

# AMERICAN JOURNAL OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY **RESEARCH AND INNOVATION (AJMRI)**

**ISSN: 2158-8155 (ONLINE)** 

**VOLUME 1 ISSUE 2 (2022)** 



**PUBLISHED BY: E-PALLI, DELAWARE, USA** 



The American Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Innovation (AJMRI) is a blind peer-reviewed journal, aims to publish the article(s) immediately after submission of the corrected version by the author. The journal publishes articles that include those containing substantially supported theories, innovative works, substantial experimental results, and/ or containing useful and constructive discussions or reviews standardized to regional or international acceptance. The AJMRI reviews papers within approximately one month of submission and publishes accepted articles on the internet immediately upon receiving the final versions.

Frequency: Three issues per year

Area of publication: Science, Engineering, Physical Sciences, Arts & Humanities, Engineering, Social Science, Language, and Education.

## Editorial Team

**Dr. A. K. M. Ahasanul Haque**, Professor, Faculty of Economics and Management Sciences (KENMS), International Islamic University Malaysia (IIUM), Malaysia

Dr. Steve Ryan, Professor, Department of English, Southern States University, USA

Dr. Maisa Al Mardini, Professor, Department of Accounting, Pilon School of Business, Sheridan College Institute of Technology and Advanced Learning, Ontario, Canada

Dr. Myint Thuzar, Professor, Yezin Agricultural University (YAU), Myanmar

Dr. Sejuti Mondal, Department of Agricultural Sciences, Texas State University, USA

Dr. Wael Al-Aghbari, Professor & President, International University of Technology Twintech, Yemen

**Dr. Noha Essam Khamis**, Associate Professor, Faculty of Applied Sciences and arts, German University in Cairo (GUC), Egypt

Dr. Parvez Anwar, Associate Professor, Civil Engineering, University Nottingham, Malaysia

**Dr. Domenic T. Sanchez,** Faculty, College of Education Department, Cebu Technological University –NEC City of Naga, Cebu, Philippines

Dr. Mohammed Ashikur Rahman, Department of Computer Science, University of Liberal Arts Bangladesh, Dhaka, Bangladesh

Dr. Sandra Milena Camargo Silva, Materials Engineering, Pedagogical and Technological University of Colombia, Colombia

**Dr. Marhanum Che Mohd Salleh,** Associate Professor, Faculty of Economics and Management Sciences (KENMS) International Islamic University Malaysia (IIUM), Malaysia

Dr. Nida Jafri, Consultant, Green Empowerment, Portland USA

Dr. Md. Sohel Rana, Associate Professor, Department of Mathematical and Physical Sciences, East-West University, Dhaka, Bangladesh

Dr. Hafizah Hammad Ahmad Khan, Faculty of Business & Management, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Kedah, Malaysia

Mrs. Avegale C. Acosta, Department of Psychology, School of Social Sciences, Ateneo de Manila University, Philippines

Dr. Md. Nasir Uddin, Institute of Bangladesh Studies(IBS), University of Rajshahi, Bangladesh

**Mrs. Safeena Beevi. S.S,** Associate Professor, JN Medical College, College of Nursing Aligarh Muslim University Uttar Pradesh, India

**Dr. Sun Xi,** Associate Professor, Department of Parasitology, Zhongshan Medical College, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China

Ar. Sajal Chowdhury, Department of Architecture, Chittagong University of Engineering & Technology (CUET) Bangladesh

Alvanh Alem G. Pido, Department of Physics, Mindanao State University - Main Campus, Philippines

Dr. Abhijit Das, Associate Professor, Deptartment of Anthropology, West Bengal State University, India

Dr. Mohammad Tofayal Ahmed, Department of Petroleum and Mining Engineering, Jashore University of Science and Technology, Bangladesh



Dr. T. Velmurugan, Assistant Professor, Department of Social Work, Jigjiga University, EthiopiaDr. Nikhil Chakma, Assistant Professor, Department of Forestry and Environmental Science, Rangamati Science

and Technology University, Bangladesh

Long Ngo, Department of Science and Technology, Vietnam National University, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam

**Dr. Rupesh Bramhadeo Kadam,** Assistant professor, Department of chemistry, Shrimant Babasaheb Deshmukh Mahavidyalaya Atpadi, Maharastra, India

B Suresh Lal, Professor, Department of Economics, Kakatiya University, India

## Contact:

American Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Innovation (AJMRI) E-Palli LLC Address: 2055 Limestone Rd Ste 200C, Zip Code: 19808, Wilmington, Delaware, USA Email: <u>ajmri@e-palli.com</u> Phone: +1 3024070506 URL: <u>https://journals.e-palli.com/home/index.php/ajmri</u>



American Journal of Multidisciplinary Dalli Research and Innovation (AJMRI) Volume 1 Issue 2, Year 2022 ISSN: 2158-8155 (Online) DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.54536/ajmri.v1i2.210</u> https://journals.e-palli.com/home/index.php/ajmri

## Trends of Breastfeeding Practice and Determinant Factors of Exclusive breastfeeding in Bangladesh: Evidence from the Six Nationally Representative Cross-Sectional Surveys

Md. Awal Kabir<sup>1\*</sup>, Md. Jahidul Islam<sup>1</sup>

Article Information

## ABSTRACT

**Received:** March 28, 2022 **Accepted:** April 18, 2022

Published: April 22, 2022

#### Keywords

Breastfeeding practices, Breastfeeding, Logistic regression, Bangladesh

Poor breastfeeding is found to be responsiable for child adverse health and development problems. The aims of this study are to examine the trend of World Health Organization's (WHOs) recommended breastfeeding practices and determinant factors of exclusive breastfeeding (EBP). Data were extracted from six nationally representative Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey (BDHSs). The WHO recommended indicators related to the breastfeeding practices were calculated. Multivariate logistic regression model was used to identify the factors associated with EBP with accounting complex survey design. Among the four indicators of breastfeeding practices, increasing trend was reported only for early initiation of breastfeeding among the surveys years. Multivariate logistic model explored mothers' age, occupation, place of delivery, number of antenatal visits were most important predictors of EBP in almost all of the survey year. Number of children ever born and economic condition was found to be associated with EBP only for the surveys conducted in 2004, 2007, and 2011. Poor breastfeeding practices adherence to WHO guidelines indicate the immediate attention of the policy makers to take policies and programs to enhance breastfeeding practices in Bangladesh. Higher aged maternal women, higher socio-economic status, and currently working mothers should be given priority in future policies and programs.

### **INTRODUCTION**

Breastfeeding is a unique way to provide ideal food for the babies in their first two years of life. It is crucial to improve the nutritional status and promoting child health by protecting various diseases (Tuan et al., 2014; Lutter, 2000). In addition, lower number of infant death (child age <1 month) and proper mental and motor development found to be associated with exclusive breastfeeding of child first six months of life (Black et al., 2008; Jones et al., 2003; Kramer & Kakuma, 2004; Oddy et al., 2003).

