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ABSTRACT

This study determined the mediating effect of leadership trust on the link between organizational commitment and willingness to remain in the profession among 300 teachers in the Division of Davao del Sur, the Philippines, using a non-experimental quantitative descriptive-correlational research design. Weighted mean, Pearson r, and path analysis using AMOS were used for data analysis. Findings revealed that the level of Organizational Commitment and level of Job Satisfaction are “high,” while the level of willingness to remain in the profession is “very high.” Organizational commitment positively and significantly correlated with willingness to stay in the work (r=0.162) and leadership trust (r=0.573). Also, Leadership Trust positively and significantly correlated with overall Willingness to Remain in the profession (r=0.386). Utilizing path analysis, the study revealed complete mediation of leadership trust on the association between organizational commitment and willingness to remain in work. This means that leadership trust is why organizational commitment can influence the desire to stay in the profession.

INTRODUCTION

Teacher turnover is prevalent worldwide, particularly in public schools (Xu, 2017). Data suggest that over 200,000 educators quit the teaching profession each year for reasons other than retirement, with remuneration, demanding work circumstances, and better career options being the most common (Podolsky, Kini, Bishop & Darling-Hammond, 2016). Meager pay, an uncomfortable working environment, and a lack of professional development programs have been cited for teachers not continuing to work in public schools in the Philippines (International Labor Organization, 2017). These are fundamental problems since continual teacher turnover may result in irregular and lower-quality instruction (Agarwal & Sajid, 2017). With direct interaction with the students in the classroom, the teacher’s influence on learning is apparent (Emenogu, 2020). Sustaining teachers beyond the first three years is considered critical, particularly in public education settings, since it favors student progress in addition to financial requirements and professional development programs (Casey, 2016). Teachers who stay in their field are satisfied with their jobs, which leads to them discharging their tasks at an ideal level (Eliyana & Ma’arif, 2019). Teachers that remain in the profession exhibit passion for accomplishing their jobs, which increases student motivation and even acts as a foundation for potential educational changes (Han & Yin, 2016). This circumstance encouraged the researcher to investigate various ways to increase teachers’ desire to stay devoted to their careers. The organizational commitment of the teachers is one element. In essence, organizational commitment is shown when teachers’ fundamental beliefs, job identity, and professional ambitions fit with the academic institution (Bano, Ishra & Misharat, 2019). When teachers demonstrate organizational commitment, it becomes an extra-role behavior predictor (Bierema, 2016) that results in teachers having a higher favorable view of the organization, resulting in their constant motivation to remain with the organization (Lovakow, 2016). Consequently, teachers are more persistent, creative, and productive (Hanaysha, 2016), which leads to improved professional and job results (Ganta, 2014). This establishes how organizational factors may influence teachers’ desire to stay with their respective organizations.

Another important aspect included in this study is leadership trust. Teachers’ confidence in school leaders influences organizational effectiveness (Bakhsh, Kumar & Rani, 2014) since a strong leader-teacher connection leads to excellent work results (Hyman-Shurland, 2016). They are recognized as having the power to influence workers’ motivation and loyalty (Restrepo, 2019). Corollary, the researcher is interested in learning how teachers’ trust in their leaders moderates the influence of organizational commitment on the willingness of elementary teachers to stay in public schools. Also, the result of the study could contribute to the body of knowledge that may be beneficial in the field of education.

Research Objectives

This study aimed to determine the mediating effect of leadership trust on the relationship between organizational commitment and the willingness to remain in the profession of public elementary school teachers in
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the Division of Davao del Sur.

The following objectives were developed in particular:

1. To describe the level of organizational commitment in terms of:
   1.1 affective commitment,
   1.2 continuance commitment, and
   1.3 normative commitment

2. To determine the level of willingness to remain in the profession in terms of:
   2.1 intrinsic motivation,
   2.2 altruistic motivation,
   2.3 perceived professional master, and
   2.4 school environment.

3. To measure the level of leadership trust.

4. To ascertain the significance of the relationship between:
   4.1 organizational commitment and willingness to remain in the profession
   4.2 organizational commitment and leadership trust; and
   4.3 leadership trust and willingness to remain in the profession.

5. To determine the significance of mediation of leadership trust on the relationship between organizational commitment and willingness to remain in the profession of the public elementary school teachers.

