

Stewart, N., Adams, D., & Foster, U. (2025). Empirical Analysis of Query Optimization Strategies for Reducing Execution Latency in Large-Scale Databases. *Journal of Innovation in Governance and Business Practices, 1*(1), 88-117.

Sweller, J. (2020). Cognitive load theory and educational technology. *Educational technology research and development, 68*(1), 1-16.

Talakola, S. (2022). Leverage Microsoft Power BI reports to generate Insights and integrate with the application. *International Journal of AI, BigData, Computational and Management Studies, 3*(2), 31-40.

Talakola, S. (2022). Leverage Microsoft Power BI reports to generate Insights and integrate with the application. *International Journal of AI, BigData, Computational and Management Studies, 3*(2), 31-40.

Talwandi, N. S., Shah, A. K., Thakur, R., Khare, S., & Thakur, P. (2024, November). Cloud Based Data Analytics for Business Intelligence. In *2024 2nd International Conference on Advances in Computation, Communication and Information Technology (ICAICCIT)* (Vol. 1, pp. 1078-1081). IEEE.

Talwandi, N. S., Shah, A. K., Thakur, R., Khare, S., & Thakur, P. (2024, November). Cloud Based Data Analytics for Business Intelligence. In *2024 2nd International Conference on Advances in Computation, Communication and Information Technology (ICAICCIT)* (Vol. 1, pp. 1078-1081). IEEE.

Tardella, A. (2025). *Digitalisation and Productivity in Italian Enterprises: Patterns of ICT Adoption and Firm Performance* (Doctoral dissertation, Politecnico di Torino).

Vuorenheimo, M. (2025). *Reducing Cognitive Biases in Business Intelligence: A Framework for Objective Data Analysis.*

Yadava, A. (2023). The role of data analytics in enhancing business intelligence and strategic decision making. *International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology, 12*(8), 13.

Zamil, H. (2024). Business intelligence systems in finance and accounting: A review of real-time dashboarding using Power BI & Tableau. *American Journal of Scholarly Research and Innovation.*

Zingde, S., & Shroff, N. (2020). The role of dashboards in business decision making and performance management. In *A road map to future business* (pp. 227–234). Institute of Management, Nirma University.

Zingde, S., & Shroff, N. (2020). The role of dashboards in business decision making and performance management. In *A road map to future business* (pp. 227–234). Institute of Management, Nirma University.