Because of nutritional and diseases promoting capability of exclusive breastfeeding, world community recommended breastfeeding as the globally recommended core package of nutrition intervention of child (Jones ety al., 2003; Kramer & Kakuma, 2004; Oddy et al., 2003; Bhutta et al., 2008). However, developing countries frequently reported lower practice of such recommendations that further have contributed to the increasing childhood mortality and morbidity in this region (Hajeebhoy et al., 2014). Previous studies identified, inappropriate breastfeeding worldwide have contributed around 804,000 under five death, representing 11.60% of total under five death (Black et al., 2003; Lim et al., 2013). In developing countries, around 10% to 15% under five deaths were found to be preventable by initiating optimum (90.00%) coverage of breastfeeding (Jones et al., 2003) However, previous study of Bangladesh identified around half (40.90%) of the total under five death associated with inappropriate breastfeeding practices (WHO, 2013).

The WHO fights to ensure standard breastfeeding practices worldwide by recommending several indicators. Such recommendations include four key components: early initiation of breastfeeding (within one hour of birth), exclusive breastfeeding (child receive only breast milk up to six month of age), and continued breastfeeding through one and two years (WHO, 2008). However, adherence to such global recommendations remains poor in Bangladesh (Jpshi et al., 2014; Hanif HM, 2013). More importantly, declined trend of EBP reported in the recent years (Hanif HM, 2013), though the reason of declining remain speculative (Tuan et al., 2014).

Previous studies in Bangladesh mainly examined the socio-demographic determinates of breastfeeding and its effect of child physical development (Mazumdar et al., 2012; Khan et al., 2013). However, previous studies were also limited to specific areas (urban or rural) and small sample size. In addition, none of previous studies examined all possible indicators of breastfeeding and its determinants. Therefore, to fill up these study gaps an attempt have taken in this study to assess the trend of breastfeeding practices and the determinants factors of EBP by using the nationally representative survey data.

## DATA AND METHODS

#### Ethical issue

Data in this study were obtained from MEASURE DHS Archive collected by Macro, Calverton, USA. ORC Macro Institutional Review Board and Bangladesh Medical Research Council reviewed and approved the data collection procedure. Inform consent was obtained from each participant prior to subject enrollment (NIPROT, 2013).

## Sources of data

This study used six nationally representative crosssectional Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey (BDHS) data. Descriptions regarding each of survey



are included in Appendix table A1. National Institute of Population Research and Training (NIPORT) were conducted each of the surveys monitored by the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare in Bangladesh. Technical support was provided by ICF International of Calverton, Maryland, USA and financial support was afforded by USAID. Each of the surveys were based on household data of adults by covering each administrative division and rural and urban area separately. Each

#### Outcome

Four different Indicators of breastfeeding were calculated for each survey. Finally, determinants factors of EBP were investigated in multivariate framework.

#### Covariates

Different individual-, household- and community- level characteristics were included as the potential covariates. Individual level characteristics were mothers' age ( $\leq$ 30 years, >30 years), mother's educational status (illiterate, primary, secondary, higher), husband educational status (illiterate, primary, secondary, higher), mother's occupation (not working, any form of formal working), husband occupation (agricultural worker, services and non-agricultural labor, business and others), place of delivery (at home, health care institutions), antenatal visit

(no visit,  $\leq 4$  visit, >4 visit) and number of children ever born ( $\leq 2$ , 3-4,  $\geq 4$ ). Wealth index (poorer, middle, richer) was considered as household-level characteristics. In addition, region and place of residence were considered as community-level characteristics.

## Statistical analysis

Each indicator of breastfeeding practices was determined by using descriptive statistics with survey weight. Multivariate logistic regression models were used to evaluate the associated factors of EBP All analyses were drawn by Stata software version 13.1/MP (Stata Corp, College Station, Texas, USA).

## RESULTS

Trend of four different indicators of breastfeeding practices were computed over the survey years presented in Table 1 and Figure 1. Only early initiation of breastfeeding showed the increasing trend from 9% in 1996-1997 to 47.8% in 2011; around 277% yearly increased. Around 10% (56.44% to 67.28%) increased of exclusive breastfeeding were reported during the first two surveys (1993-1994 and 1996-1997). However, considerably decreased trend of exclusive breastfeeding reported in the remaining survey's year reaching 56% in 2011. This study noticed around 95% and 85% continued

Table 1: Trend of the indicators related to breastfeeding from 1993-2011

Indicator	BDHS 1993-94	BDHS 1996-97	BDHS 1999- 2000	BDHS 2004	BDHS 2007	BDHS 2011
Early initiation of breastfeeding	8.7%	13.70%	16.93%	23.62%	41.89%	47.81%
Exclusive breastfeeding under 6 months	56.44%	67.28%	65.78%	62.82%	60.00%	56.01%
Continued breastfeeding at 1 year	95.5%	97.20%	95.10%	94.95%	94.95%	94.95%
Continued breastfeeding at 2 year	86.99%	89.9%	86.92%	85.22%	85.10%	85.20%



Figure 1: Trend of the determinants of breastfeeding

Table 2: Association between	exclusive	breastfeeding a	and multilevel factors
------------------------------	-----------	-----------------	------------------------