**LITERATURE REVIEW**

To further investigate the depth of this study, the researcher sought various research and publications to refer to several approaches, points of view, theories, findings, and perspectives from different authors on relevant topics and similar research pursuits. In this study, the independent variable is organizational commitment, with indicators based on the analysis of Allen and Mayer (1990): affective commitment, continuance commitment, and normative commitment. The dependent variable is the willingness to remain in the profession with indicators based on the study of Chiong, Menzies, and Parameshwaran (2017), such as intrinsic motivation, altruistic motivation, perceived professional mastery, and school environment. The mediating variable is leadership trust, based on the study of Tzafrir and Dolan (2004).

**Organizational Commitment**

Commitment has been defined and quantified several times throughout the last few decades (Gupta, 2017). However, the lack of agreement on its definition does not indicate the absence of a body of knowledge that enables us to separate it from other related conceptions, such as satisfaction, motivation, and implication (Chiang & Liu, 2017). Organizational commitment is a psychological, moral, and logical phenomenon (Jaros, 2017). It considers the many meanings of the connection’s genesis and the fundamental variations among the various contributions. Organizational commitment generally pertains to an employee’s commitment to remain in an organization. Added by Miller (2003 as cited by Nguyen, Le, Tran, Tran, Nguyen & Nguyen, 2020), organizational commitment refers to how an individual can remain a member due to a shared interest in and commitment to the organization’s goals and values. It is further defined as the mental state of an employee that triggers sustained, long-term commitment to the organization to which they belong (Planer, 2019).

More so, individuals loyal to the firm put in additional time and effort and desire to be a part of the organization, safeguard corporate assets and participate in the company’s objectives and values (Wang, Keil, Oh & Shen, 2017). This is important because, in any business, a high degree of organizational commitment typically leads to personnel being more efficient and effective at completing their responsibilities properly (Lencione, 2021), resulting in superior services and outputs (Lizotte, Vedinelli & do Nascimento, 2017). In the context of education, organizational commitment manifests itself in the teachers’ psychological connection with the school, allowing them to dedicate their teaching career to assisting in the achievement of the school’s defined educational objectives (Amora, 2016). This is critical to recruiting and, more importantly, retaining competent staff, which is relevant to educators in an academic company (Yousef, 2017).

Affective commitment. Commitment to an organization is an organic process that develops because of an individual’s attitude toward that organization. One way to describe the progression of the development process is by using the phases and degrees of organizational participation (Triwahyuni & Ekowati, 2017). In an essence, affective commitment is concerned with the way individuals form an emotional connection with the organization because of a favorable workplace environment (Bano, Ishrat & Mishra, 2019). Members who are emotionally invested in the organization stay with the organization because they believe their work arrangement is linked with the aims and values of the organization (Setyowati & Suhartono, 2017).

Likewise, a person’s emotional connection to their employer reflects how they view themselves concerning the company to which they belong and how they find meaning in working toward the accomplishment of organizational objectives (Orlando, Brown, Wilson, Forchels, Linn & Fako, 2019). Also, to Kermanshahi and Hozhabrnajad (2016), the degree to which an individual’s actual experience satisfies their requirements (Lim, Loo & Lee, 2017) and goals of the organization (Grieser, 2021) is a significant factor in determining the intensity of their emotional, organizational involvement.

Because it is both subjective and comprehensive, workplace happiness is a challenging notion to express. This is because everyone has a unique set of requirements and objectives (Nguyen, Le, Tran, Tran, Nguyen, & Nguyen, 2020). Therefore, the level of pleasure individuals feel is determined by several aspects of their life, including their aspirations, education, position in the company, professional objectives, experiences, and day-
to-day activities (Idris & Manganaro, 2017). This mindset is described by Sheldon (1971, as mentioned by García-Juan, Escrig-Tena & Roca-Puig, 2019) as an orientation toward the organization, which ties or attaches the person's identity to the organization. The lack of the factors outlined above can quickly lead to discontent, a prevalent factor leading up to employee turnover (Chordiya, Sahharwal & Goodman, 2017).

