

### AMERICAN JOURNAL OF AGRICULTURAL SCIENCE, ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY (AJASET)

#### ISSN: 2158-8104 (ONLINE), 2164-0920 (PRINT)

VOL: 5 ISSUE: 2 (2021)







PUBLISHED BY: E-PALLI, FLORIDA, USA



The American Journal of Agricultural Science, Engineering and Technology (AJASET) is blind peer reviewed international journal publishing articles that emphasize research, development and application within the fields of agricultural science, engineering and technology. The AJASET covers all areas of Agricultural Science, Engineering and Technology, publishing original research articles. The AJASET reviews article within approximately two weeks of submission and publishes accepted articles online immediately upon receiving the final versions.

Published Media: ISSN: 2158-8104 (Online), 2164-0920 (Print).

Frequency: 2 issues per year (January, July)

**Area of publication:** Agricultural Science, Any Engineering and Technology related original and innovative works.

#### **EDITORIAL BOARD**

#### **Chief Editor**

Dr Mamun-Or-Rashid Professor, Dhaka University, Bangladesh

#### **Board Members**

Dr. Sumit Garg, IL, USA Professor Dr. James J. Riley, The University of Arizona, USA Dr. Ekkehard KÜRSCHNER, Agriculture Development Consultant, Germany Professor Dr. Rodriguez Hilda, USA Professor Dr. Michael D. Whitt, USA Professor Dr. Wael Al-aghbari, Yemen Professor Dr. Muhammad Farhad Howladar, Bangladesh Dr. Clement Kiprotich Kiptum, University of Eldoret, Kenya Professor Dr M Shamim Kaiser, Professor, Jahangirnagar University, Bangladesh Professor Dr. Nirmal Chandra Roy, Sylhet Agricultural University, Bangladesh Dr. Sandra Milena Camargo Silva, Materials Engineering, Colombia

#### **Managing Editor**

Md. Roshidul Hasan Professor, Department of Computer Science and Information Technology, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur Rahman Agricultural University, Bangladesh



#### MUD CRAB (SCYLLA SERRATA) VALUE ADDITION ANALYSIS OF DIFFERENT ACTORS IN BANGLADESH

Himu Bain<sup>1</sup>, Anup Kumar Mandal<sup>2\*</sup>, Md. Monjurul Islam<sup>3</sup>, Md. Abu Saiyem<sup>4</sup> and Md. Shah Alamgir<sup>5</sup>

**DOI:** <u>https://doi.org/10.54536/ajaset.v5i2.106</u>

#### ABSTRACT

Most of the marketing operators consider the mud crab business to be a profitable and emerging business due to the high demand in the international market. The present study determines value addition at different actors-based activities in the supply chains. The primary data was collected from 100 respondents (40 collectors, 10 fatteners, 20 depot owners, 20 suppliers and 10 exporters) who were selected randomly from Khulna, Satkhira and Dhaka district. The analysis reveals that the value addition of the fatteners was the highest among all other intermediaries which was Tk. 11525 and Tk. 10665 per 100 kg of crab for the grade XXLPD in Khulna and Satkhira district, respectively, whereas the lowest value addition was Tk. 1450 and Tk. 1090 per 100 kg of crab for grade KS3. The highest value addition per 100 kg of crab process among the study areas was Tk. 1191 and 1200 for depot owners and grade FF1 (600-700gm/mud crab), respectively, while lowest value addition was Tk. 691 and Tk.750 per 100 kg of crab for the grade L (500-600gm/mud crab) and M (400-500gm/mud crab), and the suppliers also added Tk. 2918 and 2758 per 100 kg of crab processing for the grade FF1. The range of value addition by exporters for Hong-Kong and Taiwan market was Tk. 260.25 to Tk. 13825 per 100 kg of crab. Value addition for exporting female crab to Taiwan market was greater than Hong-Kong market.

Keywords: Scylla Serrata, market, actors and value addition

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Assistant Chief, Planning and Evaluation Cell, Department of Livestock Services (DLS), Dhaka, Bangladesh. Email: <u>himebain97@yahoo.com</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2\*</sup>Associate Professor, Department of Economics and Sociology, Patuakhali Science and Technology University, Dumki, Patuakhali, Bangladesh. Email: <u>anup@pstu.ac.bd</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Associate Professor, Department of Rural Development, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur Rahman Agricultural University, Gazipur-1706, Bangladesh. Email: mmislam.rdv@bsmrau.edu.bd

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Statistical Officer, Department of Agricultural Extension (DAE), Khamarbari, Dhaka-1215. Bangladesh. Email:saiyem.natp@gmail.com, <sup>5</sup>Professor, Department of Agricultural Finance and Banking, Sylhet Agricultural University, Sylhet-3100, Bangladesh. Email: salamgir.afb@sau.ac.bd

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author email: <u>anupbau@yahoo.com</u>, Mobile no: +88-01716108306

#### INTRODUCTION

The mud crab (Scylla Serrata) is a commercially important crustacean aquatic species after tiger shrimp (Penaeusmonodon; Fabricius) in Bangladesh which is locally called as 'Kakra', 'ShillaKakra', 'Habbakankra' (Jahan and Islam, 2016). In Bangladesh, mud crabs are abundant in the tidal rivers of Khulna, Bagerhat, Satkhira, Cox's Bazar as well as Chittagong (DoF, 2017). It is harvested from the coastline of the Bay, in the creeks and canals of the brackish water estuaries (Khan and Alam, 1992). Mud crab is being cultured in pen, cage or even simultaneously in shrimp farms (Chakraborty et al., 2018). Therefore, shrimp farmers are gradually shifting to crab farming since this species is less susceptible to disease, easy to culture, more adaptable to climate change and higher market price in comparison to shrimp (Salam et al., 2012). Crabs are second most exportable crustacean product in Bangladesh (Molla et al., 2009). Crab farming played a crucial role in exporting crab in the 2018-2019 fiscal year, earning approximately \$42.93 million (BBS, 2018). Bangladesh has experienced an increasing trend in crabs' export and emerging source of foreign currency over the past five years through the development of hatchery-produced mud crab (BBS, 2018; Shamsuzzaman et al. 2020). Bangladesh recently exported 11,000 tons of crab to overseas markets such as Malaysia, Singapore, China, Japan, Hong Kong, and South Korea (Ahamad, 2019). In South-East Asia, Scylla Serrata, known as mud crab is one of the most popular and proliferates due to suitable agro-ecological conditions, high prices and demand in international markets (Chandra et al. 2012; Pripanapong and Tongdee, 1998).