Variable (95% CT)   Adjusted OR (95% CT)   Adjusted OR (95% CT)   Oppose OP (95% CT)   Oppo		Model I	Model II	Model III	Model IV	Model V	Model VI
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Variable	Adjusted OR	Adjusted OR	Adjusted OR	Adjusted OR	Adjusted OR	Adjusted OR
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		(95% CI)	(95% CI)	(95% CI)	(95% CI)	(95% CI)	(95% CI)
$ \begin{array}{c} \mboder age (m) \\ \mbo$		1	1	1	1	1	1
$ \begin{array}{c} \operatorname{cab} (3, 9) & 2-30 \\ \operatorname{cab} (3, 9) $	Mother age (in $(200) > 20$	0.902 (0.671-	0.525 (0.434-	0.525 (0.434-	0.411 (0.348-	0.459 (0.388-	0.453 (0.395-
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$ears) \leq 30 \otimes >30$	1.212)	0.636)***	0.637)***	0.486)***	0.543)***	0.519)***
$ \begin{array}{c} \text{Minders} \\ \text{detentional states} \\ \text{detentional states} \\ 0.945)** \\ \text{detentional states} \\ 0.945)** \\ \text{detentional states} \\ 0.945)** \\ \text{detentional states} \\ 0.972 (0.262 \\ 0.572 (0.262 \\ 0.264 \\ 0.572 (0.262 \\ 0.269 \\ 1.210 \\ 1.210 \\ 1.210 \\ 1.220 \\ 1.220 \\ 1.220 \\ 1.220 \\ 1.210 \\ 1.210 \\ 1.210 \\ 1.210 \\ 1.220 \\ 1.2$	Mathara	1	1	1	1	1	1
$ \begin{array}{c} \mbox{cacutality} \ {array}{cacutality} \ {array}{cacutali$	Mother's	0.752 (0.598-	0.856 (0.724-	0.855 (0.724-	1.047 (0.899-	1.131 (0.958-	0.861 (0.741-
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Illiterate ®	0.945)**	1.010)	1.010)	`1.219)	1.334)	0.999)
$ \begin{array}{c} \mbox{Link} y \\ Secondary 2 \\ \mbox{Higher} \\ \mbox{0} 572 (0.269. 1.262 (0.755 \\ 1.262 (0.755 \\ 1.262 (0.756 \\ 1.923) (0.784 \\ 1.210) \\ \mbox{2.109} \\ 1.923) (0.784 \\ 1.923) (0.784 \\ 1.923) \\ \mbox{2.225}^{***} \\ \mbox{1.411} \\ \mbox{1.411} \\ \mbox{1.411} \\ \mbox{2.109} \\ \mbox{1.20} \\ \mbox{2.109} \\ \mbox{2.109} \\ \mbox{2.109} \\ \mbox{2.109} \\ \mbox{2.109} \\ \mbox{2.109} \\ \mbox{2.225}^{***} \\ \mbox{1.411} \\ \mbox{1.411} \\ \mbox{1.411} \\ \mbox{2.225}^{***} \\ \mbox{1.411} \\ \mbox{1.441} \\ \mbox{1.441} \\ \mbox{0.753 (0.612 \\ 0.753 (0.612 \\ 0.755 (0.655 \\ 1.123) \\ \mbox{1.123} \\ \mbox{1.133 (0.499 \\ 1.133 (0.499 \\ 1.133 (0.499 \\ 1.133 (0.499 \\ 1.133 (0.499 \\ 1.133 (0.499 \\ 1.133 (0.499 \\ 1.148) \\ \mbox{1.141} \\ \mbox{1.142} \\ \mbox{1.142} \\ \mbox{1.142} \\ \mbox{1.142} \\ \mbox{1.141} \\ \mbox{1.141} \\ \mbox{1.141} \\ \mbox{1.141} \\ \mbox{1.141} \\ \mbox{1.141} \\ \mbox{1.142} \\ \mbox{1.142} \\ \mbox{1.142} \\ \mbox{1.142} \\ \mbox{1.142} \\ \mbox{1.141} \\ \mbox{1.141} \\ \mbox{1.141} \\ \mbox{1.141} \\ \mbox{1.141} \\ \mbox{1.141} \\ \mbox{1.142} $	Primary 1	0.684(0.482-	0.897 (0.698-	0.897 (0.698-	1.005 (0.834-	1.314 (1.078-	0.892 (0.755-
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Secondary 2	0.970)**	1.155)	1.155)	1.211)	1.601)	1.053)
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Higher	0.572 (0.269-	1.262 (0.755-	1.262 (0.756-	1.375 (0.983-	1.606 (1.159-	1.086 (0.836-
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		1.210)	2.109)	2.109)	1.923)	2.225)***	1.411)
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Fathe <b>r's</b>	1	1	1	1	1	1
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	educational level	1.153 (0.900-	0.930 (0.784-	0.930 (0.784-	0.897 (0.770-	0.928 (0.789-	1.081 (0.945-
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Illiterate ®	1.473)	1.104)	1.104)	1.045)	1.090)	1.237)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Primary 1	1.095(0.831-1.444)	0.753 (0.612-	0.753 (0.612-	0.909 (0.765-	0.904 (0.751-	1.056 (0.909-
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Secondary 2	1.280 (0.800-	/0.925)**	0.925)**	1.079)	1.087)	1.226)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Higher	2.048)	0.796 (0.565-	0.796 (0.565-	0.806(0.620-1.048)	0.653 (0.500-	0.925 (0.750-
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			1.123)	1.123)		(0.853)**	1.141)
Urban $@$ 0.963 (0.717- 1.035 (0.839- 1.035 (0.839- 1.133 (1.00- 1.033 (0.899- 1.103 (0.994- Raral 1.292) 1.278) 1.278) 1.278) 1.278 1.297** 1.188 1.238) Wealth index Poorer@ NA NA NA NA NA NA 1.032 0.909)*** Place of delivery Haeth care 0.586 (0.556- 2.093 (1.450- 2.094 (1.450- 2.416 (1.944- 2.636 (2.167- 2.544 (2.240- 0.959)*** 3.023)*** 3.023)*** 3.002) 3.206)*** 2.889)*** Antenatal visit (n 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Place of residence	e1	1	1	1	1	1
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Urban ®	0.963 (0.717-	1.035 (0.839-	1.035 (0.839-	1.133 (1.00-	1.033 (0.899-	1.103 (0.984-
Wealth index Poorer® Middle RicherNA <t< td=""><td>Rural</td><td>1.292)</td><td>1.278)</td><td>1.278)</td><td>1.297)**</td><td>1.188)</td><td>1.238)</td></t<>	Rural	1.292)	1.278)	1.278)	1.297)**	1.188)	1.238)