In synthesis, commitment to an organization is an organic process that develops because of an individual's attitude toward that organization. Affective commitment concerns how individuals form an emotional connection with the organization. The degree to which an employee's real experience satisfies their requirements and goals about the organization is a significant factor.

Continuance Commitment. Another component of organizational commitment is continuance commitment. This concerns how an employee understands the risks and costs of leaving the company (Lau, 2018). Commerce and Fournier (2001, as cited by Adisa, Mordi & Osabutey, 2017) say that a person's decision to stay with an organization is because of the time and money they have already put into it and the costs of switching jobs (Nikpour, 2017) is an example of continuance commitment. Because of this, people who work hard for their company are less likely to leave (Chiang & Liu, 2017).

Moreover, in this case, to understand this part of the teaching job, you need to look at the pay, benefits, and other salaries related to the social and psychological aspects of the job (Schuckert, Kim, Paek & Lee, 2018). This means that this part shows how the risk of leaving the organization is calculated, especially when the economic benefits they might get from the organization are considered. This makes it more of a contractual attachment (Grego-Planer, 2019). People who work for an organization are more likely to stay with the organization if they have a high commitment to staying in it (Jaros, 2017).

On the contrary, Alsiewi and Agil (2014, as cited by Lau, 2018) mentioned that essential teaching is why some people stay committed. Teachers have a lot of responsibilities and are under a lot of stress. Teaching could be a good choice for people who want a challenging job. Candelario, Tindowen, Mendezabal, and Quilang (2020), posited that accumulated savings and few job options seem to force people to keep doing what they're doing. This suggested that these people are committed because they must be. This means that employees stay with the company because they have other investments they don't want to lose, like pension benefits, seniority, or skills that are useful only to that company. In Idris and Manganaro (2017), people also stay with an organization because they think they don't have any other options and are afraid of losing everything they have put into it.

In synthesis, part of organizational commitment concerns how an employee understands the risks and costs of leaving the company. People who work hard for their company are less likely to leave. Teaching could be a good choice for people who want a challenging job.

Normative Commitment. The final element of organizational commitment is the normative commitment that focuses on employees' moral obligation towards the organization (Bano, Ishrat & Mishra, 2019). This comprises the way workers demonstrate their loyalty to the company they belong, depending on how they see and accept the reciprocal connection between them and the organization to which they are connected. Wiener and Vardi (1980, as cited by Hardningsih, Udin, Masdjojo, & Srimindarti, 2020) defined a normative commitment as individual work behavior guided by a sense of responsibility, obligation, and loyalty to the organization.

The aspects of organizational commitment that were discussed before, even though they are equally important, operate independently and have the potential to influence personnel on a variety of levels inside a company (De La Salle, 2017). Commitment to one's organization is often seen as essential in determining whether an employee will continue working for an organization and whether they will stay with that company. In synthesis, commitment to an organization arises organically from an individual's mentality.

Affective commitment is how people feel about an organization. This is important for recruiting and retaining qualified workers in an academic organization. Understanding the risks and consequences of quitting the firm is part of organizational commitment. This includes how employees show business loyalty. The average devoted worker believes staying with the company is ethical. Meaningful relationships allow workers to spend more time together, which increases loyalty.

**Willingness to Remain in the Profession**

Professional development is various approaches. Joyce et al. (1976 as cited by Schechter, 2020) described professional growth as proper and casual arrangements for improving teachers as individuals, people with education and experts, and in terms of their job ability. Professional development improves teachers' ability to perform as competent professionals by teaching them new information, attitudes, and skills. Fullan (1995, as cited by Schechter, 2020) defined professional growth as the sum of formal and informal learning in a complex and dynamic setting. Alaloul, Liew, Zawawi, and Kennedy (2020) have started to use “professional learning” to refer to teachers’ continual, concentrated “everyday learning,” finding professional development a “limited conceptual word.” Day’s (1999, as cited in Kulclsar, Dobrean & Gati, 2020) concept emphasizes teachers’ continuing professional development in the context of change and its linked parts. Professional development has something to do with the motivation of the teachers to stay or remain in their profession willingly.