A value chain is the full range of activities, from the producer to the final consumer, that involve a combination of physical transformations and the input of various producer services (Kaplinsky, 2000). It focuses on market collaboration amongst various suppliers, producers, processors, and buyers who hold different degree of market power (Mangubhai et al., 2017). Value chain analysis is essential to an understanding of markets, their relationships and the participant of different actors, like collectors, fatteners, wholesalers, depot owners, suppliers and exporters. The existing mud crab value chain is long and unstructured and crab fishers are exploited by middleman and hardly receive any government support (Sanoara, 2018). There have been several studies were conducted on the marketing system and value chain analysis of crab fishery (Chandra et al., 2012, Zafar & Ahsan, 2006; Bain & Mandal, 2017; Sultana et al. 2019; Bhuiyan et al. 2021). The mud crab business has still been a neglected field. Only a scientific study can help to find out objectively the impact of the national and international markets on the production, processing and consumption of crab. No systematic



study has yet been undertaken so far to determine the value addition of natural and cultural crab. Thus, recognizing the significant contribution of crab in the national economy, the present study will determine the value addition of different actors of mud crab in Bangladesh.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Considering the concentration of mud crab farming and trading, Dacope and Paikgacha upazila in Khulna district, and Samnagar and Kaligonj upazila in Satkhira district were selected purposively in this study. The respondents in the sample frame were the collectors, fatteners, depot owners, suppliers and exporters.

After that, a list of 140 crab collectors, 40 fatteners, 80 depot owners, 40 suppliers were selected from Dacope and Paikgacha upazila in Khulna district; and Samnagar and Kaligonj upazila in Satkhira district; and a list of 40 crab exporters were selected from Dhaka. To select the respondents in this study, the random sampling technique was applied to interview collectors, fatteners, depot owners, suppliers and exporters. Among the four selected Upazila in two districts, 20 crab collectors, 5 fatteners, 10 deport owners, and 10 suppliers were selected randomly from each district, and 10 crab exporters were selected randomly from Dhaka for the study. Total sample size of the study was 100 respondents which included 40 collectors, 10 fatteners, 20 depot owners 20 suppliers.

This analysis considered fixed costs and variable costs (such as labour, baits and mortality loss). Net return was considered by deducting all costs (Variable and fixed costs) from gross return. To achieve one of the objectives of the study a simple cost and returns analysis was used to determine the profitability of the selected collectors and value addition of different level traders. For this purpose, the following equation was used to assess the profitability of the collectors and value addition by different level of traders.

#### Profit/Net return of crab collector,

 $\pi_{i} = P_{im} * Q_{mi} - \sum TVC_{i} - \sum TFC_{i}$ Where.  $\pi = Profit of ith collectors per day.$ 

 $\pi_i$ = Profit of *ith* collectors per day  $P_{im}$  = Per unit price of crab (Tk/kg)  $Q_{im}$  = Quantity of crab (kg/day)  $TVC_i$ =Total variable cost of *ith* collectors  $TFC_i$ = Total fixed cost of *ith* collectors

#### Value addition of different level of traders

Value addition = Gross margin – Marketing cost

Gross margin = Sale price – Purchase price

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### Cost of crab collectors for collection and marketing of mud crab

Collection and marketing costs play an important role in the decision making of the collectors. Here, the costs refer to the total amount of funds used in crab collection and marketing. In the present study, variable and fixed costs were calculated separately. Table 1 presents the total costs per collector per day, which is estimated at Tk. 163 and 161 in Khulna and Satkhira district respectively. Average variable costs per collector per day were estimated at Tk. 118 and Tk. 119, accounted for around 72 per cent (Khulna district) and 74 per cent (Satkhira district) of total cost. Fixed cost per collector per day was calculated at Tk. 45 and Tk. 42 which accounted for 28 and 26 percent of total costs (approximately) in Khulna and Satkhira district, respectively.

|                                | r      |            | ·                 |            |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------------------|--------|------------|-------------------|------------|--|--|--|--|
|                                | Khulna | a District | Satkhira District |            |  |  |  |  |
| Particulars                    | Amount | % of total | Amount            | % of total |  |  |  |  |
|                                | (TK.)  | cost       | (TK.)             | cost       |  |  |  |  |
| A. Variable Cost               | 118.00 | 72.39      | 119.00            | 73.91      |  |  |  |  |
| a. Labour                      | 45.00  | 27.06      | 43.00             | 26.71      |  |  |  |  |
| b. Food                        | 30.00  | 18.40      | 32.00             | 19.08      |  |  |  |  |
| c. Baits                       | 10.00  | 6.13       | 8.00              | 4.97       |  |  |  |  |
| d. Tax                         | 18.00  | 11.04      | 18.00             | 11.18      |  |  |  |  |
| e. Transportation Cost         | 5.00   | 3.08       | 6.00              | 3.73       |  |  |  |  |
| f. Mortality loss              | 8.00   | 4.19       | 10.00             | 6.21       |  |  |  |  |
| g. Miscellaneous               | 2.00   | 1.23       | 2.00              | 1.24       |  |  |  |  |
| B. Fixed cost                  | 45.00  | 27.61      | 42.00             | 26.09      |  |  |  |  |
| a. Tools and equipment costs   | 30.00  | 18.10      | 28.00             | 17.39      |  |  |  |  |
| b. Interest on opening capital | 15.00  | 9.20       | 14.00             | 8.70       |  |  |  |  |
| Total Cost (A+B)               | 163.00 | 100        | 161.00            | 100        |  |  |  |  |

 Table 1: Collection and marketing cost of per collector per day in Khulna and
 Satkhira Districts

#### **Gross return**

Gross return, the monetary value of total output, consists of the values of live crab. It was noted that on an average, price of live mud crab received by the traders was Tk. 220, 100, 60, 30, 15, 130, 90, 40 and 15 per kg of crab in Khulna district and Tk. 210, 100, 50, 30, 15, 130,

# American Journal of Agricultural Science, Engineering and Technology

85, 30, and 15 per kg of crab in Satkhira district for grade XXL, XL, L, M, SM, FF1, F1, F2 and F3 respectively. It is evident from Table 2 that the average gross return was Tk. 338.5 and Tk. 335.9 per day per collector in Khulna and Satkhira district, respectively.