Wealth index0.870 (0.733.0.794 (0.692- 0.090)***Poorer®NANANANANA0.321Middle Richer111.032)0.909)***Place of delivery Health care institutions11111Home ®0.586 (0.356- 0.959)**2.093 (1.450- 3.023)***2.416 (1.944- 3.023)***2.636 (2.167- 3.022)2.544 (2.240- 2.544 (2.240- 3.002)Antenatal visit (n1111111Institutions1.285 (1.015-0.907 (0.767- 0.907 (0.767-0.907 (0.767- 0.907 (0.767-1.124 (0.980- 0.226 (0.190-0.998 (0.864- 0.245 (0.206- 0.245 (0.206- 0.370 (0.322- 0.424 )***No visit $0.959$ (0.578-0.099 (0.079- 0.099 (0.079-0.226 (0.190- 0.226 (0.190- 0.226 (0.190- 0.245 (0.206- 0.370 (0.322- 0.424 )***1Children ever $1$ $0.903$ (0.763-1111born $1$ $1.299$ 1.067)1.066)1.3331.207)1.320)** $24 \circledast$ $3.4$ 1.001 (0.800-1.235) $0.946 (0.689-$ $0.946 (0.689-$ $0.946 (0.689-$ $1.017 (0.808-$ 1.584 (1.275- $1.263 (0.999-$ $1.372 (1.115-$ $1.299)1.071 (0.808-1.051)1.6601.093Father'soccupationagriculturalworker \circledast0.927 (0.772-0.927 (0.771-0.992 (0.771-0.990 (0.839-0.857 (0.716-0.946 (0.792-1.054)1111.115)1.115)1.169)1.025)1.054Business and ono-agriculturall$						1	1
$\begin{array}{l c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Wealth index					0.870 (0.733-	0.794 (0.692-
Middle Richer 0.731 (0.617- 0.867)*** 0.793 (0.617- 0.987)*** 0.793 (0.617- 0.993)*** 0.793 (0.617- 0.793)*** 0.793 (0.617- 0.793)*** 0.793 (0.617- 0.793)*** 0.793 (0.617- 0.793)*** 0.793 (0.71- 0.204 (0.1944- 0.995) (0.518- 0.204)*** 0.794 (0.17- 0.206)** 0.793 (0.71- 0.997 (0.77- 0.997 (0.77- 0.997 (0.77- 0.997 (0.77- 0.990 (0.77- 0.226 (0.190- 0.226 (0.190- 0.225 (0.206- 0.370 (0.322- 0.424)*** 0.494 (0.72- 0.226 (0.190- 0.225 (0.206- 0.370 (0.322- 0.226 (0.190- 0.225 (0.190- 0.225 (0.206- 0.370 (0.322- 0.226 (0.190- 0.225 (0.163- 0.123) 1.104 (1.027- 0.220 (0.772- 0.927 (0.771- 0.990 (0.839- 0.857 (0.716- 0.915 (0.794- 0.915 (0.7	Poorer®	NA	NA	NA	NA	1.032)	0.909)***
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Middle Richer					0.731 (0.617-	0.691 (0.602-
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$						0.867)***	0.793)***
$ \begin{array}{l c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Place of delivery	1	1	1	1	1	1
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Home ®	0.586 (0.356-	2.093 (1.450-	2.094 (1.450-	2.416 (1.944-	2.636 (2.167-	2.544 (2.240-
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Health care	0.959)**	3.023)***	3.023)***	3.002)	3.206)***	2.889)***
$ \begin{array}{l c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	institutions	,	,	,	,		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Antenatal visit (in	1	1	1	1	1	1
No visit $\leq 4 \ @ >4$ 1.620/** 0.959 (0.578- 1.590)1.070/0 0.999 (0.079- 0.099 (0.079- 0.124)***1.290/0 0.226 (0.190- 0.226 (0.190- 0.226 (0.190- 0.225 (0.206- 0.292)***1.236/0 0.370 (0.322- 0.424)***Children ever born $\leq 2 \ @$ 11111born $\leq 2 \ @$ 1.001 (0.800-1.253) 0.9466 (0.689- 1.299)0.903 (0.763- 1.067)0.903 (0.763- 1.066)1.149 (0.990- 1.333)1.035 (0.887- 1.035 (0.887- 1.164 (1.027- 1.320)**241.001 (0.800-1.253) 0.9466 (0.689- 1.299)1.067)1.066)1.333)1.207)1.320 (0.992- 1.372 (1.115-241.2991.017 (0.808- 1.017 (0.808-1.584 (1.275- 1.597)**1.668 (0.999- 1.597)**1.372 (1.115- 1.263 (0.999- 1.372 (1.115-241.017 (0.808- 1.017 (0.808-1.017 (0.808- 1.584 (1.275)1.263 (0.999- 1.597)**1.372 (1.115- 1.688)**241.017 (0.808- 1.017 (0.808-1.017 (0.808- 1.051)1.584 (1.275- 1.969)***1.697 (0.894- 0.987 (0.846-27001.1120.893 (0.758- 0.839 (0.763-0.943 (0.814- 0.943 (0.814- 0.987 (0.846-0.894 (0.792- 0.990 (0.839- 0.857 (0.716-0.915 (0.794- 0.915 (0.794- 0.915 (0.794- 0.954)Services and non- agricultural labor business and others0.921 (0.714- 0.926 (0.692- 0.926 (0.691- 0.756 (0.653- 0.757 (0.653- 0.762 (0.668- 0.632 (0.546- 0.730)***1Not working form of transition1111Not working form of0.941 (0	times)	1.285 (1.015-	0.907 (0.767-	0.907 (0.767-	1.124 (0.980-	0.998 (0.864-	1.099 (0.976-
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	No visit	1.626)**	1.070)	1.070)	1.290)	1.155)	1.236)
1.590 $0.125$ )*** $0.124$ )*** $0.226$ )*** $0.292$ )*** $0.424$ )***Children ever born111111born $1.001(0.800-1.253)$ $0.9466(0.689-$ $1.299$ ) $0.903(0.763-$ $1.067$ ) $1.049(0.990-$ $1.066)$ $1.035(0.887-$ $1.164(1.027-$ $1.207)$ $1.164(1.027-$ $1.320)**240.9466(0.689-1.299)1.017(0.808-1.279)1.584(1.275-1.263(0.999-1.572(1.115 241.017(0.808-1.279)1.584(1.275-1.263(0.999-1.572(1.115-Father'soccupationagriculturalworker @1111.1120.893(0.758-1.051)0.943(0.814-1.066)0.987(0.846-0.987(0.846-0.984(0.792-1.009)Services and non-agricultural laborBusiness andothers0.927(0.772-0.927(0.772-0.927(0.771-0.990(0.839-0.857(0.716-0.915(0.794-1.054)Mother'soccupation11111Not working@0.941(0.714-0.796(0.692-0.913)**0.877(0.553-0.757(0.653-0.762(0.668-0.632(0.546-0.632(0.546-0.730)***Any form offormal work1.2400.913**0.917)**0.877**0.877**0.869**$	≤4 ® >4	0.959 (0.578-	0.099 (0.079-	0.099 (0.079-	0.226 (0.190-	0.245 (0.206-	0.3/0 (0.322-
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		1.590)	0.125)***	0.124)***	0.268)***	0.292)***	0.424)***