Intrinsic motivation. In most contexts, the retention of workers, driven by the employees' desire to remain in their companies, has been and will continue to be a significant problem for organizations across all sectors (Aben,
2020). Organizations search for solutions to this problem by developing strategies to increase job satisfaction (Caldwell, Whewell, Bracey, Heaton, Crawford & Shelley, 2021) and enhance the quality of working surroundings (Flowers & Hughes, 2018). However, in the context of the teaching profession, the fundamental reason teachers remain in it is based on the desire to motivate others and bring about good changes in the lives of their students (Ni & Rorrer, 2018). According to Behrstock-Sherratt (2016), successful teaching emerges from the enthusiasm and love teachers have for their students and the teaching profession.

According to the findings of recent studies (Chiong, Menzies & Parameshwaran, 2017; Harrison, 2017), maintaining high levels of teacher motivation is essential for recruiting and keeping qualified individuals in the teaching profession. The retention of teachers in their chosen profession is often the consequence of job satisfaction for teachers, which comes from enough compensation, meaningful interactions between students and colleagues, administrative support, and a good working environment (Seibel, 2020). In addition, there is a possibility that teachers’ motivation to continue in their careers is influenced by the acknowledgment they get from their students’ parents and other community members (Fernandes, Peixoto, Gouveia, Silva, & Wosnitza, 2019).

In general, it has been shown that factors such as intrinsic motivation, altruistic drive, perceived professional mastery, and the school’s atmosphere indicate a teacher’s inclination to stay linked with the academe. Similarly, intrinsic motivation is another indicator in the context of willingness to stay in the profession. It is described as the capacity to undertake an activity or task that intrinsically results in happiness on one’s own, regardless of whether one receives any benefits from the outside world (Masdonati, Fournier & Lahrizi, 2017). Genuinely driven teachers exude a feeling of dignity in their work, which is one of the reasons why this kind of motivation is regarded as one of the most critical aspects of teaching. They are shown the highest respect in the way they carry out their work (Shah, Khattak, Zolin & Shah, 2019). They almost always have a favorable attitude regarding their work, which serves as the primary motivation for them to continue in the field.

In synthesis, teaching retention is a significant problem for organizations across all sectors. Successful teaching emerges from the enthusiasm and love teachers have for their students and the profession. Maintaining high levels of teacher motivation is essential for recruiting and retaining qualified teachers.

Altruistic Motivation. The capacity for altruism is the ability to concentrate on the happiness of others even in the absence of concern for one’s well. According to Sorokin’s (1967 as cited by Han & Yin, 2017) description of altruism, which was used by Putwain and von der Embse (2019), altruism may be broken down into six different sorts of love: biological love, sexual expressions of love; psychological love is love expressed emotionally in which empathy can be given or received., compassion, generosity, and benevolence; social love is love expressed in positive experiences or interactions; religious love is the perception of God’s love; ontological love is the use of love or loving to unite, match, uplift, develop, and authorize; ethical love is the association of love with values such as goodness, honesty, and beauty; According to Velado-de-Oliveira, Pallister, and Foxall (2015 as cited by Claver, 2020), altruism is a crucial component in both the moral and professional accomplishment of teachers, as well as the development and expansion of inclusive institutions. With altruistic motives, teachers have higher tendencies to stay in the profession and maintain it thinking of the good it brings to the students and the community in general with the purest intentions of service and non-selfish reasons (Plata, 2019). Altruistic motivation establishes a desire among teachers to influence the next generation and become catalysts of positive change in the community (Kiongo, 2020).

Anchored on this, altruistic teachers stay in their teaching career as they see opportunities to hone their craft and cultivate their being as they see all these as the highest form of life achievement (Laohasongkram, 2017).

In synthesis, the capacity for altruism is the ability to concentrate on the happiness of others even in the absence of concern for one's well. The concept of altruism describes the standards of social behavior based on the principles of compassion, humility, and humility. One example of selfless conduct is engaging in philanthropic activities. Perceived Professional Master. Teachers’ opinion of their career indicates how successfully they might educate, which is another aspect that contributes to their decision to remain in the teaching field (Cerit, 2019). This relates to how educators evaluate and improve teaching practices and how they align those practices with the learning objectives of their students and the academic community (St-Jean & Duhamel, 2020).