| Sex    | Grade                | Kh      | ulna dist | rict   | Satkhira district |         |        |  |  |  |
|--------|----------------------|---------|-----------|--------|-------------------|---------|--------|--|--|--|
|        |                      | Quantit | Price     | Gross  | Quantity          | Price   | Gross  |  |  |  |
|        |                      | У       | (Tk/k     | Return | (kg)              | (Tk/kg) | Return |  |  |  |
|        |                      | (kg)    | g)        |        |                   |         |        |  |  |  |
| Male   | XXL (800-1000gm/crab | 0.5     | 220       | 110    | 0.5               | 210     | 105    |  |  |  |
|        | XL (600-800gm/crab)  | 0.5     | 100       | 50     | 0.5               | 100     | 50     |  |  |  |
|        | L (500-600gm/crab)   | 0.5     | 60        | 30     | 0.5               | 50      | 25     |  |  |  |
|        | M (300-500gm/crab)   | 1       | 30        | 30     | 1                 | 30      | 30     |  |  |  |
|        | SM 200-300gm/crab)   | 1       | 15        | 15     | 1                 | 15      | 15     |  |  |  |
| Female | FF1 (600-700gm/crab) | 0.18    | 130       | 23.5   | 0.18              | 130     | 23.1   |  |  |  |
|        | F1 (500-600gm/crab)  | 0.5     | 90        | 45     | 0.5               | 85      | 42.5   |  |  |  |
|        | F2 (400-500gm/crab)  | 0.5     | 40        | 20     | 1                 | 30      | 30     |  |  |  |
|        | F3 (300-400gm/crab)  | 1       | 15        | 15     | 1                 | 15      | 15     |  |  |  |
| Total  |                      | 5.68    |           | 338.5  | 6.18              |         | 325.9  |  |  |  |

Table 2: Gross return per day per collector in Khulan and Satkhira District

#### **Gross margin**

In this study, gross margin was calculated by deducting variable costs from gross return on account of the business. Table 3 illustrates that the average gross margin per collector per day was estimated at Tk. 220.5 for Khulna and Tk. 216.9 for Satkhira district.

| Table 3: Gross margin and net return per day per collector in Khulna and Satkhir | a |
|--|---|
| district   |   |

| Particulars   | Khulna district | Satkhira district |
|---------------|-----------------|-------------------|
|               | Amount (Tk.)    | Amount (Tk.)      |
| Gross Return  | 338.5           | 335.9             |
| Variable cost | 118             | 119               |
| Total cost    | 163             | 161               |
| Gross margin  | 220.5           | 216.9             |
| Net return    | 175.5           | 174.9             |

#### Net return

Net return is defined as the difference between gross returns and total costs. Table 3 depicts that, in Khulna, average net returns for per collector per day were calculated Tk. 175.5, which was Tk. 174.9 for Satkhira,

#### Value addition analysis of fatteners

#### Fattening and marketing cost of fatteners

Fattener's function usually includes nursing/fattening, assembling, transportation of crabs, and then selling to *Faria* (local middleman), depot owners and suppliers. The costs involved in performing these services are mainly land rent, labour charge, feed cost, baits cost, other operational cost (Fencing, pond management, harvest etc.), transportation cost and other cost. The marketing and fattening cost of fatteners in Khulna and Satkhira district are shown in Tables 4. The average marketing and fattening costs incurred by fatteners were Tk. 2475, 2075, 2325 and 2040 per 100 kg of crab in Khulna district and Tk. 2335, 1935, 2185, and 1910 per 100 kg of crab in Satkhaira district for grade XXLPD, MPD, KS1 and KS3, respectively. Among cost items, labour cost was the highest standing for 32, 39, 34 and 39 percent of total costs in Khulna district and 32, 39, 35 and 39 percent of total costs in Satkhira district for grade XXLPD, MPD, KS1 and KS3 respectively. The next most expensive cost item was feed cost, followed by other cost items in descending order, like - loss of mortality, land use cost, other operational cost and transportation cost, in Khulna and Satkhira district.

| Table 4: Total costs, gross margin and value addition by fatteners in Khulna and |
|--|
| Satkhira District  |

|                     |     |      | Khı    | ılna Dis | strict          |                 |                 | Satkhira District |      |      |     |    |         |                 |  |  |  |
|---------------------|-----|------|--------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------|------|-----|----|---------|-----------------|--|--|--|
| Particulars         |     | Male | e crab |          | Fe              | male cr         | ab              |                   | Male | crab |     | Fe | emale c | crab            |  |  |  |
|                     | XX  | XL   | L      | М        | KS <sub>1</sub> | KS <sub>2</sub> | KS <sub>3</sub> | XX                | XL   | L    | М   | KS | KS      | KS <sub>3</sub> |  |  |  |
|                     | LDP | DP   | DP     | DP       |                 |                 |                 | LDP               | DP   | DP   | DP  | 1  | 2       |                 |  |  |  |
| Land use cost       | 250 | 250  | 250    | 250      | 250             | 250             | 250             | 225               | 225  | 225  | 225 | 22 | 22      | 225             |  |  |  |
|                     |     |      |        |          |                 |                 |                 |                   |      |      |     | 5  | 5       |                 |  |  |  |
| Labour cost         | 800 | 800  | 800    | 800      | 800             | 800             | 800             | 750               | 750  | 750  | 750 | 75 | 75      | 750             |  |  |  |
|                     |     |      |        |          |                 |                 |                 |                   |      |      |     | 0  | 0       |                 |  |  |  |
| Transportation cost | 75  | 75   | 75     | 75       | 75              | 75              | 75              | 100               | 100  | 100  | 100 | 10 | 10      | 100             |  |  |  |
|                     |     |      |        |          |                 |                 |                 |                   |      |      |     | 0  | 0       |                 |  |  |  |
| Feed cost           | 600 | 600  | 600    | 600      | 600             | 600             | 600             | 500               | 500  | 500  | 500 | 50 | 50      | 500             |  |  |  |
|                     |     |      |        |          |                 |                 |                 |                   |      |      |     | 0  | 0       |                 |  |  |  |
| Mortality cost      | 500 | 250  | 150    | 100      | 350             | 200             | 75              | 500               | 300  | 185  | 100 | 35 | 18      | 75              |  |  |  |
|                     |     |      |        |          |                 |                 |                 |                   |      |      |     | 0  | 5       |                 |  |  |  |
| Other operational   | 200 | 200  | 200    | 200      | 200             | 200             | 200             | 175               | 175  | 175  | 175 | 17 | 17      | 175             |  |  |  |
| cost                |     |      |        |          |                 |                 |                 |                   |      |      |     | 5  | 5       |                 |  |  |  |
| Miscellaneous cost  | 50  | 50   | 50     | 50       | 50              | 50              | 50              | 55                | 55   | 55   | 55  | 55 | 55      | 55              |  |  |  |
| Total Cost          | 247 | 222  | 212    | 207      | 233             | 217             | 205             | 233               | 213  | 202  | 193 | 21 | 20      | 191             |  |  |  |
| (fattening and      | 5   | 5    |        | 5        | 5               | 5               | 0               | 5                 | 5    | 0    | 5   | 85 | 20      | 0               |  |  |  |
| marketing cost)     |     |      |        |          |                 |                 |                 |                   |      |      |     |    |         |                 |  |  |  |
| Purchase price      | 100 | 500  | 300    | 200      | 750             | 400             | 150             | 100               | 500  | 350  | 200 | 70 | 35      | 150             |  |  |  |
|                     | 00  | 0    | 0      | 0        | 0               | 0               | 00              | 00                | 0    | 0    | 0   | 00 | 00      | 0               |  |  |  |
| Sales price         | 240 | 150  | 900    | 600      | 150             | 100             | 500             | 230               | 140  | 800  | 500 | 14 | 10      | 450             |  |  |  |
|                     | 00  | 00   | 0      | 0        | 00              | 00              | 0               | 00                | 00   | 0    | 0   | 00 | 00      | 0               |  |  |  |
|                     |     |      |        |          |                 |                 |                 |                   |      |      |     | 0  |         |                 |  |  |  |
| Gross margin        | 140 | 100  | 600    | 400      | 750             | 600             | 350             | 130               | 900  | 450  | 300 | 70 | 65      | 300             |  |  |  |
|                     | 00  | 00   | 0      | 0        | 0               | 0               | 0               | 00                | 0    | 0    | 0   | 00 | 00      | 0               |  |  |  |
| Value addition      | 115 | 777  | 387    | 192      | 517             | 387             | 145             | 106               | 686  | 248  | 106 | 48 | 44      | 109             |  |  |  |
|                     | 25  | 5    | 5      | 5        | 5               | 5               | 0               | 65                | 5    | 8    | 5   | 15 | 80      | 0               |  |  |  |