born $\leq 2$ (a) 1.001(0.800-1.253) 3-4 1.299 1.0017 (0.808-1.279) 1.066 1.333 1.207 1.320)** Father's occupation Agricultural work $= 1.017$ (0.808-1.017 (0.808-1.584 (1.275-1.263 (0.999-1.372 (1.115-1.279) 1.279) 1.969)*** 1.597)** 1.688)** Father's occupation Agricultural $= 1.005$ 1.279 1.969)*** 1.597)** 1.688)** Father's occupation Agricultural $= 1.005$ 1.017 (0.808-1.279) 1.969)*** 1.597)** 1.688)** Father's occupation $= 1.005$ 1.017 (0.808-1.279) 1.969)*** 1.597)** 1.688)** Father's occupation $= 1.005$ 1.279 1.279 1.969)*** 1.597)** 1.688)** Father's occupation $= 0.828$ (0.710-1.112) 1.051) 1.066) 1.093) 1.152) 1.009) Services and non-agricultural labor $= 0.828$ (0.650-1.051) 1.066) 1.093) 1.152) 1.009) Services and non-agricultural labor $= 0.828$ (0.650-1.051) 1.066) 1.0927 (0.771-1.0.990 (0.839-1.0.857 (0.716-1.0.915 (0.794-1.115)) 1.115) 1.169) 1.025) 1.054) others $= 0.927$ (0.772-1.0.927 (0.771-1.0.990 (0.839-1.0.857 (0.716-1.0.915 (0.794-1.115)) 1.054) 1.054) others $= 0.941$ (0.714-1.0.796 (0.692-1.0.796 (0.691-1.0.757 (0.653-1.0.762 (0.668-1.0.632 (0.546-1.0.917))** 0.877)*** 0.869)*** 0.869)*** 0.730)***	Children ever	1	1	1	1	1	1
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	born	1.001(0.800-1.253)	0.903 (0.763-	0.903 (0.763-	1.149 (0.990-	1.035 (0.887-	1.164 (1.02/-
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\leq 2$ K	0.9466(0.689-	1.067)	1.066)	1.333)	1.207)	1.320)**
$\begin{array}{c cccc} Father's & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	5-4 >4	1.299)	1.017 (0.808-	1.017 (0.808-	1.384 (1.275-	1.203 (0.999-	1.3/2 (1.115-
$\begin{array}{cccc} \text{Patters} & & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \text{occupation} & & 1 & 0.889 \ (0.710 - \\ 1.112 & 0.893 \ (0.758 - \\ 1.051 & 1.066 & 1.093 & 0.814 - \\ 1.093 & 1.152 & 1.009 \\ \text{Services and non-} \\ \text{agricultural labor} & & 0.828 \ (0.650 - \\ 1.054 & 1.051 & 0.927 \ (0.772 - \\ 1.054 & 0.927 \ (0.772 - \\ 1.115 & 1.115 & 1.169 & 1.025 \\ \text{others} & & & & & & & \\ \hline \\ \text{others} & & & & & & & \\ \hline \\ \text{Mother's} & & & & & & & & \\ \text{occupation} & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \text{Not working} & 0.941 \ (0.714 - & 0.796 \ (0.692 - & 0.796 \ (0.691 - & 0.757 \ (0.653 - & 0.762 \ (0.668 - & 0.632 \ (0.546 - \\ Any \ form \ of & 1.240 & 0.913 \ )^{**} & 0.917 \ )^{**} & 0.877 \ )^{***} & 0.869 \ )^{***} & 0.730 \ )^{***} \end{array}$	<u> </u>		1.279)	1.2/9)	1.909)***	1.397)**	1.000)**
$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0.624pation & 0.889 \ (0.710 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 &$	Fathers	1	1	1	1	1	1
Agricultural worker $(!!)$ 1.112) $0.393 (0.735^{-1})$ $0.393 (0.705^{-1})$ $0.943 (0.814^{-1})$ $0.967 (0.345^{-1})$ $0.894 (0.792^{-1})$ worker $(!!)$ 1.051)1.066)1.093)1.152)1.009)Services and non- agricultural labor Business and others $0.927 (0.772^{-1})$ $0.927 (0.771^{-1})$ $0.990 (0.839^{-1})$ $0.857 (0.716^{-1})$ $0.915 (0.794^{-1})$ Business and others $0.927 (0.772^{-1})$ $0.927 (0.771^{-1})$ $0.990 (0.839^{-1})$ $0.857 (0.716^{-1})$ $0.915 (0.794^{-1})$ Mother's occupation $1$ $1$ $1$ $1$ $1$ $1$ $1$ Not working $(!!)$ $0.941 (0.714^{-1})$ $0.796 (0.692^{-1})$ $0.757 (0.653^{-1})$ $0.762 (0.668^{-1})$ $0.632 (0.546^{-1})$ Any form of formal work $1.240$ ) $0.913$ )** $0.917$ )** $0.877$ )*** $0.869$ )*** $0.730$ )***	Agricultural	0.889 (0.710-	1	1	1	1	1
Services and non- agricultural labor Business and other's occupation $0.828 (0.650-$ $1.054)$ $0.927 (0.772-$ $1.115)$ $0.927 (0.771-$ $1.115)$ $0.990 (0.839-$ $1.169)$ $0.857 (0.716-$ $1.025)$ $0.915 (0.794-$ $1.054)$ Mother's occupation111111Not working0.941 (0.714- $0.913)^{**}$ 0.796 (0.691- $0.917)^{**}$ 0.757 (0.653- $0.877)^{***}$ 0.669)^{***}0.632 (0.546- $0.730)^{***}$	Ngricultural worker ®	1.112)	1.051)	1.066)	1.003	1 152)	1.009)
0.828 (0.650- agricultural labor Business and others 0.927 (0.772- 1.054) 0.927 (0.771- 1.115) 0.990 (0.839- 1.115) 0.857 (0.716- 1.025) 0.915 (0.794- 1.054)   others 0 0.000 (0.691- 0.757 (0.653- 0.762 (0.668- 0.730)*** 0.915 (0.794- 0.915 (0.794- 0.917)**	Services and non-		1.051)	1.000)	1.075)	1.1 <i>52)</i>	1.007)
Business and 1.054) 0.027 (0.712 0.027 (0.771 0.057 (0.762 0.013 (0.764   Business and 1.115) 1.115) 1.169) 1.025) 1.054)   others 0 0 0 0 0 0 0   Mother's 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1   Not working® 0.941 (0.714- 0.796 (0.692- 0.796 (0.691- 0.757 (0.653- 0.762 (0.668- 0.632 (0.546-   Any form of 1.240) 0.913)** 0.917)** 0.877)*** 0.869)*** 0.730)***	agricultural labor	0.828 (0.650-	0.927 (0.772-	0.927 (0.771-	0.990 (0.839-	0.857 (0.716-	0.915 (0 794-
others Introly Introly Introly Introly Introly Introly   others Mother's 0 0 1 1 1 1   Not working® 0.941 (0.714- 0.796 (0.692- 0.796 (0.691- 0.757 (0.653- 0.762 (0.668- 0.632 (0.546-   Any form of 1.240) 0.913)** 0.917)** 0.877)*** 0.869)*** 0.730)***	Business and	1.054)	1.115)	1.115)	1.169)	1.025)	1.054)
Mother's   I<	others						
Instant 3   occupation 1 1 1 1 1   Not working® 0.941 (0.714- 0.796 (0.692- 0.796 (0.691- 0.757 (0.653- 0.762 (0.668- 0.632 (0.546-   Any form of 1.240) 0.913)** 0.917)** 0.877)*** 0.869)*** 0.730)***	Mother's						
Not working®   0.941 (0.714-   0.796 (0.692-   0.796 (0.691-   0.757 (0.653-   0.762 (0.668-   0.632 (0.546-     Any form of   1.240)   0.913)**   0.917)**   0.877)***   0.869)***   0.730)***	occupation	1	1	1	1	1	1
Any form of   1.240)   0.913)**   0.917)**   0.877)***   0.869)***   0.730)***     formal work	Not working®	0.941 (0.714-	0.796 (0.692-	0.796 (0.691-	0.757 (0.653-	0.762 (0.668-	0.632 (0.546-
formal work	Any form of	1.240)	0.913)**	0.917)**	0.877)***	0.869)***	0.730)***
	formal work	,	,	,	,	/	/