Weiss (1999 as cited in Kulelsar, Dobrean & Gati, 2020) used data from the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) Schools and Staffing Survey (SASS) for 1987–88 and 1993–94 to look at first-year teachers. She concluded that positive organizational expectations were a reliable indicator of a more substantial commitment to teaching. There was a correlation between little student disciplinary concerns, excellent services, teacher introduction, and professional support, all of which led to high teacher morale and career devotion (Swanson & Mason, 2018). When teachers can see the growth of their students, they often get more invested in the learning process, which results in a better degree of motivation (Paniagua & Sánchez- Martín, 2018). This indicates that the likelihood of teachers continuing to do their duties as educators is increased according to the degree to which individuals view the significance of their jobs as educators. In synthesis, the opinion that teachers have of their career is an indication of how successfully they might educate. This relates to how educators evaluate and
improve teaching practices. When teachers can see the growth of their students, they often get more invested in the learning process.

School Environment. The final indicator of willingness to remain in the profession is the school environment or climate. School environment refers to how the school prioritizes the context of learning, good education and success of students, and sustainability of a holistic learning environment (Harmsen, Helms-Lorenz, Maulana, Van Veen, 2018). According to research, the school environment impacts many different sectors and individuals inside schools. For example, a healthy school atmosphere has reduced children’s behavioral and emotional disorders (Ma, Chutiyami & Nicoll, 2021).

Furthermore, research on school climate in high-risk urban contexts reveals that an optimistic, inclusive, and culturally sensitive school atmosphere may significantly influence urban children’s academic achievement (Min, 2019).

A healthy school atmosphere has been found to provide favorable educational and psychological outcomes for students and teachers, while a lousy climate may stifle optimum learning and development (Abe, 2020). Trust, respect, shared responsibility, and concern for the well-being of others may have a significant impact on teachers’ and students’ interpersonal connections, as well as student's academic accomplishment and overall school growth (Harmsen, Helms-Lorenz, Maulana & Van Veen, 2018).

To summarize or synthesize, teachers need to make a concerted effort to remove all forms of uncertainty from rural classrooms to create an effective school and classroom learning environment. Additionally, they need to ensure that open lines of communication are maintained, enabling them to design an engaging and easily accessible curriculum. Both the students and their families need to be informed of your expectations for their learning, the content being taught, and how they might achieve success in the learning environment to eliminate any element of doubt from the curriculum.

### Leadership Trust

At the onset, trust has constantly been considered an essential element in fostering school reforms, especially in leadership in the public school system (Rao & Zaïdi, 2020). The openness of teacher and principal connections is encapsulated in teacher professionalism (Nicolaides, 2019). The transparency of teacher relationships is manifested in collegial leadership. Respect for colleague competence, loyalty to students, independent decisions, and cooperation and support of colleagues characterize professional teacher conduct (Khan, Busari, Abdullah & Mughal, 2018).

Generally, teacher leadership emanates from how the school head or principal monitors students’ academic performance, attains and sustains high academic standards, and performs an active, constructive, and supportive connection with the schoolteachers (Mukezakule, 2019).

As Zeffane and Melhem (2017) mentioned, leadership trust could manifest when teachers are confident that the school head or principal will remain true to their expected deliverables and shall investigate the welfare of the teachers, students, and the academic community in general. It is also considered the teachers’ general expectancy of the words and actions of their designated school head (Khaola, 2019).

In this way, the leaders complement the educational focus of the school. Educators’ emotional and physical well-being is enhanced when they work alongside one another. They put in a lot of effort to discover tools and resources for the classroom as well as people who are ready to share the burden so that they may support teachers in boosting student accomplishment (Banks, Fischer, Gooty & Stock, 2021; Harrison, 2017; Nicolaides, & Duho, 2019).