**Note:** Grade XXLDP=600-700gm/crab, XLDP=500-600gm/crab, LDP=300-500gm/crab and MDP=Below 300gm/crab, KS1=400-500gm/crab, KS2=250-400gm/crab and KS3=Below 250gm/crab.

#### Value addition by fatteners

Fatteners purchase undersized or soft shell or eggless crab to fatten those through nursing, and then supply to different types of traders such as *Faria*, depot owners and suppliers. Gross margin of fatteners in both Khulna and Satkhira districts are also shown in Table 4. The average gross margin of fatteners was Tk. 14000, 4000, 7500 and 3500 per 100 kg of crab in khulna district and Tk. 13000, 3000, 7000 and 3000 per 100 kg of crab in Satkhira district for grade XXLPD, MPD, KS1 and KS3 respectively. They incurred marketing and fattening cost of Tk. 2475, 2075, 2325 and2040 per 100 kg of crab in Khulna district for grade XXLPD, MPD, KS1 and KS3 respectively, and for Satkhira District it was Tk. 2335, 1935, 2185 and1910 accordingly. Thus, the value addition (profit) earned by them were Tk. 11525, 1925, 5175 and 1405 per 100 kg of crab in Khulna district and Tk. 10665, 1065, 4815 and1090 per 100kg of crab in Satkhira district for grade XXLPD, MPD, KS1 and KS3 respectively. In Khulna, the highest added value was estimated to be Tk. 11525 per 100 kg of crab for grade XXLDP, the figure was Tk. 10665 for Satkhira. Again, the lowest added value was Tk. 1065 per 100 kg of crab for grade MDP and KS2 in Satkhira. Compared with Satkhira district value addition for crab to Khulna district was found much higher.

#### Value addition analysis of depot owners

#### Marketing cost of depot owners

Depot owners directly buy mud crab from collectors and *Faria* and then supply to the suppliers. The marketing costs of depot owners in Khulna and Satkhira districts are shown in Table 5. The average marketing cost incurred by depot owners were Tk. 409, 259, 309, 309 and 259 per 100 kg of crab in Khulna district and Tk. 450, 250, 350, 300 and 250 per 100 kg of crab in Satkhira district for grade XXL, SM, FF1, F1 and F3 respectively. Among cost items loss of mortality was the highest expense representing 49, 26, 32, 32 and 26 percent of total costs in Khulna district and 56, 27, 43, 33 and 27 percent of total costs in Satkhira district for grade XXL, SM, FF1, F1 and F3 respectively. It was followed by labour cost, and then came other cost items such as basket, transportation, entertainment and shop rent.

#### Value addition by depot owners

Value addition of depot owners in both Khulna and Satkhira district are also shown in Table 5. The average gross margin of depot owners were Tk. 1500, 1000, 1500, 1500 and 1000 per 100 kg of crab in Khulna district and Tk. 1500, 1000, 1500, 1500 and 1000 per 100 kg of crab in Satkhira district for grade XXL, SM, FF1, F1 and F3 respectively. They incurred marketing cost of Tk. 409, 259, 309, 309 and259 per 100 kg of crab in Khulna district and Tk. 450, 250, 350, 300 and 250 per 100 kg of crab in Satkhira district for grade XXL, SM, FF1, F1 and F3 respectively. Thus the value addition (pofit) earned by them were Tk. 1091, 741, 1191, 1191 and 741 per 100 kg of crab in Khulna district and Tk. 1050,750, 1150, 1200 and750 per 100 kg of crab in Satkhira district for grade Tk. 53 respectively. The highest value addition was Tk. 1200 per 100 kg of crab for grade F1 in Satkhira district.

| Table 5: Marketing costs, gross margin and value addition by depot owners in Khulna |
|---|
| and Satkhira District   |