Note: 1 Primary completed is defined as completing grade 5, 2 Secondary completed is defined as completing grade 10 \*\* 5% level of significance, \*\*\*1% level of significance'®), reference category'; 'CI, confidence interval

Page 3



breastfeeding up to 1 years and 2 years respectively with fluctuated trend in the recent years.

We performed six different multivariate logistic regression models with accounting complex survey design presented in Table 2. Maternal age, education, place of delivery, number of antenatal visit and mother's occupation found as a significant predictor of EBP in all survey years. In addition, wealth index (BDHS, 2007; BDHS, 2011), respondents place of residence (BDHS, 2004) and the number of children ever born (BDHS, 2004; BDHS, 2007; BDHS, 2011) were reported significant predictors in some surveys.

We found significant protective role of higher maternal age on EBP in all surveys. It was found that mothers aged 30 years and more were 47.50% (OR, 0.525; 95%CI, 0.434-0.636) less likely to be exclusive breastfeeding in BDHS, 1996-97 & 1999-2000. However, this risk was 54% (OR, 0.459; 0.388-0.543) lower in BDHS 2011. Around 1.61 (OR=1.606, 95% 1.15-2.22) times of higher odds of EBP reported among higher educated mothers. This finding is consistent for all others surveys though not statistically significant. Rural mothers reported 1.133 times (OR, 1.133; 95% CI, 1.00 -1.297) more likely to EBP in BDHS, 2004; similar direction with insignificant findings of others surveys.

Socio-economic status of the women measured by wealth index also found as important predictors of EBP having improved socio-economic status with lower EBP. Around 27% (OR, 0.731; 95% CI, 0.617-0.867) and 30% (OR, 0.691; 95% CI, 0.602-0.793) lower risk of EBP were reported respectively for the survey's year 2007 and 2011. Place of delivery was also found to be significant predictors of EBP in all surveys Delivery with any form of health care institute found to be responsible factors of around 2.64 (OR, 95% CI, 2.167-3.206) times higher odds of EBP for the survey 2011. Result were similar directed in others surveys except survey conducted in 1993-1994 where 41.40% (OR, 0.586, 95% CI, 0.356-0.959) times less EBP in the same category. Interestingly, we noticed protective role of WHO recommended at least four antenatal visits on EBP. This risk was 63% (OR, 0.370, 95% CI, 0.322-0.424) lower in 2011 surveys. In addition, mothers' larger parities were found to be significant promoting predictors of EBP. We found around 1.37 times (OR, 1.372, 95% CI, 1.115-1.688) higher risk of EBP in last survey among mothers with 4 and more children during whole reproductive life. Results were similar directed for others survey. Working mothers reported around 37% (OR, 0.632, 95% CI, 0.546-0.730) lower risk of EBP in 2011 survey. Around 25-29% lower risk of EBP reported in case of others surveys among the working mothers group.

least four antenatal visit on EBP. This risk were 63% (OR, 0.370, 95% CI, 0.322-0.424) lower in 2011 surveys. In addition, motherslarger parities were found to be significant promoting predictors of EBP. We found around 1.37 times (OR, 1.372, 95% CI, 1.115-1.688) higher risk of EBP in last survey among mothers with 4

and more children during whole reproductive life. Results were similar directed for others survey. Working mothers reported around 37% (OR, 0.632, 95% CI, 0.546-0.730) lower risk of EBP in 2011 survey. Around 25-29% lower risk of EBP reported in case of others surveys among the working mothers group.

around 1.37 times (OR, 1.372, 95% CI, 1.115-1.688) higher risk of EBP in last survey among mothers with 4 and more children during whole reproductive life. Results were similar directed for others survey. Working mothers reported around 37% (OR, 0.632, 95% CI, 0.546-0.730) lower risk of EBP in 2011 survey. Around 25-29% lower risk of EBP reported in case of others surveys among the working mothers group.

#### DISCUSSION

In this, the first nationally representative study in Bangladesh that address trend of four different indicator of breastfeeding practices and changes of determinant factors of EBP. This study found increasing trend of early initiation of breastfeeding and declined trend of exclusive breastfeeding. However, on average similar pattern were reported in case of continued breastfeeding up to 1 and 2 years over the survey years. Multivariate logistic regression models identified maternal age, education, place of delivery, number of antenatal visit and mother's occupation as a significant predictor of exclusive breastfeeding over the survey's years.

As a first idle food for the baby, early initiation of breastfeeding is the most decisive indicators of breastfeeding practice which is found to be positively increased in Bangladesh over the surveys years. It contributes to prevent child morbidity and mortality by supplying protective pathogenesis and favor to the newborn's colonization by initiating early skin to skin contact that additionally favor to regulation of body temperature, maintain the blood glucose and cardiorespiratory stability (Mullany et al., 2008; Esteves et al., 2014; Moore et al., 2012). Our study findings was consistent with previous study conducted in Bangladesh (Hanif HM, 2013). Importantly, our study indicate Bangladesh (47.8%) were in the better position compared to the two others neighboring countries India, (36.4%) (Patel A, 2013) and Pakistan (8.5%) (Hanif HM, 2011). However, a comparatively lower prevalence was reported for many others developing countries, including Sri Lanka (83.5%) (Senarath et al., 2012) and Ethiopia (93.8%) (Horii et al., 2011). The proportion continued breastfeeding up to 1 and 2 years had showed constant trend for the last three surveys by supporting other nationally representative study conducted in Bangladesh (Hanif HM, 2013). This percentage was larger than Pakistan (78.2%) (Hanif HM, 2011) and the United States (21%) (CDC, 2014).

The prevalence of exclusive breastfeeding under six month displays the unsatisfactory development until the year 2000 (56.44%-65.78%). Thereafter this proportion is gradually decreasing and it is around 56.00% in the last survey conducted in 2011. However, the percentage is



consistently higher than India (46.40%), Nepal (53.10%) (Dibley et al., 2010) and Uganda (50.00%) (Bbaale E, 2014). However our study findings was contradictory with the two others previous studies conducted in Bangladesh reported around 42.5% (Dibley MJ et al., 2010) and 64.10% (Hanif HM, 2013) exclusive breastfeedings.

We found maternal advance age associated with lower risk of EBP. Findings were consistent with others study conducted in Sri Lanka (Dibley et al., 2010), Ireland (Tarrant et al., 2011), Norway (Kristiansen et al., 2010) and Uganda (Bbaale et al., 2011). This may associated with the more working pressure in family and outside in the advanced ages (Bbaale E, 2014). Higher educational status of mothers was identified as another protective factors of EBP. Previous studies conducted in different developing countries across the world noticed similar tendency among higher educated mothers (Dibley et al., 2010; Bbaale 2014; Alemayehu T et al, 2009; Victor R et al., 2013; Onah et al., 2014; Tan KL, 2011). Associated working opportunity and more standard life style on which EBF practices are lower may associated with such findings (Bbaale E, 2014). Results were also consistent incase of not working versus any form of formal working mothers. Our finding was in similar direction in many others study (Tan KL, 2011, Haroun et al., 2008; Chudasama et al., 2009). Mothers without any form of formal work create chance to breastfeed their children in longer episodes which are seems difficult for working mothers. However, in the recent period government should come up with a new labor law that allows the mother of young children in longer leave (6 months) and an interval in working period to breastfeed their child (Hasasan et al., 2015). Our study findings also call for the policy arguments to initiate breastfeeding friendly work environment.