In synthesis, trust in school leadership is essential to school improvements. Teacher professionalism opens teacher-principal interactions, principals emphasize student and teacher activity, academic-focused collegial leadership boosts optimism, and collegial leadership affects academic optimism. Teacher leadership helps organize teachers’ academic procedures. School leaders who build trust motivate teachers to work more. Teacher leaders may accomplish excellent outcomes by creating, innovating, launching, and sharing initiatives that foster collaborative professional growth and increase student learning. As they improve their leadership, they learn to handle personal, interpersonal, and practical problems. Teachers will learn how to conduct self-directed, teacher-led innovative, and efficient activities. Employees’ faith in their bosses and superiors will impact their moral and ethical actions.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The research tools employed in the study were in the form of a standardized questionnaire adapted from different authors. The questionnaires were modified to fit the research objectives and were further validated by the panel of validators and experts. The expert comments were taken correctly and incorporated in the instrument’s finalization, and the overall mean validation of experts is 3.97 and described as very good. The adopted standardized questionnaire is legitimate in its contents since the author has already tested and proven them, and the questionnaire itself was modified to classify the questions. Thus, it has been tested and confirmed. The questionnaire was prepared in a comprehensive form with the assistance of the expert validators to provide the respondents who understood the study’s goal and were at ease answering each question, t. For the reliability of the questionnaires, Cronbach-alpha scores were utilized. Also, the questionnaires lifted from the internet had sought permission from the author in the manner that the researcher personally e-mailed them.

Before beginning the first part of the research instrument, the respondents were given explicit instructions about the study’s goal and the need for them to provide truthful
responses to the questions that would be asked. In addition to this, they were assured that their responses would not be shared with anyone else since the researcher would be following all appropriate ethical concerns, especially regarding confidentiality, privacy, and anonymity.

The first part of the questionnaire deals with organizational commitment with indicators such as affective commitment, continuance commitment, and normative commitment.

The instrument adapted and modified was taken from the study of Allen & Meyer (1997). This part has ten items per indicator. Moreover, the five-ordinal degrees or Likert scale were employed for evaluating organizational commitment, each with its own set of means and descriptions. The five-point Likert scale was used for the research variables. Following Cronbach’s Alpha reliability test results, the questionnaire for organizational commitment has 0.824 effects which suggest an internal consistency of “Good” or low-stakes testing (Streiner, 2003).

As mentioned by Santos, Martins, and Brito (2007), to complete the Likert Scale, respondents had to choose either a box or a blank in answer to a variety of questions on an object, a stimulus, and an attitude. It was usual practice to immediately use the numbers that were received from rating scales as measures by doing calculations such as computing averages or, more broadly, any arithmetic operations.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Level of Organizational Commitment

Organizations are continuously looking for high-performing individuals who can assist them in achieving their objectives, producing specialized products and services, and gaining a competitive edge. This is because organizations need people who can help them achieve their goals. One of the vocations that are held in the highest regard across the globe is teaching. Most students will try to mirror their behavior after their teachers. Teachers are the central figures in every educational system, and many people consider them to be a nation’s most valuable resource.

Table 1: Level of Organizational Commitment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Descriptive Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Affective Commitment</td>
<td>0.519</td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuance Commitment</td>
<td>0.440</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normative Commitment</td>
<td>0.345</td>
<td>3.92</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>0.344</td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Shown in Table 1 is the level of Organizational Commitment of the public elementary school teachers in the Division of Davao del Sur. It revealed that it was manifested “Most of the time” with a mean score of 3.78 (SD=0.344) and described as “High”. As shown in the same table, all domains have “high” verbal descriptions such as normative commitment (x=3.92, SD=0.345), continuance commitment (x=3.90, SD=0.440), and affective commitment (x=3.53, SD=0.519).

Level of Willingness to Remain in the Profession

Shown in Table 2 is the level of Willingness to Remain in the Profession of the public elementary school teachers in the Division of Davao del Sur. It revealed that it was manifested “All the times” with an overall mean score of 4.52 (SD=0.429) and verbally described as “Very High”.

Table 2: Level of Willingness to Remain in the Profession

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Descriptive Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intrinsic</td>
<td>0.530</td>
<td>4.69</td>
<td>Very High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altruistic</td>
<td>0.516</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>Very High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived Professional Mastery</td>
<td>0.505</td>
<td>4.48</td>
<td>Very High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Environment</td>
<td>0.509</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td>Very High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>0.429</td>
<td>4.52</td>
<td>Very High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As shown in the same table, all domains have “Very High” verbal descriptions such as intrinsic motivation (x=4.69, SD=0.530), altruistic motivation (x=4.56, SD=0.516), perceived professional mastery (x=4.48, SD=0.505), and school environment (x=4.38, SD=0.509).