|                             |          |          |         | Khul | na Dis | trict    |          |         |                | Satkhira district |          |          |     |     |                 |          |     |                |
|-----------------------------|----------|----------|---------|------|--------|----------|----------|---------|----------------|-------------------|----------|----------|-----|-----|-----------------|----------|-----|----------------|
|                             |          | Μ        | ale cra | ıb   |        |          | Fema     | le crab |                |                   | Male     | crab     |     |     | Fe              | male ci  | rab |                |
| Particula<br>rs             | XX<br>L  | XL       | L       | М    | SM     | $FF_1$   | $F_1$    | F2      | F <sub>3</sub> | XX<br>L           | XL       | L        | М   | SM  | FF <sub>1</sub> | $F_1$    | F2  | F <sub>3</sub> |
| Transpo<br>rtation<br>cost  | 30       | 30       | 30      | 30   | 30     | 30       | 30       | 30      | 30             | 25                | 25       | 25       | 25  | 25  | 25              | 25       | 25  | 25             |
| Labour<br>cost              | 55       | 55       | 55      | 55   | 55     | 55       | 55       | 55      | 55             | 50                | 50       | 50       | 50  | 50  | 50              | 50       | 50  | 50             |
| Bucket                      | 40       | 40       | 40      | 40   | 40     | 40       | 40       | 40      | 40             | 45                | 45       | 45       | 45  | 45  | 45              | 45       | 45  | 45             |
| Shop<br>rent                | 10       | 10       | 10      | 10   | 10     | 10       | 10       | 10      | 10             | 8                 | 8        | 8        | 8   | 8   | 8               | 8        | 8   | 8              |
| Mobile                      | 30       | 30       | 30      | 30   | 30     | 30       | 30       | 30      | 30             | 35                | 35       | 35       | 35  | 35  | 35              | 35       | 35  | 35             |
| Trade<br>license            | 4        | 4        | 4       | 4    | 4      | 4        | 4        | 4       | 4              | 4                 | 4        | 4        | 4   | 4   | 4               | 4        | 4   | 4              |
| Mortalit<br>y cost          | 200      | 150      | 100     | 75   | 50     | 100      | 10<br>0  | 75      | 50             | 250               | 200      | 15<br>0  | 75  | 50  | 150             | 100      | 75  | 50             |
| Entertai<br>nment           | 30       | 30       | 30      | 30   | 30     | 30       | 30       | 30      | 30             | 25                | 25       | 25       | 25  | 25  | 25              | 25       | 25  | 25             |
| Others                      | 10       | 10       | 10      | 10   | 10     | 10       | 10       | 10      | 10             | 8                 | 8        | 8        | 8   | 8   | 8               | 8        | 8   | 8              |
| Total<br>Marketi<br>ng cost | 409      | 359      | 309     | 284  | 259    | 309      | 30<br>9  | 284     | 259            | 450               | 400      | 35<br>0  | 275 | 250 | 350             | 300      | 275 | 250            |
| Purchas                     | 240      | 130      | 900     | 600  | 200    | 140      | 13       | 600     | 200            | 230               | 120      | 90       | 650 | 200 | 140             | 135      | 600 | 200            |
| e cost                      | 00       | 00       | 0       | 0    | 0      | 00       | 00<br>0  | 0       | 0              | 00                | 00       | 00       | 0   | 0   | 00              | 00       | 0   | 0              |
| Sales                       | 255      | 145      | 100     | 700  | 300    | 155      | 14       | 700     | 300            | 250               | 135      | 10       | 750 | 300 | 155             | 150      | 700 | 300            |
| price                       | 00       | 00       | 00      | 0    | 0      | 00       | 50       | 00      | 0              | 00                | 00       | 50       | 0   | 0   | 00              | 00       | 0   | 0              |
|                             |          |          |         |      |        |          | 0        |         |                |                   |          | 0        |     |     |                 |          |     |                |
| Gross                       | 150      | 150      | 100     | 100  | 100    | 150      | 15       | 100     | 100            | 150               | 150      | 15       | 100 | 100 | 150             | 150      | 100 | 100            |
| margin                      | 0        | 0        | 0       | 0    | 0      | 0        | 00       | 0       | 0              | 0                 | 0        | 00       | 0   | 0   | 0               | 0        | 0   | 0              |
| Value<br>addition           | 109<br>1 | 114<br>1 | 691     | 716  | 741    | 119<br>1 | 11<br>91 | 976     | 741            | 105<br>0          | 110<br>0 | 11<br>50 | 725 | 750 | 115<br>0        | 120<br>0 | 725 | 750            |

#### Value addition analysis of suppliers

#### Marketing cost of suppliers

Suppliers bought exportable crabs directly from the collectors, *Faria* and depot owners, and performed the marketing activities, and then sold it to the exporters. The marketing cost of suppliers in both Khulna district and Satkhira district are shown in Table 6. The average marketing cost the suppliers had to invest were Tk. 920, 520,820, 720 and 520 per 100 kg of crab in Khulna district and Tk. 862,612, 742, 712 and 637 per 100 kg of crab in Satkhira district for grade XXL, SM, FF1, F1 and F3 respectively. Among the cost items, the loss of mortality was the highest representing 54, 29, 49, 42 and 19 percent of total cost in Khulna district and 52, 33, 45, 40 and 35 percent of total cost in Satkhira district for grade XXL, SM, FF1, F1 and F3, respectively. The second highest cost item was labour cost, and other cost items in descending order were transportation, basket, mobile, entertainment as well as shop rent etc.

#### Value addition by suppliers

The functions of suppliers include purchasing exportable crab and supplying those to the different types of exporters. Gross marketing margin of suppliers in both Khulna and Satkhira districts are shown in Table 6.8 and Table 6.9. Based on the crab grade such as XXL, SM, FF1, F1 and F3, respectively the average gross margin of suppliers were Tk. 2500, 1500, 3000, 2500 and 1500 per 100 kg of crab in Khulna district, and Tk. 3000, 1500, 3500, 3000 and1500 per 100 kg of crab in Satkhira district. They expended marketing cost of Tk. 920, 520,820, 720 and 520 per 100 kg of crab in Khulna district and Tk. 862, 612, 742, 712 and 637 per 100 kg of crab in Satkhira district for grade XXL, SM, FF1, F1 and F3 respectively. Thus, the value addition (profit) earned by them were Tk. 1580, 980, 2918, 1780 and 980 per 100 kg in Khulna district and Tk. 2138, 888, 2758, 2288 and 865 per 100 kg of crab in Satkhira district for grade XXL, SM, FF1, F1 and F3 respectively. The highest added value was Tk. 2288 per 100 kg of crab for grade F1 in Satkhira district and the lowest added value was Tk. 865 per 100 kg of crab for grade F3 in Satkhira district.