Father education and the place of residence were also found as significant predictors of EBP in some earlier surveys. This may due to higher family income associated with fathers advance education and the awareness about the importance's of EBP on child health (Victor R et al., 2013; Onah S, 2014). Similar factor may also responsible for higher EBP among urban mothers consistent with many others previous studies (Bbaale E, 2014; Pandey S et al., 2010).

We found who gave their birth in the health care institutions had breastfeed their child in longer duration. Our findings is supported by many others previous studies in developing countries (Patel A et al., 2013; Bbaale E, 2014; Victor et al., 2013). Availability of the quality of information in formal health care settings and periodical monitoring by health care institutions may be responsible for such findings (Bbaale E, 2014).

More importantly we found higher number of antenatal care visit were negatively associated with EBP by neglecting traditional expectations that increase number of antenatal visit associated with increase health awareness where EBP is important. However, our study findings was directly contradictory to others study conducted in India and Tanzania (Patel et al., 2013; Victor et al., 2013). Reasons behind such contradictory findings may concentrate on the pattern of utilization of antenatal care services in Bangladesh. Normally, the higher educated mothers with improved socio-economic status received higher number of antenatal care services which were responsible factors of lower EBP identified in earlier studies (Dibley et al., 2010; Bbaale E, 2014). Longer duration of EBP was also found to be associated with larger parity. We cannot able justified our findings due to the lack of literature. In this study we also found short duration of EBP among the middle and richer socio-economic background mothers. Our findings is supported by many others previous findings in developing countries (Tang L et al., 2013; Wang W et al., 2014). This may associated with increased working pressure and modern feeding practices that being decreased the EBP among the mother in high socio-economic status.

#### Strength and limitations

The greatest strength of this study was to use six different nationally representative surveys data. All the survey conducted with high response rate (96.40%), appropriate statistical adjustments for the survey design and modeling for the confounding effects. However, the primary sources of limitation was he recall bias. Data were collected respectively from the mothers with last born the past two years (BDHS, 2011), three years (BDHS, 1993-94) and five years (BDHS, 1996-97, BDHS, 1999-2000, BDHS, 2004, BDHS 2007). Hence sometimes mothers might not have been in position to recall correctly all the events that took place from the time of breastfeeding initiation to termination.

## CONCLUSION

Increasing rate of early initiation of breastfeeding reported in this study while exclusive breastfeeding at one and two year showed the constant rate in the last three surveys. The factors associated with the exclusive breastfeeding were maternal age, maternal education, place of delivery, number of antenatal visit, children ever born and maternal occupation. Wealth index, place of residence and father's educational level also identified the significant predictors of exclusive breastfeeding in some surveys. Policies and programs are important from the country level to enhance EBP in Bangladesh.

#### **Ethical Issues**

Ethical issues (including plagiarism, informed consent, misconduct, data fabrication and/or falsification, double publication and/or submission, redundancy, etc.) have been completely observed by the authors.

#### Availability of the data

Data of this study derived from MEASURE DHS archive. **Funding** 

#### There is no funding source for this study.

#### Acknowledgement

I obtained the data used in this study from MEASURE DHS Archive. The authors thank MEASURE DHS



for permission to use data. The authors gratefully acknowledge the Department of Social Work, Pabna University of Science and Technology, Bangladesh where this study was conducted.

Conflicting of interest: The authors declare that there is no conflicting of interest.

Abbreviations: EBP: Exclusive Breastfeeding Practices, DHS: Demographic and Health Survey, WHO: World Health Organization (WHO), BDHS: Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey, NIPROT: National Institute of Population Research and Training (NIPORT)

## Appendix A1: summary of the surveys

Title of survey	Sample size (no. of households)	Sampling method
Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey, 1993-94[17]	9681	Two-stage stratified sample
Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey, 1996-97[18] (Mitra et al., 1997)	9099	Two-stage stratified sample
Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey, 1999-2000 [19]	10268	Two-stage stratified sample
Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey, 2004[20]	10811	Multi-stage stratified sample
Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey, 2007[21]	10819	Two-stage stratified sample
Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey, 2011[16]	18000	Two-stage stratified sample
Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey, 2011[16]	18000	Two-stage stratified sample

## REFERENCES

- Alemayehu T, Haidar J, Habte D: Determinants of exclusive breastfeeding practices in Ethiopia. *Ethiopian Journal of Health Development* 2009, 23(1).
- Black RE, Allen LH, Bhutta ZA, Caulfield LE, De Onis M, Ezzati M, Mathers C, Rivera J, Maternal, Group CUS: Maternal and child undernutrition: global and regional exposures and health consequences. *The lancet* 2008, 371(9608):243-260.
- Bhutta ZA, Ahmed T, Black RE, Cousens S, Dewey K, Giugliani E, Haider BA, Kirkwood B, Morris SS, Sachdev H: What works? Interventions for maternal and child undernutrition and survival. *The Lancet* 2008, 371(9610):417-440.
- Bbaale E: Determinants of early initiation, exclusiveness, and duration of breastfeeding in Uganda. *Journal of Health, Population and Nutrition* 2014, 32(2):249.
- CDC: Breastfeeding report card, 2014. National centre for chronic diseases prevention and health promotion (CDC), Division of nutrition, physical activity and obesity, United States, 2014 2014.
- Chudasama RK, Amin CD, Parikh YN: Prevalence of exclusive breastfeeding and its determinants in first 6 months of life: A prospective study. *Online Journal of Health and Allied Sciences* 2009, 8(1).
- Dibley MJ, Roy S, Senarath U, Patel A, Tiwari K, Agho KE, Mihrshahi S, Network SAIFR: Across-country comparisons of selected infant and young child feeding indicators and associated factors in four South Asian countries. *Food and Nutrition Bulletin* 2010, 31(2):366-379.
- Esteves TMB, Daumas RP, Oliveira MICd, Andrade CAdFd, Leite IC: Factors associated to breastfeeding in the first hour of life: systematic review. *Revista de saude publica* 2014, 48(4):697-708.
- Hassan M, Yasmeen BN, Ahmed TU, Begum M, Rob A, Ahmed AU, Rahman H: Practice of giving exclusive breastfeeding among the babies of working mothers and house wife mothers-a comparative study. *Northern International Medical College Journal* 2015, 5(2):339-341.