Level of Leadership Trust

Shown in Table 3 is the level of leadership trust of the public elementary school teachers in the Division of Davao del Sur. It revealed that it was manifested “Most of the time” as it has an overall mean score was 3.96 (SD=0.264) with a verbal description of “High”. All items under leadership trust have high verbal descriptions.

Table 3: Level of Leadership Trust

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Descriptive Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Commitment</td>
<td>0.530</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significance of the Relationship Between Organizational Commitment and Willingness to Remain in the Profession

Commitment to an organization manifested in a variety of positive outcomes such as employee job satisfaction, motivation, and performance has a decreasing effect on employment turnover hence promoting retention of employees in the organization he/she belongs to. Displayed in Table 4 were the results of the test of the relationship between organizational commitment and willingness to remain in the profession.
Table 3: Level of Leadership Trust

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Descriptive Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Believing that managers'/employees' needs and desires are very important to employees/managers.</td>
<td>0.352</td>
<td>4.07</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Being able to count on my employees/managers to help me if I have difficulties with my job</td>
<td>0.394</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Having employees/managers who would not knowingly do anything to hurt the organization.</td>
<td>0.423</td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My employees/managers are being open and up front with me.</td>
<td>0.423</td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thinking that the people in the organization succeed by stepping on other people. (R)</td>
<td>0.837</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employees/managers are always keeping the promises they make.</td>
<td>0.425</td>
<td>3.95</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Having employees/managers who really are looking out for what is important to the managers/employees.</td>
<td>0.401</td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employees/managers are having a lot of knowledge about the work that needs to be done.</td>
<td>0.337</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employees/managers are being known to be successful in the things they attempt to accomplish.</td>
<td>0.315</td>
<td>4.03</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If I make a mistake, my employees/managers are willing to “forgive and forget.”</td>
<td>0.442</td>
<td>3.95</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employees'/managers’ actions and behaviors are consistent.</td>
<td>0.559</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employees/managers are taking actions that are consistent with their words.</td>
<td>0.378</td>
<td>3.98</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It is best to share information with my employees/managers.</td>
<td>0.653</td>
<td>3.79</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feeling that there is a lot of warmth in the relationships between the managers and workers in this organization.</td>
<td>0.374</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowing that employees/managers would make personal sacrifices for our group.</td>
<td>0.369</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employees/managers are expressing their true feelings about important issues</td>
<td>0.359</td>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Overall</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.264</strong></td>
<td><strong>3.96</strong></td>
<td><strong>High</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Significance of the Relationship between the Organizational Commitment and Willingness to Remain in the Profession

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organizational Commitment</th>
<th>Willingness to Remain in the Profession</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intrinsic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Altruistic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Perceived</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Professional Mastery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>School Environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Overall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affective Commitment</td>
<td>-.005 (0.936)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-.047 (0.431)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-.028 (0.637)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.061 (0.310)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-.006 (0.923)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuance Commitment</td>
<td>.146* (0.015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.085 (0.157)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.103 (0.086)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.147* (0.014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.145* (0.015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normative Commitment</td>
<td>.259* (0.000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.242* (0.000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.250* (0.000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.279* (0.000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.309* (0.000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Overall</strong></td>
<td><em><em>.147</em> (0.014)</em>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>.094 (0.118)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>.113 (0.059)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em><em>.185</em> (0.002)</em>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em><em>.162</em> (0.007)</em>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significant at 0.05 significance level.

Based on the analysis, overall Organizational Commitment positively and significantly correlated with overall Willingness to Remain in the Profession (r=0.162, p<0.05).

Moreover, the domains of Organizational Commitment also significantly correlated with Willingness to Remain in the Profession: Continuance Commitment (r=0.145, p<0.05), and Normative Commitment (r=0.309, p<0.05).

Hence, the null hypothesis of no significant relationship is rejected. Consequently, organizational commitment and willingness to remain in the profession have a significant link.

Significance of the Relationship Between Organizational Commitment and Leadership Trust

Any organization’s success has been predominantly brought about by how leaders take their part in directing the employees to attain organizational goals and motivate them to develop a sense of commitment to the organization. Displayed in Table 5 were the results of the test of the relationship between organizational commitment and leadership trust.