#### Table 6: Marketing cost, gross margin and value addition by suppliers in Khulna and

#### Satkhira district

|            | Khulr | na Disti | rict     |    |     |        |                |         | Satkhira district |    |    |           |    |    |        |                |        |                |
|------------|-------|----------|----------|----|-----|--------|----------------|---------|-------------------|----|----|-----------|----|----|--------|----------------|--------|----------------|
|            |       | Μ        | lale cra | ab |     |        | Femal          | le crab |                   |    | N  | /lale cra | b  |    |        | Femal          | e crab | )              |
| Particular | XX    | XL       | L        | Μ  | SM  | $FF_1$ | F <sub>1</sub> | F2      | F <sub>3</sub>    | XX | XL | L         | Μ  | SM | $FF_1$ | F <sub>1</sub> | F      | F <sub>3</sub> |
| S          | L     |          |          |    |     |        |                |         |                   | L  |    |           |    |    |        |                | 2      |                |
| Transport  | 100   | 100      | 10       | 10 | 100 | 10     | 10             | 10      | 10                | 11 | 11 | 110       | 11 | 11 | 11     | 11             | 11     | 11             |
| ation cost |       |          | 0        | 0  |     | 0      | 0              | 0       | 0                 | 0  | 0  |           | 0  | 0  | 0      | 0              | 0      | 0              |
| Labour     | 120   | 120      | 12       | 12 | 120 | 12     | 12             | 12      | 12                | 11 | 11 | 115       | 11 | 11 | 11     | 11             | 11     | 11             |
| cost       |       |          | 0        | 0  |     | 0      | 0              | 0       | 0                 | 5  | 5  |           | 5  | 5  | 5      | 5              | 5      | 5              |
| Bucket     | 60    | 60       | 60       | 60 | 60  | 60     | 60             | 60      | 60                | 65 | 65 | 65        | 65 | 65 | 65     | 65             | 65     | 65             |
| Shop rent  | 15    | 15       | 15       | 15 | 15  | 15     | 15             | 15      | 15                | 12 | 12 | 12        | 12 | 12 | 12     | 12             | 12     | 12             |
| Mobile     | 60    | 60       | 60       | 60 | 60  | 60     | 60             | 60      | 60                | 50 | 50 | 50        | 50 | 50 | 50     | 50             | 50     | 50             |
| Trade      | 5     | 5        | 5        | 5  | 5   | 5      | 5              | 5       | 5                 | 5  | 5  | 5         | 5  | 5  | 5      | 5              | 5      | 5              |
| license    |       |          |          |    |     |        |                |         |                   |    |    |           |    |    |        |                |        |                |
| Mortality  | 500   | 400      | 30       | 20 | 100 | 40     | 30             | 20      | 10                | 45 | 40 | 300       | 25 | 20 | 33     | 30             | 27     | 22             |
| cost       |       |          | 0        | 0  |     | 0      | 0              | 0       | 0                 | 0  | 0  |           | 0  | 0  | 0      | 0              | 5      | 5              |
| Entertain  | 50    | 50       | 50       | 50 | 50  | 50     | 50             | 50      | 50                | 45 | 45 | 45        | 45 | 45 | 45     | 45             | 45     | 45             |
| ment       |       |          |          |    |     |        |                |         |                   |    |    |           |    |    |        |                |        |                |
| Others     | 10    | 10       | 10       | 10 | 10  | 10     | 10             | 10      | 10                | 10 | 10 | 10        | 10 | 10 | 10     | 10             | 10     | 10             |
|            |       |          |          |    |     |        |                |         |                   |    |    |           |    |    |        |                |        |                |
| Total      | 920   | 828      | 72       | 62 | 520 | 82     | 72             | 62      | 52                | 86 | 81 | 712       | 66 | 61 | 74     | 71             | 68     | 63             |
| Marketin   |       |          | 0        | 0  |     | 0      | 0              | 0       | 0                 | 2  | 2  |           | 2  | 2  | 2      | 2              | 7      | 7              |
| g cost     |       |          |          |    |     |        |                |         |                   |    |    |           |    |    |        |                |        |                |
| Purchase   | 255   | 145      | 10       | 70 | 300 | 15     | 14             | 70      | 30                | 25 | 13 | 105       | 75 | 30 | 15     | 15             | 70     | 30             |
| cost       | 00    | 00       | 00       | 00 | 0   | 50     | 50             | 00      | 00                | 00 | 50 | 00        | 00 | 00 | 50     | 00             | 00     | 00             |
|            |       |          | 0        |    |     | 0      | 0              | 0       |                   | 0  | 0  |           |    |    | 0      | 0              |        |                |
| Sales      | 280   | 175      | 12       | 90 | 450 | 18     | 17             | 90      | 45                | 28 | 16 | 125       | 95 | 45 | 19     | 18             | 90     | 45             |
| price      | 00    | 00       | 00       | 00 | 0   | 50     | 00             | 00      | 00                | 00 | 00 | 00        | 00 | 00 | 00     | 00             | 00     | 00             |
|            |       |          | 0        |    |     | 0      | 0              |         |                   | 0  | 0  |           |    |    | 0      | 0              |        |                |
| Gross      | 250   | 250      | 20       | 20 | 150 | 30     | 25             | 20      | 15                | 30 | 25 | 200       | 20 | 15 | 35     | 30             | 20     | 15             |
| margin     | 0     | 0        | 00       | 00 | 0   | 00     | 00             | 00      | 00                | 00 | 00 | 0         | 00 | 00 | 00     | 00             | 00     | 00             |
| Value      | 158   | 167      | 12       | 13 | 980 | 21     | 17             | 13      | 98                | 21 | 16 | 128       | 13 | 88 | 27     | 22             | 13     | 86             |
| addition   | 0     | 2        | 80       | 80 |     | 80     | 80             | 80      | 0                 | 38 | 88 | 8         | 38 | 8  | 58     | 88             | 13     | 5              |

(Tk. Per 100 kg)

#### Value addition analysis of exporters

#### Marketing cost of exporters

The marketing cost of different types of exporters depends on foreign destination, amount of exportable crab per shipment, conditions of consignment etc. Especially, marketing cost varied for different foreign destinations of the world. However, in the present study, marketing cost was calculated for sending crab to the following destinations.

- i) Hong-Kong
- ii) Taiwan

Marketing cost of exporters included the cost of packet/basket, carrying, clearing and washing, grading, and packaging, airfreight, salary and wages, office and godown charge, telephone, fax, talex, quarantine, terminal and handling charges, export license and other miscellaneous (e.g. subscription, bribe etc). Air freight charge was the major marketing cost of different exporters. It varied from one foreign market to another and for one airline to another.

#### Marketing cost for Hong-Kong market

Hong-Kong is one of the largest common markets in the world. The marketing cost of mud crab has been calculated in Tk. per 100 kg basis. The marketing cost of exporters for Hong-Kong market is shown in Table 6. The average estimated marketing cost incurred for exporting crab to Hong-Kong market was Tk. 11975, 10275, 11975, 11175 and 10275 for grade XXL, SM, FF1, F, and F3 respectively. Among all the cost items airfreight charge was the highest; Tk. 8500 per 100 kg for all grade of crab and it represents 71, 81, 71, 76 and 83 percent of total costs for grade XXL, SM, FF1, F, and F3 respectively. The next cost item was mortality loss, and it represents 17, 3, 17, 11 and 3 percent of total costs for grade XXL, SM, FF1, F, and F3 respectively.