- Haroun HM, Mahfouz MS, Ibrahim BY: Breast feeding indicators in Sudan: a case study of Wad Medani town. *Sudanese Journal of Public Health* 2008, 3(2):81-90.
- Horii N, Guyon AB, Quinn VJ: Determinants of delayed initiation of breastfeeding in rural Ethiopia: Programmatic implications. *Food and nutrition bulletin* 2011, 32(2):94-102.
- Hanif HM: Trends in breastfeeding and complementary feeding practices in Pakistan, 1990-2007. *International breastfeeding journal* 2011, 6(1):1.
- Hanif HM: Trends in infant and young child feeding practices in Bangladesh, 1993–2011. *International breastfeeding journal* 2013, 8(1):1.
- Hajeebhoy N, Nguyen PH, Mannava P, Nguyen TT, Mai LT: Suboptimal breastfeeding practices are associated with infant illness in Vietnam. *International breastfeeding journal* 2014, 9(1):1.
- Jones G, Steketee RW, Black RE, Bhutta ZA, Morris SS, Group BCSS: How many child deaths can we prevent this year?*The lancet* 2003, 362(9377):65-71.
- Joshi PC, Angdembe MR, Das SK, Ahmed S, Faruque ASG, Ahmed T: Prevalence of exclusive breastfeeding and associated factors among mothers in rural Bangladesh: a cross-sectional study. *International breastfeeding journal* 2014, 9(1):1.
- Kramer MS, Kakuma R: The optimal duration of exclusive breastfeeding: *Springer*; 2004.
- Khan AI, Hawkesworth S, Ekström EC, Arifeen S, Moore SE, Frongillo EA, Yunus M, Persson LÅ, Kabir I: Effects of exclusive breastfeeding intervention on child growth and body composition: the MINIMat trial, Bangladesh. *Acta Paediatrica* 2013, 102(8):815-823.
- Kristiansen AL, Lande B, Øverby NC, Andersen LF: Factors associated with exclusive breast-feeding and breast-feeding in Norway. *Public health nutrition* 2010, 13(12):2087-2096.
- Lim SS, Vos T, Flaxman AD, Danaei G, Shibuya K, Adair-Rohani H, AlMazroa MA, Amann M, Anderson HR, Andrews KG: A comparative risk assessment of



burden of disease and injury attributable to 67 risk factors and risk factor clusters in 21 regions, 1990–2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *The lancet* 2013, 380(9859):2224-2260.

- Lutter Ck: Breastfeeding Promotion-Isits. Short and Long Term Effects of Breast Feeding on Child Health 2000:355.
- Moore ER, Anderson GC, Bergman N, Dowswell T: Early skin-to-skin contact for mothers and their healthy newborn infants. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2012, 5(3).
- Mullany LC, Katz J, Li YM, Khatry SK, LeClerq SC, Darmstadt GL, Tielsch JM: Breast-feeding patterns, time to initiation, and mortality risk among newborns in southern Nepal. *The Journal of nutrition* 2008, 138(3):599-603.
- Mitra SN A-SA, Saha T, Kumar S Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey 1999-2000. National Institute of Population Research and Training, Mitra and Associates, and ORC Macro 2001.
- Mitra SN A-SA, Cross AR, Jamil K Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey, 1996-1997. *National Institute of Population Research and Training, Mitra and Associates, and Macro International Inc*; 1997 1997.
- Mitra SN AM, Islam S, Cross AR, Saha T Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey, 1993-1994. *National Institute of Population Research and Training, Mitra and Associates, and Macro International Inc*; 1994 1994.
- Mazumder M, Hossain M: Duration of breastfeeding and its determinants in Bangladesh. *International Journal of Natural Sciences* 2012, 2(2):49-53.
- NIPROT: Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey, 2011. National Institute of Population Research and Training (NIPORT) Mitra and Associates & Macro International 2013
- NIPROT: Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey 2004. National Institute of Population Research and Training (NIPORT), Mitra and Associates, and ORC Macro 2005.
- NIPROT: Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey 2007. National Institute of Population Research and Training (NIPORT), Mitra and Associates, and Macro International 2007.
- Oddy WH, Kendall GE, Blair E, De Klerk NH, Stanley FJ, Landau LI, Silburn S, Zubrick S: Breast feeding and cognitive development in childhood: a prospective birth cohort study. *Paediatric and perinatal epidemiology* 2003, 17(1):81-90.
- Onah S, Osuorah DIC, Ebenebe J, Ezechukwu C, Ekwochi U, Ndukwu I: Infant feeding practices and maternal socio-demographic factors that influence practice of exclusive breastfeeding among mothers in Nnewi

South-East Nigeria: a cross-sectional and analytical study. *International breastfeeding journal* 2014, 9(1):1.

- Pandey S, Tiwari K, Senarath U, Agho KE, Dibley MJ, Network SAIFR: Determinants of infant and young child feeding practices in Nepal: secondary data analysis of Demographic and Health Survey 2006. *Food and nutrition bulletin* 2010, 31(2):334-351.
- Patel A, Banerjee A, Kaletwad A: Factors associated with prelacteal feeding and timely initiation of breastfeeding in hospital-delivered infants in India. *Journal of Human Lactation* 2013, 29(4):572-578.
- Senarath U, Siriwardena I, Godakandage SS, Jayawickrama H, Fernando DN, Dibley MJ: Determinants of breastfeeding practices: An analysis of the Sri Lanka Demographic and Health Survey 2006–2007. *Maternal* & child nutrition 2012, 8(3):315-329.
- Tang L, Binns CW, Lee AH, Pan X, Chen S, Yu C: Low prevalence of breastfeeding initiation within the first hour of life in a rural area of Sichuan Province, China. *Birth* 2013, 40(2):134-142.
- Tan KL: Factors associated with exclusive breastfeeding among infants under six months of age in peninsular Malaysia. *International breastfeeding journal* 2011, 6(1):1.
- Tarrant RC, Younger KM, Sheridan-Pereira M, Kearney JM: Factors Associated With Duration of Breastfeeding in Ireland Potential Areas for Improvement. Journal of Human Lactation 2011, 27(3):262-271.
- Tuan, N.T., Nguyen, P.H., Hajeebhoy, N., & Frongillo, E.A. (2014). Gaps between breastfeeding awareness and practices in Vietnamese mothers result from inadequate support in health facilities and social norms. *The Journal of nutrition*, 144(11), 1811-1817.
- Victor R, Baines SK, Agho KE, Dibley MJ: Determinants of breastfeeding indicators among children less than 24 months of age in Tanzania: a secondary analysis of the 2010 Tanzania Demographic and Health Survey. *BMJ open* 2013, 3(1):e001529.
- WHO: Global Health Expenditure Database. World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland 2013.
- WHO: Indicators for assessing infant and young child feeding practices: part 1: definitions: conclusions of a consensus meeting held 6-8 November 2007 in Washington DC, USA. World Health Organization 2008.
- Wang W, Lau Y, Chow A, Chan KS: Breast-feeding intention, initiation and duration among Hong Kong Chinese women: a prospective longitudinal study. *Midwifery* 2014, 30(6):678-687.
- Wang W, Lau Y, Chow A, Chan KS, (2014). Breast-feeding intention, initiation and duration among Hong Kong Chinese women: a prospective longitudinal study. *Midwifery*, 30(6):678-687.