Based on the analysis, overall Organizational Commitment positively and significantly correlated with overall Leadership Trust (r=0.573, p<0.05). Moreover, the
domains of Organizational Commitment also significantly correlated with Leadership Trust: Affective Commitment ($r=0.272, p<0.05$), Continuance Commitment ($r=0.488, p<0.05$), and Normative Commitment ($r=0.685, p<0.05$). Hence, the null hypothesis of no significant relationship is rejected and contended that organizational commitment and leadership trust have an association.

**Table 5: Significance of the Relationship between the Organizational Commitment and Leadership Trust**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organizational Commitment</th>
<th>Leadership Trust Overall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Affective Commitment</td>
<td>.272* (0.000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuance Commitment</td>
<td>.488* (0.000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normative Commitment</td>
<td>.685* (0.000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>.573* (0.000)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significant at 0.05 significance level.

Teachers who have established trust towards the school head or principal are most likely to develop a positive outlook in the context of their profession even positively affecting their interpersonal relationships which may lead to a desire to remain in their teaching career. Displayed in Table 6 were the results of the test on the relationship between leadership trust and willingness to remain in the profession.

Based on the analysis, overall Leadership Trust positively and significantly correlated with overall Willingness to Remain in the Profession ($r=0.386, p<0.05$). Moreover, the overall leadership trust also significantly correlated with all the domains of Willingness to Remain in the Profession: Intrinsic Motivation ($r=0.266, p<0.05$), Altruistic Motivation ($r=0.259, p<0.05$), Perceived Professional Mastery ($r=0.358, p<0.05$) and School Environment ($r=0.408, p<0.05$). As a result, the null hypothesis that there is no meaningful association between leadership trust and willingness to remain in the profession is rejected, and found a substantial link thereto.

**Table 6: Significance of the Relationship between the Leadership Trust and Willingness to Remain the Profession**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Leadership Trust</th>
<th>Willingness to Remain in the Profession</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intrinsic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Altruistic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Perceived Professional Mastery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>School Environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Overall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>.266* (0.000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.259* (0.000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.358* (0.000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.408* (0.000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.386* (0.000)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significant at 0.05 significance level.

Remain in the Profession ($r=0.386, p<0.05$). Moreover, the overall leadership trust also significantly correlated with all the domains of Willingness to Remain in the Profession: Intrinsic Motivation ($r=0.266, p<0.05$), Altruistic Motivation ($r=0.259, p<0.05$), Perceived Professional Mastery ($r=0.358, p<0.05$) and School Environment ($r=0.408, p<0.05$). As a result, the null hypothesis that there is no meaningful association between leadership trust and willingness to remain in the profession is rejected, and found a substantial link thereto.

**Significance of Mediation of Leadership Trust on the Relationship Between Organizational Commitment, and Willingness to Remain in the Profession**

Path analysis was used to demonstrate mediation and enhance the acquired result on the significant mediating effect of leadership trust (LT) on the relationship between organizational commitment (OC), and willingness to remain in the profession (WRP) of public elementary school teachers in the Division of Davao del Sur. Thus, multiple regression extends to path analysis. It facilitates the investigation of more complex models than regression. It can evaluate scenarios with several final dependent variables and “chains” of impact, where variable A affects variable B, which affects variable C (Streiner, 2005). Table 7, on the other hand, illustrates the mediating effect: a path analysis. The data obtained in this table were results after conducting the SPSS AMOS.

Moreover, the model presented in Figure 3, Organizational Commitment and Leadership Trust is the path a coefficient which has an unstandardized regression coefficient of 0.439, standardized regression coefficient of 0.573, computed standard error of 0.038 and a probability value less than 0.05. The effect size or the impact of Organizational Commitment on Leadership Trust is 44% which disavows or rejects completely the null hypothesis.

The path b coefficient is Leadership Trust and Willingness to Remain in the Profession has an unstandardized regression coefficient of 0.710, the standardized regression coefficient of 0.437, computed standard error of 0.109, and a p-value less than 0.05 which means that the relationship between Leadership Trust and Willingness to Remain in the Profession is significant. The effect size of Leadership Trust on Willingness to Remain in the Profession is 71%.

Lastly, the path c coefficient is Organizational Commitment and Willingness to Remain in the Profession has an unstandardized regression coefficient of -0.110, a standardized regression coefficient of - 0.088; the
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