#### Marketing cost for Taiwan market

Taiwan market is also one of the largest common markets in the world. The marketing cost incurred by exporters was Tk. 9475, Tk. 7775, Tk. 9475, Tk. 8675 and Tk. 7775 per 100 kg of crab exported for grade XXL, SM, FF1, F1 and F3 respectively (Table 7). Among all cost items airfreight charge accounted for the highest cost amounting to Tk. 6000 per 100 kg for all grade of crab (63.32 per cent, 77.17 per cent, 63.32 per cent, 69.16 per cent, 39 per cent and 77.17 per cent of total costs for grade XXL, SM, FF1, F, and F3 respectively). Mortality loss was the second highest cost item which accounted for 21 per cent, 4 per cent, 21 per cent, 14 per cent and 4 per cent of total marketing cost for grade XXL, SM, FF1, F, and F3 respectively.

#### Note:

1. Each basket/contains 20 kg, then 5 baskets are needed for 100 kg, cost

- of basket was @ Tk. 40 per basket.
- 2. Clearing, grading and packaging cost was of Tk. 200 per 100 kg.
- 3. Quarantine charge included Government tax, tariff, VAT etc.

Quarantine charge was Tk. 3 per kg.

4. Average airfreight charge for Hong-Kong was Tk. 85 per kg and

Taiwan was Tk. 60 per kg.

5. Terminal and handling charges (THC): Tk. 2 per kg.

#### Value addition by exporters for Hong-Kong market

Different types of exporters purchased exportable crab from suppliers, Dokandars (shop owners/ grocers/ retail sellers) and commission agents. Then supply these to different foreign buyers of different places of the world. The gross' marketing margin of exporters is depicted in Table 7. The average gross margin of exporters were Tk. 14000, Tk. 11500, Tk. 25000, Tk. 25000 and Tk. 12500 per 100 kg of crab for grade XXL, SM, FF1, F1 and F3 respectively. Thus the value addition (Profit) earned by them were Tk. 2025, Tk. 1225, Tk. 13025, Tk. 13825 and Tk. 2225 per 100 kg of crab for grade XXL, SM, FF1, F1 and F3 respectively

#### Value addition by exporters for Taiwan market

The value addition of exporters for Taiwan market is shown in Table 6.11. The average gross margin of exporters were Tk. 11500, 9000, 35500, 29000 and 11500 per 100 kg of crab for grade XXL, SM, FF1, F1, F2 and F3 respectively. Thus, the value addition (profit) earned by them were Tk. 2025, 1225, 26025, 20325 and 7325 per 100 kg of crab for grade XXL, SM, FF1, F1 and F3 respectively. The value addition from exporting female crab of Taiwan was much greater than compared to Hong-Kong market of this product.

|   |         |     |          | Hong- | Kong N | Aarket          |                |     |                | Taiwan market |     |          |     |             |                 |                |     |                |
|---|---------|-----|----------|-------|--------|-----------------|----------------|-----|----------------|---------------|-----|----------|-----|-------------|-----------------|----------------|-----|----------------|
|   |         | Ν   | Aale cra | b     |        |                 | Female crab    |     |                |               | Ν   | Iale cra | b   | Female crab |                 |                |     |                |
| Particulars   | XX<br>L | XL  | L        | М     | SM     | FF <sub>1</sub> | F <sub>1</sub> | F2  | F <sub>3</sub> | XX<br>L       | XL  | L        | М   | SM          | FF <sub>1</sub> | F <sub>1</sub> | F2  | F <sub>3</sub> |
| Basket cost   | 200     | 200 | 200      | 200   | 200    | 200             | 200            | 200 | 200            | 200           | 200 | 200      | 200 | 200         | 200             | 200            | 200 | 200            |
| Cleaning,<br>grading and<br>Packaging                 | 200     | 200 | 200      | 200   | 200    | 200             | 200            | 200 | 200            | 200           | 200 | 200      | 200 | 200         | 200             | 200            | 200 | 200            |
| Carrying<br>from<br>Exporter go<br>down to<br>airport | 45      | 45  | 45       | 45    | 45     | 45              | 45             | 45  | 45             | 45            | 45  | 45       | 45  | 45          | 45              | 45             | 45  | 45             |

## Table 7: Marketing cost, gross margin and value addition by exporters for Hong-Kong and Taiwan market



| Terminal    | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 |
|-------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| handling    |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| charges     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
|             |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Quarantine  | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| Telephone   | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Fax, Talex  |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Airfreight  | 850 | 850 | 850 | 850 | 850 | 850 | 850 | 850 | 850 | 600 | 600 | 600 | 600 | 600 | 600 | 600 | 600 | 600 |
| charge      | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   |
| Office and  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| go down     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| rent        |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Salary and  | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 |
| wages       |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Export      | 30  | 30  | 30  | 30  | 30  | 30  | 30  | 30  | 30  | 30  | 30  | 30  | 30  | 30  | 30  | 30  | 30  | 30  |
| license     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Mortality   | 200 | 180 | 100 | 700 | 200 | 200 | 120 | 700 | 200 | 200 | 190 | 100 | 700 | 200 | 200 | 120 | 700 | 200 |
| loss        | 200 | 180 | 0   | 700 | 300 | 200 | 0   | 700 | 300 | 200 | 0   | 0   | /00 | 300 | 200 | 0   | 700 | 300 |
| 1035        | Ū   | 0   | 0   |     |     | 0   | 0   |     |     | 0   | 0   | 0   |     |     | Ū   | Ū   |     |     |
| Entertainm  | 50  | 50  | 50  | 50  | 50  | 50  | 50  | 50  | 50  | 50  | 50  | 50  | 50  | 50  | 50  | 50  | 50  | 50  |
| ent         |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Miscellane  | 50  | 50  | 50  | 50  | 50  | 50  | 50  | 50  | 50  | 50  | 50  | 50  | 50  | 50  | 50  | 50  | 50  | 50  |
| ous         | 00  | 00  | 00  | 00  | 00  | 00  | 20  | 00  | 20  | 00  | 00  | 20  |     | 20  | 00  | 00  | 00  | 00  |
|             |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Total       | 119 | 114 | 109 | 109 | 102 | 119 | 111 | 106 | 102 | 947 | 897 | 109 | 817 | 777 | 947 | 867 | 817 | 777 |
| marketing   | 75  | 75  | 75  | 75  | 75  | 75  | 75  | 75  | 75  | 5   | 5   | 75  | 53  | 5   | 5   | 5   | 5   | 5   |
| cost        |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Purchase    | 280 | 175 | 120 | 120 | 450 | 185 | 170 | 900 | 450 | 280 | 175 | 120 | 900 | 450 | 185 | 170 | 900 | 450 |
| price       | 00  | 00  | 00  | 00  | 0   | 00  | 00  | 0   | 0   | 00  | 00  | 00  | 0   | 0   | 00  | 00  | 0   | 0   |
| Sales price | 420 | 308 | 245 | 215 | 160 | 435 | 420 | 225 | 170 | 395 | 280 | 245 | 910 | 135 | 540 | 460 | 220 | 160 |
| Sales price | 420 | 00  | 00  | 00  | 00  | 00  | 420 | 00  | 00  | 00  | 00  | 00  | 00  | 00  | 00  | 400 | 00  | 00  |
|             |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Gross       | 140 | 133 | 125 | 125 | 115 | 250 | 250 | 135 | 125 | 115 | 105 | 125 | 100 | 900 | 355 | 290 | 130 | 115 |
| margin      | 00  | 00  | 00  | 00  | 00  | 00  | 0   | 00  | 00  | 00  | 00  | 00  | 00  | 0   | 00  | 00  | 00  | 00  |
| Value       | 202 | 182 | 152 | 182 | 122 | 130 | 138 | 282 | 222 | 202 | 152 | 152 | 182 | 122 | 260 | 203 | 482 | 372 |
| addition    | 5   | 5   | 5   | 5   | 5   | 25  | 25  | 5   | 5   | 5   | 0   | 5   | 5   | 5   | 25  | 25  | 5   | 5   |
|             |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |

#### CONCLUSIONS

Mud crab is a valuable and expensive sea product. Despite the high profitability of mud crab farming, the sidelined actors' livelihood engaged in the marketing channel is poorly understood. The value chain begins with crab collector, farmer, moving through various intermediate trading and processing steps like *faria*, depot owner, *fatteners*, supplier towards

the exporter where the maximum catch is taken to them before send back the under grade catch to domestic market. The study reveals that the value addition of exporters was higher than that of other intermediaries (collectors, fatteners, depot owners, suppliers). The mud crab is a highly profitable business sector as an export commodity. One way to bring more profit is by reducing operating costs as much as possible. This can be achieved through scientific, biological and technological mechanisms, such as: reducing mortality loss, transportation cost, labour cost and other operational cost. Moreover, a large number of people are involved in the marketing of crab. So, the crab collectors and intermediaries could certainly be benefited financially if marketing system of crab is well developed and it could be a more attractive commercial enterprise and would gain highest position among all exportable items.

#### REFERENCES

- Ahamad, R. (2019). Bangladesh fails to reap benefit as crab season closes early. The New Age Bangladesh. November 2019.
- Bain, H., and Mandal, A. K. (2017). Supply chain analysis of mud crab (Scylla serrata) in Bangladesh. International Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Studies, 5(1), 302–305.
- BBS (2018). Yearbook of agricultural statistics-2017.Bangladesh bureau of statistics (BBS). Ministry of Planning. Government of the People's Republic of Bangladesh.
- Bhuiyan, M. S.,Shamsuzzaman, M. M., Hossain, M. M., Mitu, S.J., and Mozumder, M. M.H. (2021).Mud crab (Scylla serrata Forsskal 1775) value chain analysis in the Khulna region of Bangladesh.AquacultureandFisheries,https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aaf.2021.01.004
- Chakraborty B. K., Azad, S. A. and Sarker, S (2018). Present Status of Mud Crab Population in Bangladesh. International Journal of Oceanography & Aquaculture.2:23-43.
- K. J. Chandra K. J., Paul, A.K., and Das, D. R. (2012). A survey on the production and marketing of mud crab, scyllaserrata (forskal, 1755) in the south-west part of Bangladesh. International Research Journal of Applied Life Sciences, 1(3).
- DoF (2017). Yearbook of Fisheries Statistics of Bangladesh 2016-17. Fisheries Resources Survey System (FRSS), Department of Fisheries, Bangladesh. 34:1-129.
- Jahan, H., and Islam, M. S. (2016) Economic performance of live crab (Scylla Serrata) business in the southwest coastal region of Bangladesh. International Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Studies. 4(1):453-457.
- Kaplinsky, R. (2000). Globalization and unequalisation: What can be learned from value chain analysis. Journal of Development Studies, 37(2), 117–146.
- Khan, M.G., and Alam, M.F. (1992). The mud crab (Scylla serrata) Fishery and its Bio-Economics in Bangladesh.BOBP/REP. 51:29-40.

- Mangubhai, S., Fox, M., andNand, Y. (2017). Value chain analysis of the wild caught mud crab 3shery in Fiji. Suva, Fiji: Wildlife Conservation Society. https://doi.org/ 10.13140/RG.2.2.25234.76485. Report No. 03/17. 100 pp.
- Molla M. A. G., Islam, M. R., Islam, S., and Salam, M. A. (2009). Socio-economic status of crab collectors and fatteners in the southwest region of Bangladesh. J. Bangladesh Agril. Univ. 7(2):411-419.
- Pripanapong, S., andTongdee, N. A. (1998). Review of the mud crab (Scylla sp.) fisheries and culture in Thailand. Denmark, Thailand and Malaysia: Newsletter of Danish-SE Asian Collaboration in Tropical Coastal Ecosystems Research and Training Project, 2(2).
- Salam, M. A., Islam S. M. M., Gan, J., and Ross, L. G (2012). Crab culture potential in southwestern Bangladesh: alternative to shrimp culture for climate change adaption. IRJALS Research Paper.1(4):15-31.
- Sanoara, Y. (2018). Enhancing the sustainable livelihood of crab Fishers: A study of the mud crab value chain of coastal Bangladesh using the social business model.Doctoral dissertation.Curtin University.
- Shamsuzzaman, M. M., Mozumder, M. M. H., Mitu, S. J., and Bhyuian, M. S. (2020). The economic contribution of fish and fish trade in. Bangladesh, 5(4), 174–181.
- Sultana, A., Raseduzzaman, M., ShaikhTareq Arafat, S. T., and Begum, D.S. (2019). Value chain analysis of mud Crab (Scylla spp.) in southwest region of Bangladesh.International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Development. 6 (3): 181-188.
- Zafar, M., and Ahan, M.N. (2006). Marketing and Value chain Analysis of Mud Crab( Scylla sp.) in the Coastal Communities of Bangladesh. Bangladesh Fisheries Research Forum, Dhaka, Bangladesh.